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#### Abstract

A complete set of solutions for all instances of the Oberwolfach problem for orders $61 \leq n \leq 100$ is presented.


## 1 Introduction

A $k$-factor in a graph $G$ is a $k$-regular spanning subgraph of $G$. A $k$-factorization of $G$ is a collection of edge-disjoint $k$-factors whose edge-sets partition the edge set of $G$. If $G$ has a $k$-factorization it is also called $k$-factorable.

Let $F$ be a 2-factor; it consists of $t$ disjoint cycles of lengths $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{t}$. In 1967, G. Ringel posed the famous Oberwolfach problem $\operatorname{OP}(F)$ which asks whether, for any 2-factor $F$ of order $n$, the complete graph $K_{n}$ when $n$ is odd, or $K_{n} \backslash I$ (i.e., the complete graph with a 1 -factor $I$ removed) when $n$ is even, is 2 -factorable into 2 -factors, each isomorphic to $F$. If the 2-factor $F$ consists of $t$ disjoint cycles of lengths $p_{1} \geq p_{2} \geq \ldots \geq p_{t}$, we also use the notation $\operatorname{OP}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{t}\right)$ in place of $\mathrm{OP}(F)$.

There are only four known instances for which the Oberwolfach problem does not have a solution, cf. [14]: $O P(3,3), O P(5,4), O P(5,3,3)$ and $O P(3,3,3,3) . \mathrm{OP}(F)$ has been intensively studied and solved for several classes of 2-factors, in particular: when $F$ is bipartite [5, 10], $F$ is uniform (all cycles have the same lengths) [3, 4, 11], for $t=2$ [16], when $F$ contains a sufficiently long cycle [7], for some instances with short cycles only, cf. [13]. Moreover, $\mathrm{OP}(F)$ has been completely solved for orders $n=2 q$, where $q$ is any prime congruent to $5(\bmod 8)$ [2], and for some values of prime $q$ congruent to $1(\bmod 16)[6]$. Asymptotic evidences (for large $n$ without any lower bound) have been provided [9,12], although the problem still remains widely open.

Solutions to the Oberwolfach problem were produced for orders $n \leq 17[1], 18 \leq$ $n \leq 40$ [8], and recently for $41 \leq n \leq 60$ [15]. The aim of this paper is to provide solutions for the complete set of all 40,119,909 instances for orders $61 \leq n \leq 100$.

## 2 Constructions

Among numerous variations of standard difference methods, the following modification of the well known Bose's method of pure and mixed differences turned out to be efficient to produce feasible solutions for each case in a reasonable computational time. Since the number of 2 -factors is, depending on the parity of $n$, either $\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor$ or $\left\lfloor\frac{n-2}{2}\right\rfloor$, it seems to be convenient to assume that a solution being constructed admits as an automorphism a permutation containing exactly $r$ fixed points and two cycles, each of length $m=\frac{n-r}{2}$, where $r=1$ if $n$ is odd and $r=2$ otherwise.

Let $P$ and $R$ be a 2-element and an $r$-element set, respectively, $P=\{1,2\}$, $R=\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$. Let $V=V^{\prime} \cup V^{\prime \prime}$ where $V^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times P$ and $V^{\prime \prime}=\{\infty\} \times R$. A standard notation $x_{i}$ is used for the pair $(x, i)$. For any two vertices $x_{i} \neq y_{j}$ of $V^{\prime}$, the differences arising from this pair may be of two kinds:
(1) if $i=j$ then $\pm(x-y)$ are pure differences of type $i$
(2) if $i \neq j$ then $\pm(x-y)$ are mixed differences.

A pure difference of any type may be equal to any nonzero element of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ while a mixed difference may be equal to any element of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Moreover, for any $x_{i} \in V^{\prime}$ and any $\infty_{j} \in V^{\prime \prime}$ we get an infinity difference of type $(i, j)$.

Let $G$ be a regular graph of order $n=2 m+r$ and degree $2 m$ such that $V(G)=$ $V=V^{\prime} \cup V^{\prime \prime}$ and $G$ admits as an automorphism the permutation $\alpha=\left(0_{1}, 1_{1}, \ldots,(m-\right.$ $\left.1)_{1}\right)\left(0_{2}, 1_{2}, \ldots,(m-1)_{2}\right)$, where all vertices in $V^{\prime \prime}$ are fixed points of $\alpha$. We say that a 2-factorization $\mathcal{F}$ of $G$ is $(2, r)$-rotational if there exists a 2 -factor $F$ of $G$ such that, among pairs determined by edges of $F$, every nonzero element of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ occurs at most once as a pure difference of type $i$ for each $i \in P$, every element of $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$ occurs at most once as a mixed difference, moreover for each $i \in P, j \in R$ there is at most one edge with infinity difference of type $(i, j)$ and there is no edge induced by $V^{\prime \prime}$. Then $F$ is the base 2-factor for $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F, \alpha F, \alpha^{2} F, \ldots, \alpha^{m-1} F\right\}$.

Depending on the residue class of $n$ modulo 4 , these cases are considered separately. If $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ then $G=K_{n}$ and $r=1$. If $n \equiv 0$ or $2(\bmod 4)$ then $G=K_{n} \backslash I$ and $r=2$, where $I$ is a 1-factor of $G$ and $\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\} \in I$. If $n \equiv 9$ $(\bmod 12)$ and $p_{1}=3$ then $G=K_{n} \backslash F^{\prime}$ and $r=3$, where $F^{\prime}$ is a 2 -factor of $G$ that consists of 3 -cycles only and one of them is induced by $V^{\prime \prime}$. For all remaining cases when $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, some modifications to the above method are necessary and then 2 -factorizations which are constructed are not $(2, r)$-rotational. Nevertheless, the priority was to reduce the number of different constructions which could be used. With respect to the large number of instances to verify, in order to simplify computations and to significantly shorten output files, the main assumption was made to have every solution represented just by a single base 2 -factor.

For the completeness of results, solutions for the cases when the Oberwolfach problem has been settled before, are also included. The complete set of files is available from the author at http://home.agh.edu.pl/~meszka/op.html. Although the authors of [15] claim they have constructed solutions for each instance and each order $41 \leq n \leq 60$, in the files they have provided online many instances are missing.

Thus complete files for these orders are attached, too. Every text file (separate for each $41 \leq n \leq 100$ ) contains solutions for all instances for a given order $n$; files are compressed using 7 z archive format. Each line in a file corresponds to one instance; it has the format $O P\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{t}\right): C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{t}$, where each $C_{i}$ contains consecutive vertices of a cycle of length $p_{i}$ in the base 2 -factor $F, i=1,2, \ldots, t$.

## $2.1 n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$

Let $m=\frac{n-1}{2}$ and $V=\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times\{1,2\} \cup\{\infty\}$ be the vertex set of $K_{n}$. Then $m$ is odd. To get all remaining 2 -factors it is enough to apply $\alpha^{i}$ to the base 2 -factor $F$, for every $i=1,2, \ldots, m$.

## $2.2 n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$

Let $m=\frac{n-2}{2}$ and $V=\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times\{1,2\} \cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ be the vertex set of $K_{n}$. Then $m$ is odd. Let $I$ be a 1 -factor with the edge set $\left\{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}: i \in Z_{m}\right\} \cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$. Thus, in the base 2 -factor $F$, the mixed difference 0 is excluded. The permutations $\alpha^{i}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, applied to $F$, produce all remaining 2 -factors.

## $2.3 n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$

Let $m=\frac{n-2}{2}$ and $V=\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times\{1,2\} \cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$ be the vertex set of $K_{n}$. Then $m$ is even. Let $I$ be a 1 -factor with the edge set $\left\{\left\{i_{j},\left(i+\frac{m}{2}\right)_{j}\right\}: i=0,1, \ldots, \frac{m}{2}-\right.$ $1, j=1,2\} \cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}\right\}$. In this way the base 2 -factor $F$ does not contain edges of pure difference $\frac{m}{2}$ of both types. Similarly to the above, the permutations $\alpha^{i}$, $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, are applied to $F$ to get all remaining 2 -factors.

## $2.4 n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$

Since $m=\frac{n-1}{2}$ is even, in order to use pure differences $\frac{m}{2}$, constructions used previously have to be modified. Let $V=\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times\{1,2\} \cup\{\infty\}$ be the vertex set of $K_{n}$. Although $\alpha$ is not assumed to be an automorphism of $\mathcal{F}$ anymore and two base 2-factors $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are needed to generate $\mathcal{F}$, it is possible to easily transform $F$ to $F^{\prime}$ and to get in this way a 2 -factorization $\mathcal{F}$ represented just by a single 2 -factor $F$. To do this, four cases are considered separately:
(1) $p_{1} \geq 5$ : To construct $F$, all pure, mixed and infinity differences except for the mixed difference $\frac{m}{2}$ are used. Moreover, it is required that $C_{p_{1}}$ contains the path $\left(0_{1}, \frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}{ }_{2}, 0_{2}\right)$. Let $F^{\prime}$ denote a 2 -factor obtained from $F$ by replacing the edges $\left\{0_{1}, \frac{m}{2}{ }_{1}\right\},\left\{0_{2}, \frac{m}{2}{ }_{2}\right\}$ with $\left\{0_{1}, \frac{m}{2} 2_{2}\right\},\left\{0_{2}, \frac{m}{2}{ }_{1}\right\}$. This transformation does not change the length of $C_{p_{1}}$. Instead of pure differences $\frac{m}{2}$ of both types, mixed difference $\frac{m}{2}$ is used twice in $F^{\prime}$. Then $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F, \alpha F, \alpha^{2} F, \ldots, \alpha^{\frac{m-2}{2}} F, \alpha^{\frac{m}{2}} F^{\prime}, \alpha^{\frac{m+2}{2}} F^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha^{m-1} F^{\prime}\right\}$.
(2) $p_{1}=4$ and $n \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ : Notice that $p_{t}=3$. It is assumed that $C_{p_{1}}=$ $\left(0_{1}, 2_{2}, \frac{m}{2}{ }_{1}, \infty\right)$ and $C_{p_{t}}=\left(0_{2}, 1_{1}, \frac{m}{2}{ }_{2}\right)$. Let $F^{\prime}$ be a 2 -factor obtained from $F$ by
replacing $C_{p_{1}}, C_{p_{t}}$ with cycles $\left(1_{1}, 0_{2}, \infty, \frac{m}{2}{ }_{2}\right),\left(0_{1}, \frac{m}{2}, 2_{2}\right)$. Notice that both these pairs of cycles contain the same vertices and differ in the use of the pure difference $\frac{m}{2}$ (of type 1 missing in the first pair and of type 2 missing in the second) and repeated infinity differences (type 1 in the first pair and type 2 in the second). Then $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F, \alpha F, \alpha^{2} F, \ldots, \alpha^{\frac{m-2}{2}} F, \alpha^{\frac{m}{2}} F^{\prime}, \alpha^{\frac{m+2}{2}} F^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha^{m-1} F^{\prime}\right\}$.
(3) $p_{1}=4$ and $n \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ : A parity argument determines that the above construction (2) cannot be used. Let $F^{\prime}$ be a 2 -factor obtained from $F$ by applying a permutation $\beta$ such that $\beta\left(i_{1}\right)=i_{2}, \beta\left(i_{2}\right)=\left(\left(i+\frac{m}{2}\right)_{1}\right)$ and $\beta(\infty)=\infty$, for each $i=0,1, \ldots, m-1$. Then $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F, \alpha F^{\prime}, \alpha^{2} F, \alpha^{3} F^{\prime}, \ldots, \alpha^{m-2} F, \alpha^{m-1} F^{\prime}\right\}$.
(4) $p_{1}=3$ : Then $n \equiv 9(\bmod 12)$. Let $m^{\prime}=\frac{n-3}{2}$. In this case a solution that is $(2,3)-$ rotational is constructed. To construct $F$, all pure, mixed and infinity differences except for pure differences $\pm \frac{m^{\prime}}{3}$ of both types, are used. Let $F^{*}=\left\{\left\{i_{1},\left(\frac{m^{\prime}}{3}+\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.i)_{1},\left(\frac{2 m^{\prime}}{3}+i\right)_{1}\right\},\left\{i_{2},\left(\frac{m^{\prime}}{3}+i\right)_{2},\left(\frac{2 m^{\prime}}{3}+i\right)_{2}\right\}: i=0,1, \ldots, \frac{m^{\prime}}{3}-1\right\} \cup\left\{\infty_{1}, \infty_{2}, \infty_{3}\right\}$. Then $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F, \alpha F, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{m^{\prime}-1} F, F^{*}\right\}$.

For any order $n$, the list of all instances $O P\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{t}\right)$ simply contains all partitions of $n$ into parts, none less than 3. Enumerations of such partitions, for each $41 \leq n \leq 100$, are included in Table 1. In the case of each instance, purely combinatorial methods were used to construct a required 2 -factorization. A construction of a base 2 -factor $F$ was split into two stages: in the first edges of $F$ were partitioned just according to their type, and in the second vertices were labeled. To accomplish both steps efficiently, several randomized searches were applied. This approach allowed to generate all solutions in average computational time 0.6 second (for $n=61$ ) and 8.8 second (for $n=97$ ) per instance on one core of the Intel Xeon E5-2680v3 2.5 GHz processor. Due to the large number of instances to verify, a cluster of HPE ProLiant XL730f Gen9 servers, each with two such processors and 12 cores per processor, was used.
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| $n$ | $i$ | $n$ | $i$ | $n$ | $i$ | $n$ | $i$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 41 | 2075 | 42 | 2438 | 43 | 2842 | 44 | 3323 |
| 45 | 3872 | 46 | 4510 | 47 | 5237 | 48 | 6095 |
| 49 | 7056 | 50 | 8182 | 51 | 9465 | 52 | 10945 |
| 53 | 12625 | 54 | 14578 | 55 | 16779 | 56 | 19323 |
| 57 | 22210 | 58 | 25519 | 59 | 29269 | 60 | 33581 |
| 61 | 38438 | 62 | 44004 | 63 | 50305 | 64 | 57480 |
| 65 | 65585 | 66 | 74831 | 67 | 85241 | 68 | 97084 |
| 69 | 110441 | 70 | 125577 | 71 | 142627 | 72 | 161955 |
| 73 | 183669 | 74 | 208233 | 75 | 235858 | 76 | 267016 |
| 77 | 302008 | 78 | 341474 | 79 | 385714 | 80 | 435525 |
| 81 | 491365 | 82 | 554102 | 83 | 624363 | 84 | 703263 |
| 85 | 791483 | 86 | 890414 | 87 | 1001014 | 88 | 1124831 |
| 89 | 1263105 | 90 | 1417812 | 91 | 1590370 | 92 | 1783200 |
| 93 | 1998184 | 94 | 2238095 | 95 | 2505329 | 96 | 2803342 |
| 97 | 3134927 | 98 | 3504321 | 99 | 3915113 | 100 | 4372211 |

Table 1: The number $i$ of instances for order $n$
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