On random digraphs and cores

ESMAEIL PARSA*

Department of Mathematics Islamic Azad University (Parand Branch) Parand New Town, Iran esmaeil.parsa@yahoo.com

P. Mark Kayll[†]

Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Montana Missoula MT 59812 U.S.A. mark.kayll@umontana.edu

Abstract

An acyclic homomorphism of a digraph C to a digraph D is a function $\rho: V(C) \to V(D)$ such that for every arc uv of C, either $\rho(u) = \rho(v)$, or $\rho(u)\rho(v)$ is an arc of D and for every vertex $v \in V(D)$, the subdigraph of C induced by $\rho^{-1}(v)$ is acyclic. A digraph D is a core if the only acyclic homomorphisms of D to itself are automorphisms. In this paper, we prove that for certain choices of p(n), random digraphs $D \in D(n, p(n))$ are asymptotically almost surely cores. For digraphs, this mirrors a result from [A. Bonato and P. Prałat, *Discrete Math.* **309 (18)** (2009), 5535–5539; MR2567955] concerning random graphs and cores.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we follow [1] and [4] for definitions and terminology. Our digraphs are simple, i.e., loopless and without multiple arcs. However, we allow two vertices u, v to be joined by two oppositely directed arcs, uv and vu. By a cycle, we always

^{*} Partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#279367 to Mark Kayll), and partially supported by a 2017 University of Montana Graduate Student Summer Research Award funded by the George and Dorothy Bryan Endowment. This work forms part of the author's PhD dissertation [7].

[†] Partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#279367 to Mark Kayll).

mean a directed cycle in the digraph case. For a natural number n and $0 \le p \le 1$, a digraph $D \in D(n, p)$ is defined to be a digraph on n vertices (we use $V(D) = [n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$) where each ordered pair of vertices is joined by an arc with probability p, with the arcs chosen independently. Note that if D is any particular digraph on n vertices, then the probability of obtaining D is $p^{|A(D)|}(1-p)^{n(n-1)-|A(D)|}$.

If \mathscr{Q} is any digraph property (e.g., contains a \overleftarrow{K}_3 , is connected, etc.), we say that $D \in D(n, p(n))$ has property \mathscr{Q} $(D \in \mathscr{Q})$ a.a.s. (asymptotically almost surely) if $P(D \in \mathscr{Q}) \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$. We use v_C and a_C to denote |V(C)| and |A(C)|, respectively, for a digraph C. We sometimes use the asymptotic notations $a_n \ll b_n$ and $a_n \asymp b_n$ to denote $a_n = o(b_n)$ and $a_n = \Theta(b_n)$, respectively, for positive sequences (a_n) and (b_n) .

The maximum density of D is

$$m(D) := \max\left\{\frac{a_C}{v_C} : C \text{ is a subdigraph of } D \text{ and } v_C > 0\right\}$$

Let \mathscr{Q} be a nontrivial digraph property (a property that is not satisfied by all or no digraphs). We say that \mathscr{Q} is monotone increasing if $D \in \mathscr{Q}$ implies that $C \in \mathscr{Q}$ for every digraph C on the same set of vertices containing D as a subdigraph. Let \mathscr{Q} be a nontrivial monotone increasing digraph property, (\hat{p}_n) a sequence of probabilities, and $D \in D(n, p(n))$. Then (\hat{p}_n) is a threshold for \mathscr{Q} if

$$P(D \in \mathscr{Q}) \to \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p(n) \ll \hat{p}_n \\ 1 & \text{if } p(n) \gg \hat{p}_n \end{cases}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

The following assertion is a digraph analogue of [6, Theorem 3.4] and can be proved following the same technique.

Theorem 1.1. For an arbitrary digraph C with at least one arc,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(C \subseteq D \in D(n, p(n))) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p(n) \ll n^{-1/m(C)} \\ 1 & \text{if } p(n) \gg n^{-1/m(C)}. \end{cases}$$

2 Asymptotic properties of random digraphs

We begin with Chernoff's inequality, which is used extensively in the proof of Lemma 2.3. Here $X \in B(n, p)$ indicates that X is a binomial random variable with parameters n and p, with n being the number of trials and p the success probability of each trial.

Theorem 2.1 (Chernoff's inequality [6]). If $X \in B(n, p)$ and $\lambda = np$, then, with $\rho(x) = (1+x)\log(1+x) - x$ for $x \ge -1$ (and $\rho(x) = \infty$ for x < -1), we have

$$P(X \ge E(X) + t) \le \exp(-\lambda\rho(t/\lambda)) \le \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2(\lambda + t/3)}\right) \text{ for } t \ge 0,$$

and

$$P(X \le E(X) - t) \le \exp(-\lambda\rho(-t/\lambda)) \le \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\lambda}\right) \text{ for } t \ge 0.$$

One immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1 is

Corollary 2.2 ([6]). If $X \in B(n, p)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, then

$$P(|X - E(X)| \ge \epsilon E(X)) \le 2\exp(-\rho(\epsilon)E(X)).$$

In particular, if $\epsilon \leq 3/2$, then

$$P(|X - E(X)| \ge \epsilon E(X) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\epsilon^2 E(X)}{3}\right).$$

In order to prove the main result of this paper—Theorem 3.1—we need several lemmas, collected together in the following result. This extends Lemma 1 in [3] to random digraphs.

Lemma 2.3. If $n^{-1/9} \log^2 n , then a.a.s. <math>D \in D(n, p)$ has the following properties:

- (a) the number of neighbours of a vertex of D is at least $n(2p p^2)(1 o(1))$ and at most $n(2p - p^2)(1 + o(1))$;
- (b) every pair of distinct vertices of D has at least $np^2(2-p)^2(1-o(1))$ and at most $np^2(2-p)^2(1+o(1))$ common neighbours;
- (c) the largest acyclic subdigraph of D has fewer than $n^{1/9}$ vertices;
- (d) each set of k vertices, where $k \ge k_0 = k_0(n) = n^{1/9} \log^2 n/2$, induces a subdigraph with at most $2p\binom{k}{2}(1+o(1))$ arcs;
- (e) in each set of k disjoint pairs of vertices $\{\{v_i, w_i\}\}$, for $i \in [k]$ where $k \ge k_1 = k_1(n) = n^{1/9} \log^2 n$, there are at least $2(1 (1 p)^4) \binom{k}{2} (1 + o(1))$ pairs (i, j) such that at least one of $v_i v_j, v_i w_j, w_i v_j, w_i w_j$ is an arc of D.

Proof. (a) Let v be an arbitrary vertex of $D \in D(n, p)$. We define the random variable X as $X = |N_D(v)|$. We have

$$E(X) = (n-1)[1 - (1-p)^2] = (n-1)(2p - p^2) = n(2p - p^2) - O(1).$$

Using Corollary 2.2 with $\epsilon = \log n / \sqrt{n(2p - p^2)}$ we have

$$P(X \ge n(2p - p^2) + \sqrt{n(2p - p^2)} \log n \text{ or } X \le n(2p - p^2) - \sqrt{n(2p - p^2)} \log n)$$

$$\leq 2\exp\left(-\frac{\log^2 n}{3}\right).$$

Now, suppose that the random variable Y counts all the vertices having at least $[n(2p-p^2)+\sqrt{n(2p-p^2)}\log n]$ or at most $[n(2p-p^2)-\sqrt{n(2p-p^2)}\log n]$ neighbours. Using Markov's inequality, we have

$$P(Y = 0) = 1 - P(Y \ge 1) \ge 1 - E(Y) \ge 1 - 2n \exp\left(-\frac{\log^2 n}{3}\right) \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

So a.a.s. the number of neighbours of every vertex of $D \in D(n,p)$ lies between $n(2p-p^2)(1-o(1))$ and $n(2p-p^2)(1+o(1))$.

(b) Let v_1 and v_2 be two distinct vertices of $D \in D(n, p)$ and let X count their common neighbours. Then

$$E(X) = (n-2)[1 - (1-p)^2][1 - (1-p)^2] = (n-2)p^2(2-p)^2 = np^2(2-p)^2 - O(1).$$

Using Corollary 2.2 with $\epsilon = \log n / \sqrt{np^2(2-p)^2}$, we have

$$\begin{split} P(X \ge np^2(2-p)^2 + \sqrt{np^2(2-p)^2}\log n \text{ or } X \le np^2(2-p)^2 - \sqrt{np^2(2-p)^2})\log n) \\ \le 2\exp\big(-\frac{\log^2 n}{3}\big). \end{split}$$

Now, suppose that Y counts all pairs of vertices having at least $[np^2(2-p)^2 + \sqrt{np^2(2-p)^2}\log n]$ or at most $[np^2(2-p)^2 - \sqrt{np^2(2-p)^2}\log n]$ common neighbours. Then

$$P(Y=0) = 1 - P(Y \ge 1) \ge 1 - E(Y) \ge 1 - \binom{n}{2} 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\log^2 n}{3}\right)$$
$$= 1 - O(n^2) \exp\left(-\frac{\log^2 n}{3}\right) \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

So a.a.s. the number of common neighbours of any two distinct vertices lies between $np^2(2-p)^2(1-o(1))$ and $np^2(2-p)^2(1+o(1))$.

(c) It is enough to show that any subdigraph of $D \in D(n,p)$ on $n^{1/9}$ vertices a.a.s. contains a cycle. To this end, let C be such a subdigraph. We can view Cas being sampled from $D(n^{1/9}, p)$. Using Theorem 1.1, we deduce that $p = n^{-1/9}$ is a threshold for containing a cycle in $D(n^{1/9}, p)$ (because the maximum density of a cycle is 1), so because $n^{-1/9} \log^2 n \leq p = p(n)$, the subdigraph C a.a.s. contains a cycle.

(d) For an integer $k > n^{1/9} \log^2 n/2$ and a set $S \subseteq V(D)$ with |S| = k, let us enumerate S as $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Let the random variable X count the number of arcs in the subdigraph induced by S. Then $X = \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le k} X_{ij}$, where X_{ij} counts the number of arcs (zero or one) from i to j. Thus

$$E(X) = \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le k} E(X_{ij}) = 2\binom{k}{2}p.$$

Using Corollary 2.2 with $\epsilon = 1/\log n$, we have:

$$P\left(X \ge 2p\binom{k}{2}(1+1/\log n) \text{ or } X \le 2p\binom{k}{2}(1-1/\log n)\right)$$
$$\le 2\exp\left(-\frac{1}{3\log^2 n}2\binom{k}{2}p\right)$$
$$\le 2\exp\left(-\frac{1}{3\log^2 n}k^2n^{-1/9}\log^2 n\right) \tag{1}$$

$$\leq 2\exp\left(-\frac{k^2n^{-1/9}}{3}\right),\tag{2}$$

the estimate (1) following from the hypothesis $p \ge n^{-1/9} \log^2 n$. Now, suppose that Y_t counts all the subsets of V(D) of fixed size $t \ge k_0$ whose induced subdigraphs have at least $2p \binom{t}{2}(1+1/\log n)$ or at most $2p \binom{t}{2}(1-1/\log n)$ arcs. Then $Y = \sum_{t=k_0}^{n} Y_t$ counts all the subsets U of size at least k_0 whose induced subdigraphs have at least $2p \binom{|U|}{2}(1+1/\log n)$ or at most $2p \binom{|U|}{2}(1-1/\log n)$ arcs. We have:

$$E(Y) = \sum_{t=k_0}^{n} E(Y_t)$$

$$\leq \sum_{t=k_0}^{n} 2\binom{n}{t} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 n^{-1/9}}{3}\right)$$
(3)

$$<\sum_{t=k_{0}}^{n} 2\left(\frac{ne}{t}\right)^{t} \exp\left(-\frac{t^{2}n^{-1/9}}{3}\right) \tag{4}$$

$$= \sum_{t=k_0}^{n} 2 \exp\left(-t \log t + t \log n + t - \frac{t^2 n^{-1/3}}{3}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{t=k_0}^{n} 2 \exp\left(t\left(\log n + 1 - \log t - \frac{t n^{-1/9}}{3}\right)\right)$$
$$< 2 \sum_{t=k_0}^{n} e^{-t}$$
(5)

$$<2\sum_{t=k_0}^{\infty}e^{-t} = \frac{2e^{-k_0}}{1-e^{-1}} = o(1).$$
 (6)

The estimate (3) follows from (2), relation (4) follows from the fact that $\binom{n}{t} < (\frac{ne}{t})^t$, and (5) follows from the bound $\log n + 1 - \log t - \frac{tn^{-1/9}}{3} < -1$. Using the bound (6) in Markov's inequality, we find that

$$P(Y = 0) = 1 - P(Y \ge 1) \ge 1 - E(Y) \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

So a.a.s. each set of $k \ge n^{1/9} \log^2 n/2$ vertices induces a subdigraph with at most $2p\binom{k}{2}(1+1/\log n) = 2p\binom{k}{2}(1+o(1))$ arcs.

(e) Let S be a set of $k \ge k_1 = n^{1/9} \log^2 n$ disjoint pairs of vertices $\{v_i, w_i\}$, for $i \in [k]$ of $D \in D(n, p)$. Let S' (the 'contraction' of S) be the set obtained from S by identifying w_i with its corresponding v_i . For convenience, we enumerate S' as $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Now, suppose that X counts the number of arcs (excluding loops and multiple arcs) in the subdigraph induced by S'. Then $X = \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le k} X_{ij}$, where X_{ij} counts the number of arcs (zero or one) from i to j in the subdigraph induced by S' (note that the sum is over ordered pairs). We have

$$E(X_{ij}) = P(X_{ij} = 1) = 1 - P(X_{ij} = 0) = 1 - (1 - p)^4,$$

so that

$$E(X) = \sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le k} E(X_{ij}) = 2\binom{k}{2} \left[1 - (1-p)^4\right].$$

Using Corollary 2.2 with $\epsilon = 1/\log n$, we have:

$$P\left[X \ge 2\binom{k}{2}\left(1 - (1-p)^{4}\right)\left(1 + 1/\log n\right) \text{ or } X \le 2\binom{k}{2}\left(1 - (1-p)^{4}\right)\left(1 - 1/\log n\right)\right]$$
$$\le 2\exp\left(-\frac{1}{3\log^{2} n}2\binom{k}{2}\left[1 - (1-p)^{4}\right]\right)$$
$$\le 2\exp\left(-\frac{1}{3\log^{2} n}2\binom{k}{2}p\right) \tag{7}$$
$$\le 2\exp\left(-\frac{1}{3\log^{2} n}k^{2}n^{-1/9}\log^{2} n\right)$$
$$= 2\exp\left(-\frac{k^{2}n^{-1/9}}{3}\right),$$

where the estimate (7) follows from the fact that $1 - (1 - p)^4 \ge p$ for 0 .

Now, suppose that Y_k counts all the sets with exactly k disjoint pairs of vertices of D whose contractions induce subdigraphs with at least $2\binom{k}{2}[1-(1-p)^4](1+1/\log n)$ or at most $2\binom{k}{2}[1-(1-p)^4](1-1/\log n)$ arcs (excluding loops and multiple arcs). Then $Y = \sum_{k=k_1}^n Y_k$ counts all the sets with at least k_1 disjoint pairs whose contractions U induce subdigraphs with at least $2\binom{|U|}{2}[1-(1-p)^4](1+1/\log n)$ or at most $2\binom{|U|}{2}[1-(1-p)^4](1-1/\log n)$ arcs. Arguing similarly to our estimates in part (d), we now have:

$$E(Y) = \sum_{k=k_1}^{n} E(Y_k)$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=k_1}^{n} 2\binom{n^2}{k} \exp\left(-\frac{k^2 n^{-1/9}}{3}\right)$$

$$< \sum_{k=k_1}^{n} 2\left(\frac{n^2 e}{k}\right)^k \exp\left(-\frac{k^2 n^{-1/9}}{3}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=k_1}^{n} 2 \exp\left(-k \log k + 2k \log n + k - \frac{k^2 n^{-1/9}}{3}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{k=k_1}^{n} 2 \exp\left(k\left(2\log n + 1 - \log k - \frac{kn^{-1/9}}{3}\right)\right)$$
$$< 2 \sum_{k=k_1}^{n} e^{-k} < 2 \sum_{k=k_1}^{\infty} e^{-k} = \frac{2e^{-k_1}}{1 - e^{-1}} = o(1).$$
(8)

Using the bound (8) in Markov's inequality, we find that

$$P(Y = 0) = 1 - P(Y \ge 1) \ge 1 - E(Y) \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

So a.a.s. the contraction of each set S of $k \ge n^{1/9} \log^2 n$ disjoint pairs of vertices of D induces a subdigraph with $2\binom{k}{2}[1-(1-p)^4](1\pm 1/\log n)$ arcs (excluding loops and multiple arcs). It follows that in each set of k disjoint pairs of vertices $\{\{v_i, w_i\}\}$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ with $k \ge n^{1/9} \log^2 n$, there are $2(1-(1-p)^4)\binom{k}{2}(1\pm o(1))$ pairs (i, j) such that at least one of $v_i v_j, v_i w_j, w_i v_j, w_i w_j$ is an arc of D.

3 A.a.s. all digraphs are cores

An acyclic homomorphism of a digraph D to a digraph C, first defined in [2], is a function $\rho: V(D) \to V(C)$ such that:

- (i) for every arc $uv \in A(D)$, either $\rho(u) = \rho(v)$, or $\rho(u)\rho(v)$ is an arc of C; and
- (ii) for every vertex $v \in V(C)$, the subdigraph of D induced by $\rho^{-1}(v)$ is acyclic.

For a more thorough treatment of graph and digraph homomorphisms, the reader is encouraged to consult [5]. We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3.1. If $n^{-1/9} \log^2 n , and <math>D, C \in D(n, p)$, then a.a.s. every acyclic homomorphism $f: V(D) \to V(C)$ is injective.

Proof. The bounds on p imply that D and C a.a.s. satisfy properties (a)–(e) in Lemma 2.3. Suppose for a contradiction that there exists an acyclic homomorphism $f: V(D) \to V(C)$ that is not injective. Then $f(x) = f(y) = z \in V(C)$ for some distinct vertices $x, y \in V(D)$. Thus the set A of vertices adjacent to either x or y in D must be mapped by f to the set B containing z and vertices adjacent to z. That is, if $A = N_D(x) \cup N_D(y)$ and $B = N_C[z]$, then $f(A) \subseteq B$ (our notational convention being $N[z] = \{z\} \cup N(z)$). Using (a) and (b) in Lemma 2.3, a.a.s. we have

$$|A| \ge 2n(2p - p^2)(1 - o(1)) - np^2(2 - p)^2(1 + o(1))$$

\approx (2np(2 - p) - np^2(2 - p)^2)(1 - o(1))

377

$$= np(2-p)(2-p(2-p))(1-o(1)),$$

and

$$|f(A)| \le |B| \le n(2p - p^2)(1 + o(1))$$

Thus a.a.s.

$$|A| - |f(A)| \ge \left[np(2-p)(p^2 - 2p + 2) \right] (1 - o(1)) - np(2-p)(1 + o(1)) \asymp \left[np(2-p)(p^2 - 2p + 1) \right] (1 + o(1)) = np(2-p)(1-p)^2 (1 + o(1)) > \frac{1}{2}np(1-p)^2 (1 + o(1)) \ge \frac{1}{2}n^{2/3} \log^6 n(1 + o(1)) \ge \frac{1}{2}n^{2/3} \log^2 n(1 + o(1)),$$
(9)

where the bound (9) follows from the fact that $p > n^{-1/9} \log^2 n$ and $1 - p > n^{-1/9} \log^2 n$. Because f is an acyclic homomorphism, for any vertex $v \in V(C)$, the set $f^{-1}(v)$ is an acyclic set in D so $|f^{-1}(v)| < n^{1/9}$ (part (c) of Lemma 2.3). Using the fact that $|A| - |f(A)| \ge n^{2/3} \log^2 n/2$ and $|f^{-1}(v)| < n^{1/9}$ shows that a.a.s. there are

$$k > \frac{|A| - |f(A)|}{n^{1/9}} > \frac{1}{2}n^{5/9}\log^2 n > \frac{1}{2}n^{1/3}\log^2 n > n^{1/9}\log^2 n$$

vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k \in f(A)$ such that $|f^{-1}(v_i)| \geq 2$. Using property (e) of Lemma 2.3, we see that a.a.s. there are

$$2(1-(1-p)^4\binom{k}{2})(1\pm o(1))$$

arcs among the vertices in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} f^{-1}(v_i) \subseteq A$ and consequently among the vertices v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k . But part (d) implies that there are at most $2p\binom{k}{2}(1 + o(1))$ such arcs. This gives our desired contradiction because $2(1 - (1 - p)^4\binom{k}{2})(1 \pm o(1)) > 2p\binom{k}{2}(1 + o(1))$.

Corollary 3.2. If $n^{-1/9} \log^2 n , then a.a.s. a random digraph <math>D \in D(n, p)$ is a core.

Acknowledgements

As noted on the title page, this work forms part of the first author's dissertation. He thanks his advisor, Mark Kayll, for his support, which came in various forms. Thanks also to the referees for their contributions to improving the exposition.

378

References

- J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin, *Digraphs: Theory, algorithms and applications*, Second edition, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2009.
- [2] D. Bokal, G. Fijavž, M. Juvan, P. M. Kayll and B. Mohar, The circular chromatic number of a digraph, J. Graph Theory 46(3) (2004), 227–240.
- [3] A. Bonato and P. Prałat, The good, the bad, and the great: homomorphisms and cores of random graphs, *Discrete Math.* **309(18)** (2009), 5535–5539.
- [4] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph theory, volume 244 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [5] P. Hell and J. Nešetřil, Graphs and homomorphisms, volume 28 of Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [6] S. Janson, T. Łuczak and A. Rucinski, *Random graphs*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000.
- [7] E. Parsa, Aspects of unique D-colorability for digraphs, Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Montana, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2019.

(Received 1 Oct 2019; revised 20 Dec 2020)