# Finding monarchs for excluded minor classes of matroids

## S.R. KINGAN

Department of Mathematics Brooklyn College, City University of New York Brooklyn, NY 11210 U.S.A. skingan@brooklyn.cuny.edu

#### Abstract

We prove that the only 3-connected binary non-regular matroids with no minor isomorphic to a rank 5, 9-element binary matroid known as  $P_9^*$  are the rank 3 and 4 binary projective geometries, a 16-element rank 5 matroid, and two maximal 3-connected infinite families of matroids of rank  $r \ge 5$  that we call monarchs: the well-known infinite family of binary spikes with 2r + 1 elements and a new infinite family with 4r - 5 elements. Both families are in matrix format. This is one of very few excluded-minor classes of matroids for which the members are so precisely determined. As a consquence, if M is a simple binary matroid of rank  $r \ge 6$  with no  $P_9^*$  minor, then  $|M| \le \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$ , with this bound being attained for  $M \cong M(K_{r+1})$ , where  $K_{r+1}$  is the rank r complete graph.

## 1 Introduction

In [4] we gave a reproof of the structural characterization of binary matroids with no  $M(W_4)$ -minor. This was a 1987 result by Oxley that appeared in [9]. He proved this result using Seymour's Splitter Theorem [11]. The main component of Oxley's proof was a complete identification of the class of binary matroids with no minor isomorphic to  $P_9$  or  $P_9^*$ . Matrix representations for  $P_9$  and  $P_9^*$  are shown below.

	$1 \cdots 4$	5	6	7	8	9		$1 \cdots 5$	6	7	8	9
	г I	0	1	1	1	17		Γ	0	1	1	1]
	T	0	1	1	1			$I_5$	1	0	1	1
$P_0 =$	$I_4$	1	0	1	1	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ . \end{bmatrix}$	$P_{0}^{*} =$	Ű	1	1	0	1
- 9		1	1	0	1	0, 1	9		1	1	1	1
	L	1	1	1	1	0			1	1	0	
									T	T	U	υI

Oxley showed that the excluded minor class for these two matroids contains one infinite family of 3-connected matroids known as the binary spikes  $Z_r$ . Matrix representations for  $Z_r$  and  $Z_r^*$  are shown below, where we use the name of the matroid to also stand for the matrix representing it:

$$Z_{r} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} & \cdots & b_{r} & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{r-1} & a_{r} & c_{r} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$b_{1} & \cdots & b_{r+1} & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{r-1} & a_{r} \\ b_{1} & \cdots & b_{r+1} & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{r-1} & a_{r} \\ \begin{bmatrix} b_{1} & \cdots & b_{r+1} & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{r-1} & a_{r} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We call  $Z_r$  a rank r monarch. In general, let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a class of matroids closed under minors. A 3-connected rank r matroid in  $\mathcal{M}$  that has no further 3-connected extensions in  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a rank r monarch for  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Following the terminology in [10], let EX(M) denote the class of matroids with no minors isomorphic to M. Ding and Wu characterized the binary matroids in  $EX(P_9)$ in terms of 3-sums in Theorem 1.2 of [2]. They proved that a binary 3-connected non-regular matroid M has no  $P_9$ -minor if and only if M is one of the 16 internally 4-connected non-regular minors of  $R_{16}^*$ ; or M is a binary spike  $Z_r, Z_r^*, Z_r \setminus b_r$ , or  $Z_r \setminus c_r$ , for some  $r \ge 4$ ; or M is formed by taking t disjoint triangles  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_t$ of  $M^*(K_{3,p}), M^*(K'_{3,p}), M^*(K''_{3,p})$ , or  $M^*(K''_{3,p})$ , where  $p \ge 2$  and  $1 \le t \le p$ , and t copies of  $F_7^*$  and performing 3-sum operations consecutively. The last infinite family is formed by 3-summing copies of the Fano matroid to  $M^*(K_{3,p}), M^*(K''_{3,p}),$  $M^*(K''_{3,p})$ , or  $M^*(K'''_{3,p})$ , where  $p \ge 2$ . This result extended Oxley's characterization of  $EX(P_9, P_9^*)$  to  $EX(P_9)$ . Ding and Wu's result uses a chain theorem for internally 4-connected binary matroids [1]. We use a different proof technique, using the Strong Splitter Theorem in [6] to obtain the monarchs of  $EX(P_9^*)$ .

The next result is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1** A binary 3-connected non-regular matroid M has no  $P_9^*$ -minor if and only if M is isomorphic to  $F_7$ , PG(3,2),  $R_{16}$ ,  $Z_r$  for  $r \ge 4$ ,  $\Omega_r$  for  $r \ge 5$ , or one of their 3-connected deletion-minors.

In Theorem 1.1,  $F_7$  is the Fano plane, P(3,2) is the rank 4 binary projective geometry, and  $Z_r$  is the binary spike, which can be represented by the matrix  $[I_r|D]$ , where D has r+1 columns. The first r columns of D have zeros on the diagonal and ones elsewhere and the last column is a column of ones as shown above and in [9]. A matrix representation for  $R_{16}$  is given below. Note that  $R_{16} = R_{17} \setminus 17$ , where  $R_{17}$  is the largest rank 5 matroid with no minor isomorphism to the prism  $M^*(K_5 \setminus e)$  [5]. The matroid  $R_{17}$  is  $AG(3,2) \times U_{1,1}$ , which is the binary matroid obtained from the direct sum of AG(3,2) and a coloop by completing the 3-point lines between every element in AG(3,2) and the coloop. It was first described by Mayhew and Royle in [8].

The new rank r monarch in this larger class of binary matroids with no  $P_9^*$ -minor is the rank r binary matroid  $\Omega_r$  for which a matrix representation is given in Figure 1. It has 4r - 5 elements, and an intricate pattern. The fact that a pattern exists is quite surprising.

$b_1$		br	$a_1$	$a_2$	a <sub>3</sub>	$a_4$	a <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>5</sub>	d5	C <sub>6</sub>	d <sub>6</sub>		C <sub>r-2</sub>	d <sub>r-2</sub>	C <sub>r-1</sub>	d <sub>r-1</sub>	Cr	$d_r$	$\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}}$	$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{r}}$	g <sub>r,1</sub>	g <sub>r,2</sub>	g <sub>r,3</sub>		g <sub>r,r-5</sub>	g <sub>r,r-4</sub>	_
Γ			0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0		1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1		1	1	
			1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0		1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1		1	1	
			1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1		0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	
			1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1		0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1		1	1	
			0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0		0	0	
	l,		0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0		0	0	
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	0	
			:								:	·			÷	1								$\sim$			
			:								:		1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	
L			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	1	

Figure 1: The rank r matroid  $\Omega_r$  with 4r - 5 elements, for  $r \ge 5$ 

Section 2 has the preliminaries and techniques used. The manner in which  $\Omega_r$  is constructed is explained in Section 3, and the proof of Theorem 1.1 is in Section 4.

#### 2 Preliminaries

The main technique used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the Strong Splitter Theorem [6]. How to use this result has been described in a fair amount of detail in [4], but the rank r monarch  $\Omega_r$  has size 4r-5 and is much more complicated than the binary spikes  $Z_r$  of size 2r+1. So more details are provided, especially on how single-element extensions and coextensions are computed.

A *triad* is a 3-element cocircuit whose removal does not disconnect the matroid. The next result is the Strong Splitter Theorem. **Theorem 2.1** Suppose N is a 3-connected proper minor of a 3-connected matroid M such that, if N is a wheel or a whirl, then M has no larger minor isomorphic to a wheel or whirl, respectively. Let j = r(M) - r(N). Then there is a sequence of 3-connected matroids  $M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_t$  such that  $M_0 \cong N$ ,  $M_t = M$ , and  $M_{i-1}$  is a minor of  $M_i$  such that:

(i) For 
$$1 \le i \le j$$
,  $r(M_i) - r(M_{i-1}) = 1$  and  $|E(M_i) - E(M_{i-1})| \le 3$ ; and

(*ii*) For 
$$j < i \le t$$
,  $r(M_i) = r(M)$  and  $|E(M_i) - E(M_{i-1})| = 1$ .

Moreover, when  $|E(M_i) - E(M_{i-1})| = 3$ , for  $1 \le i \le j$ ,  $E(M_i) - E(M_{i-1})$  is a triad of  $M_i$ .

Given a class  $\mathcal{M}$  of matroids closed under minors, we may focus on the 3connected members of  $\mathcal{M}$  since matroids that are not 3-connected can be pieced together from 3-connected matroids using the operations of 1-sum and 2-sum [10](8.3.1). Let us denote a *simple* single-element extension of  $\mathcal{M}$  by an element e as  $\mathcal{M} + e$  and a *cosimple* single-element coextension of  $\mathcal{M}$  by an element f as  $\mathcal{M} \circ f$ . Note that a simple extension of a 3-connected matroid is also 3-connected. Likewise for cosimple coextensions.

Suppose N is a 3-connected proper minor of a 3-connected matroid M such that, if N is a wheel or a whirl, then M has no larger minor isomorphic to a wheel or whirl, respectively. The Splitter Theorem states that there is a sequence of 3-connected matroids  $M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_t$  such that  $M_0 = N, M_t \cong M$ , and for  $1 \le i \le t$  either  $M_i = M_{i-1} + e$  or  $M_i = M_{i-1} \circ f$ . See [10](12.2.1). Thus to reach a matroid isomorphic to M, one may start with N and perform simple single-element extensions and cosimple single-element coextensions. The Splitter Theorem 1.2 optimizes the Splitter Theorem by proving that after two simple single-element extensions a cosimple single-element coextension must be performed, and it puts additional restrictions on how the coextensions are obtained.

A minor-closed class  $\mathcal{M}$  may have several rank r monarchs of varying sizes. For example the class in this paper has two rank r monarchs:  $Z_r$  for  $r \geq 4$  and  $\Omega_r$  for  $r \geq 5$ . Since  $Z_r$  is the rank r monarch for the class of binary matroids with no minors isomorphic to  $P_9$  nor  $P_9^*$  (see the original proof in [9] and the new proof in [4]), we can use that result to begin with  $P_9$  and exclude  $P_9^*$ . This new subclass has just one rank r monarch  $\Omega_r$ . Our strategy is to take a large excluded minor class and break it down into smaller excluded-minor classes, repeatedly applying Theorem 1.2 to find rank r monarchs.

Theorem 1.2 implies that every 3-connected rank r monarch in  $\mathcal{M}$  is a simple extension of a 3-connected rank r matroid  $M_r$ , where  $M_r$  is obtained from a 3-connected rank r-1 matroid  $M_{r-1}$  in the following ways:

(1) 
$$M_r = M_{r-1} \circ f;$$

(2)  $M_r = M_{r-1} + e \circ f$ , where f is added in series to an element in  $M_{r-1}$ ; or

(3) 
$$M_r = M_{r-1} + \{e_1, e_2\} \circ f$$
, where  $\{e_1, e_2, f\}$  is a triad.

There is no reason to assume a priori that  $M_r$  is unique for a specific excluded minor class. However, if  $M_r$  happens to be unique, we get a recursive way of defining it, and consequently a recursive way of defining the corresponding rank r monarch.

The focus then shifts to identifying the matroid  $M_r$  in the above description. We will call  $M_r$  the rank r seed corresponding to the rank r monarch and denote it by  $\alpha_r$ . Thus Theorem 1.2 implies that every rank r monarch in  $\mathcal{M}$  is the extension of a rank r seed  $\alpha_r$  such that:

- 1.  $\alpha_r$  is a cosimple single-element coextension of the rank (r-1) matroid  $\alpha_{r-1}$ ; or
- 2.  $\alpha_r$  is a cosimple single-element coextension of the simple single-element extensions of  $\alpha_{r-1}$  formed by adding elements in series to existing elements; or
- 3.  $\alpha_r$  is a cosimple single-element coextension of the simple double-element extensions of  $\alpha_{r-1}$  formed by adding a triad made up of the two extensions elements and the coextension element.

It is possible for  $\alpha_r = \Omega_r$ , which would make the proof easier. However, if they are distinct, then finding the rank r seed  $\alpha_r$  is more important than than finding the rank r monarch  $\Omega_r$ , because within  $\alpha_r$  lies the pattern of the infinite family. In [4] the monarch has just two more elements than the seed, so the distinction between seed and monarch was not really needed. In this paper the rank r monarch  $\Omega_r$  is much larger than the rank r seed  $\alpha_r$ . Identifying rank r seeds and rank 4r monarchs when they differ by many elements requires the Strong Splitter Theorem.

In summary, the Strong Splitter Theorem implies that every 3-connected rank r monarch  $\Omega_r$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  is a simple extension of a 3-connected rank r seed  $\alpha_r$ , where  $\alpha_r$  is obtained from a 3-connected rank r-1 seed  $\alpha_{r-1}$  in very specific ways because  $|E(\alpha_r) - E(\alpha_{r-1})| = 3$ . As described earlier,  $\alpha_r$  is a cosimple single-element coextension of  $\alpha_{r-1}$ , or a cosimple single-element coextension of a simple extension of  $\alpha_{r-1}$  or a cosimple single-element coextension of a double element simple extension of  $\alpha_{r-1}$ . There are additional restrictions in the second and third case.

Next, we have to describe our method for computing single-element extensions and coextensions. Let N be a GF(q)-representable n-element rank r matroid represented by the matrix  $A = [I_r|D]$  over GF(q). For q = 2, 3, 4 a 3-connected matroid over GF(q) is uniquely representable, but for  $q \ge 5$ , there are inequivalent representations, so the method described below has to be modified considerably using the ideas in [3]. For this paper q = 2 and we do not need to consider inequivalent representations and the difficulties they create.

The columns of A may be viewed as a subset of the columns of the matrix that represents the projective geometry PG(r-1,q). Let M be a simple single-element extension of N over GF(q). Then  $N = M \setminus e$  and M may be represented by  $[I_r|D']$ , where D' is the same as D, but with one additional column corresponding to the element e. The new column is distinct from the existing columns and has at least two non-zero elements. If the existing columns are labeled  $\{1, \ldots, r, \ldots, n\}$ , then the new column is labeled (n + 1). We can systematically construct all the nonisomorphic single-element extensions of N by adding the columns of PG(r - 1, q)that are missing in A one by one and keeping only the non-isomorphic single-element extensions. This procedure is similar to adding an edge to a rank r graph in all possible ways and keeping a list of the non-isomorphic edge-additions. Just like a rank r graph is a restriction of the complete graph  $K_{r+1}$ , a rank r (simple) binary matrix A is a restriction of the matrix representing PG(r - 1, q), so this method works even though binary matroids are exponentially larger objects than graphs.

Suppose M is a cosimple single-element coextension of N over GF(q). Then N = M/f and M may be represented by the matrix  $[I_{r+1}|D'']$ , where D'' is the same as D, but with one additional row. The new row is distinct from the existing rows and has at least two non-zero elements. The columns of  $[I_{r+1}|D'']$  are labeled  $\{1, \ldots, r+1, r+2, \ldots, n, n+1\}$ . The coextension element f corresponds to column r+1. The coextension row is selected from PG(n-r-1,q). We can visualize the new element f as appearing in the new dimension and lifting several points into the higher dimension. Observe that f forms a cocircuit with the elements corresponding to the non-zero entries in the new row. Note that in  $[I_{r+1}|D'']$  the labels of columns beyond r are increased by 1 to accomodate the new column r + 1. This method is similar to computing all possible non-isomorphic rank (r + 1) graphs obtained by splitting a vertex in a rank r graph. Again, vertex splits are easy to compute (and visualize), whereas doing a similar computation for a rank r binary matroid is much more complicated.

We refer to the simple single-element extensions of N as Type (i) matroids and the cosimple single-element coextensions of N as Type (ii) matroids. The structure of Type (i) and Type (ii) matroids are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Structure of Type (i) and Type (ii) matroids

Once the simple single-element extensions (Type (i) matroids) and cosimple single-element coextensions (Type (ii) matroids) are determined, the number of permissable rows and columns give a bound on the choices for the cosimple singleelement coextensions of the Type (i) matroids and the simple single-element extensions of the Type (ii) matroids, respectively. The structure of the cosimple singleelement coextensions of a Type (i) matroid and the simple single-element extensions of a Type (ii) matroid are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Structure of M, where |E(M) - E(N)| = 2

When computing the cosimple single-element coextension of a Type (i) matroid, there are three types of rows that may be inserted into the last row.

- (I) Rows that can be added to the original matroid N to obtain a coextension, augmented by a 0 or 1 as the last entry;
- (II) The identity rows augmented by a 1 in the last position; and
- (III) Rows "in-series" to the right-hand side of the matrix with the last entry reversed.

When computing the simple single-element extensions of a Type (ii) matroid, there are three types of columns that may be inserted into the last column.

- (I) Columns that can be added to the original matroid N to obtain an extension augmented by a 0 or 1 as the last entry;
- (II) The identity columns augmented by a 1 in the last position; and
- (III) Columns "in-parallel" to the right-hand side of matrix with the last entry reversed.

Note that this method can be applied to GF(q)-representable 3-connected matroids, for q = 2, 3, 4, but this paper is only on binary matroids, so we will talk only of zeros and ones.

Suppose N' is a simple double-element extension of N formed by adding columns  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  and M is a cosimple single-element coextension of N' by element f. By Theorem 1.2  $M \setminus e_1$  or  $M \setminus e_2$  is 3-connected except when  $\{e_1, e_2, f\}$  is a triad. Thus the only 3-connected coextension of N' we must check is the one formed by adding row [00...011] to D. Moreover, no further calculations are necessary.

#### **3** The rank r monarch $\Omega_r$

h

Our goal in this paper is to find the binary matroids in  $EX(P_9^*)$ . The rank r seed matroid  $\alpha_r$  is unique and it has 3r - 5 elements. A matrix representation is shown in Figure 4. The vertical and horizontal lines in the representation of  $\alpha_r$  in Figure 4 shows how it is recursively constructed from  $\alpha_5$  shown below, which is the starting matroid for this family:

						$b_{1}$	$1 \cdots$	$b_5$	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	a	4 (	$a_5$			
						Γ			0	1	1	1	L	1]			
							$I_5$		1	0	1	1	L	1			
				$\alpha_{\xi}$	s =				1	1	0	1	L	0 .			
									1	1	1	1	L	0			
						L			0	0	0	1	L	1			
1		b,	a <sub>1</sub>	a <sub>2</sub>	a3	a4	as	C5	d₅	C <sub>6</sub>	d₅	C7	d7		Cr-1	d <sub>r-1</sub>	
			0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0		1	0	
			1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0		1	0	
			1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1		0	1	
			1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1		0	1	
			0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	
	$I_r$		0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0		0	0	
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0		0	0	
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1		0	0	
														•.	1	1	
								:						•	0	0	
3			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	1	

Figure 4: The rank r seed  $\alpha_r$  with 3r - 5-elements, for  $r \geq 5$ 

The rank 6 seed matroid  $\alpha_6$  shown below is obtained from  $\alpha_5$  by adding two columns  $c_5 = [11000]^T$  and  $d_5 = [00110]^T$  and lifting by row [0000011]:

	$b_1 \cdots b_6$	$a_1$	$a_2$	$a_3$	$a_4$	$a_5$	$c_5$	$d_5$
	Γ	0	1	1	1	1	1	0 ]
	$I_6$	1	0	1	1	1	1	0
o		1	1	0	1	0	0	1
$\alpha_6 =$		1	1	1	1	0	0	1
		0	0	0	1	1	0	0
	L	0	0	0	0	0	1	1

In other words add  $c_5$  and  $d_5$  to form triangles with  $\{b_1, b_2, c_5\}$  and  $\{b_3, b_4, d_5\}$ , respectively; then lift elements  $c_5$  and  $d_5$  into the next dimension to form a triad  $\{c_5, d_5, b_6\}$  with the new lift element  $b_6$ . medskip In general,  $\alpha_r$  is formed as follows: add parallel elements  $\{c_5, c_6, \ldots, c_{r-1}\}$  so that each forms a triangle with basis points  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ ; add parallel elements  $\{d_5, d_6, \ldots, d_{r-1}\}$  so that each forms a triangle with basis points  $b_3$  and  $b_4$ ; do a sequence of lifts by adding new basis elements  $\{b_6, \ldots, b_r\}$  to form triads  $\{b_i, c_{i-1}, d_{i-1}\}$  for  $i = 6, \ldots, r$ . Observe that

$$\alpha_r/b_r \setminus \{c_{r-1}, d_{r-1}\} = \alpha_{r-1}.$$

Once the construction of the rank r seed is understood, the construction of the rank r monarch follows by adding more columns. To obtain  $\Omega_5$  add the following five columns to  $\alpha_5$ :

$$c_5 = [11000]^T,$$
  

$$d_5 = [00110]^T,$$
  

$$e_5 = [11100]^T,$$
  

$$f_5 = [00111]^T,$$
  

$$g_{5,1} = [111100]^T$$

to get:

$$\Omega_5 = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \cdots b_5 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & a_5 & c_5 & d_6 & e_5 & f_5 & g_{5,1} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To obtain  $\Omega_6$  add the following six columns to  $\alpha_6$ :

$$c_{6} = [110000]^{T},$$
  

$$d_{6} = [001100]^{T},$$
  

$$e_{6} = [111000]^{T},$$
  

$$f_{6} = [001110]^{T},$$
  

$$g_{6,1} = [111100]^{T},$$
  

$$g_{6,2} = [111101]^{T}$$

to get:

In general, to obtain  $\Omega_r$  from  $\alpha_r$  add the following r columns:

$$c_r = [110000, \dots, 00]^T,$$
  

$$d_r = [001100, \dots, 00]^T,$$
  

$$e_r = [111000, \dots, 00]^T,$$
  

$$f_r = [001110 \dots 00]^T,$$
  

$$g_{r,1} = [11110000, \dots, 000]^T,$$
  

$$g_{2,2} = [11110100, \dots, 000]^T,$$
  

$$g_{2,r-5} = [1111000, \dots, 010]^T,$$
  

$$g_{r,r-4} = [1111000, \dots, 001]^T.$$

Column  $g_{r,1}$  has ones in the first four positions and zeros elsewhere. The rest of the columns  $g_{r,t}$ , where  $2 \le t \le r - 4$ , have five ones (See Figure 1). Observe that

$$\Omega_r/b_r \setminus \{c_r, d_r, g_{r,r-4}\} = \Omega_{r-1}.$$

**Proposition 3.1** The matroid  $\alpha_r$  has no  $P_9^*$ -minor.

*Proof:* Observe that  $P_9^*$  has odd size circuits and  $\alpha_5$  has no odd size circuits. If  $P_9^*$  were a deletion-minor of  $\alpha_5$ , then the odd size circuits in  $P_9^*$  would remain in  $\alpha_5$ . Since  $\alpha_r$  is obtained from  $\alpha_5$  by adding only triangles and triads,  $P_9^*$  is not a minor of  $\alpha_5$ .

**Proposition 3.2** The matroid  $\Omega_r$  has no  $P_9^*$ -minor.

*Proof:* The proof is by induction on  $r \ge 6$ . Since  $\Omega_r/b_r \setminus \{c_r, d_r, g_{r,r-4}\} = \Omega_{r-1}$ , and by induction  $\Omega_{r-1}$  has no  $P_9^*$ -minor, any possible  $P_9^*$ -minor in  $\Omega_r$  must have columns  $c_r, d_r, g_{r,r-4}$  and row  $b_r$ . Therefore,

$$\Omega_r / \{b_7, \dots, b_{r-1}\} \setminus \{c_7, d_7, \dots, c_{r-1}, d_{r-1}, e_r, f_r, g_{r,1}, \dots, g_{r,r-5}\}$$

gives the following matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

will have a  $P_9^*$ -minor, which is not true.

The matroid  $\alpha_r$  has two 3-connected non-isomorphic binary single-element extensions in  $EX(P_9^*)$ :

- 1.  $\alpha_{r,1}$  formed by adding columns  $c_r$ ,  $d_r$  or  $g_{r,1}$ ; and
- 2.  $\alpha_{r,2}$  formed by adding any one of the remaining columns  $e_r, f_r, g_{r,2}, \ldots, g_{r,r-4}$ .

This will follow from the induction argument in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (as we will see later) using the automorphism in  $\Omega_r$  that takes

$$(b_i, b_j, c_{i-1}, d_{j-1}, g_{7,i-4}, g_{7,j-4})$$

to

$$(b_j, b_i, d_{j-1}, c_{i-1}, g_{7,j-4}, g_{7,i-4})$$

for  $b_j > b_i \ge 6$ , and leaves the remaining columns unchanged. To obtain the pattern in  $\Omega_r$  shown in Figure 1,  $\alpha_{r,1}$  is formed by adding column  $c_r$  and  $\alpha_{r,2}$  is formed by adding column  $e_r$ . The matroid  $\alpha_{r,1}$  has two non-isomorphic single-element extensions  $\alpha_{r-1,1,1}$  formed by adding  $d_r$  and  $\alpha_{r-1,1,2}$  formed by adding  $e_r$ . The matroid  $\alpha_{r,2}$  has two non-isomorphic single-element extensions  $\alpha_{r-1,1,1}$  and  $\alpha_{r-1,2,2}$ . Note that  $\alpha_{r-1,2,2}$  is formed by adding  $f_r$  to  $\alpha_{r,2}$ . The notable matroid that gives rise to the rank (r+1) seed matroid is  $\alpha_{r,1,1}$ .

#### 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is by induction on  $r \ge 5$ . The base case is somewhat longer than in a typical induction proof. But it is quite straightforward in the sense that only careful computation of small rank matroids is required and that is also greatly reduced by repeated application of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof:* Let M be a 3-connected binary non-regular matroid. If M has no  $P_9$  or  $P_9^*$ -minor, then M is isomorphic to  $F_7$  or a deletion-minor of  $Z_r$ , for  $r \ge 4$  [9]. Therefore assume that M has a  $P_9$ -minor, but no  $P_9^*$ -minor. The proof is by induction on the rank. The base case  $r \le 6$  is in the Appendix.

Assume a binary non-regular 3-connected matroid with rank at most (r-1) is in  $EX(P_9^*)$  if and only if it is a member of the known classes of matroids. In other words, the rank (r-2) seed  $\alpha_{r-2}$  has no cosimple coextensions in  $EX(P_9^*)$ ; its simple single-element extensions  $\alpha_{r-2,1}$  and  $\alpha_{r-2,2}$  have no cosimple coextensions; their simple single-element extensions  $\alpha_{r-2,1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_{r-2,1,2}$ , and  $\alpha_{r-2,2,2}$  have as their only cosimple single-element coextension the rank (r-1) seed  $\alpha_{r-1}$ ; and finally these matroids extend to the rank (r-1) monarch  $\Omega_{r-1}$ . (See Figure 5 and note that the position of the monarchs are not drawn to scale since they are too large.)

We must prove that the rank (r-1) seed  $\alpha_{r-1}$  has no cosimple coextensions in  $EX(P_9^*)$ ; its simple single-element extensions  $\alpha_{r-1,1}$  and  $\alpha_{r-1,2}$  have no cosimple coextensions; their simple single-element extensions  $\alpha_{r-1,1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_{r-1,1,2}$ , and  $\alpha_{r-1,2,2}$  have as their only cosimple single-element coextension the rank r seed  $\alpha_r$ ; and finally these matroids extend to the rank r monarch  $\Omega_r$ .



Figure 5: Growth pattern of the seed and monarch

We summarize this in short by saying we will show that the rank (r-1) seed  $\alpha_{r-1}$ gives rise to the rank r seed  $\alpha_r$ , and  $\alpha_r$  extends to the rank r monarch  $\Omega_r$  and prove the assertions in the form of two claims, both using the induction hypothesis.

**Claim A.** The rank (r-1) seed  $\alpha_{r-1}$  gives rise to the rank r seed  $\alpha_r$ .

**Proof.** By the Strong Splitter Theorem M must be a cosimple single-element coextension of:

(i)  $\alpha_{r-1}$ ;

- (ii)  $\alpha_{r-1,1}$  or  $\alpha_{r-1,2}$  by adding rows in series; or
- (iii)  $\alpha_{r-1,1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_{r-1,1,2}$ , or  $\alpha_{r-1,2,2}$  by adding row [00...011];

where

$$\alpha_{r-1,1} = \alpha_{r-1} + c_{r-1},$$
  

$$\alpha_{r-1,2} = \alpha_{r-1} + e_{r-1},$$
  

$$\alpha_{r-1,1,1} = \alpha_{r-1} + \{c_{r-1}, d_{r-1}\},$$
  

$$\alpha_{r-1,1,2} = \alpha_{r-1} + \{c_{r-1}, e_{r-1}\},$$
  

$$\alpha_{r-1,2,2} = \alpha_{r-1} + \{e_{r-1}, f_{r-1}\}.$$

If row [00...011] is added to  $\alpha_{r-1,1,1}$ , we get  $\alpha_r$ , which is the rank r seed matroid. We will show that the other matroids do not have cosimple single-element coextensions in  $EX(P_9^*)$ .

**Case i.** By the induction hypothesis  $\alpha_{r-1}$  is formed by adding row [00...011] to  $\alpha_{r-2,1,1}$ , and therefore has no further single-element coextension in  $EX(P_9^*)$ .

Case ii(a). Suppose, if possible, M is a cosimple single-element coextension of  $\alpha_{r-1,1} = \alpha_{r-1} + c_{r-1}$ . Only Type II and Type III rows may be added to  $\alpha_{r-1,1}$ . Type II rows are the identity rows with a one in the last entry and Type III rows are the rows of  $\alpha_{r-1,1}$  (shown in Figure 6) with the last entry switched (*i.e.* put 0 if the last entry is 1 and 1 if it is 0). The superscripts indicate if the last entry is a 1 or a 0.



Figure 6: 
$$\alpha_{r-1,1} = \alpha_{r-1} + c_{r-1}$$
 and  $\alpha_{r-1,2} = \alpha_{r-1} + e_{r-1}$ 

Type II rows are:

$$a_{1}^{1} = [100 \dots 00001],$$
  

$$a_{2}^{1} = [010 \dots 00001], \dots,$$
  

$$c_{r-2}^{1} = [000 \dots 00101],$$
  

$$d_{r-2}^{1} = [000 \dots 00011].$$

Type III rows are:

$$\begin{split} b_1^0 &= [0111110 \dots 10100], \\ b_2^0 &= [1011110 \dots 10100], \\ b_3^1 &= [1101001 \dots 01011], \\ b_4^1 &= [1111001 \dots 01011], \\ b_5^1 &= [0001100 \dots 00001], \\ b_6^1 &= [0000011 \dots 00001], \dots, \\ b_{r-2}^1 &= [0000000 \dots 11001], \\ b_{r-1}^1 &= [0000000 \dots 00111]. \end{split}$$

However, observe that  $\alpha_{r-1,1}/b_{r-1} \setminus c_{r-2} \cong \alpha_{r-2,1,1}$ . Note the isomorphism instead of equality. This happens because in  $\alpha_{r-1,1} \setminus c_{r-2}/b_{r-1}$  the last two columns  $d_{r-2}$  and  $c_{r-1}$  are switched. Otherwise it would be exactly equal to  $\alpha_{r-2,1,1}$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\alpha_{r-2,1,1}$  has exactly one cosimple single-element coextension in the class (the one formed by row  $x = [000 \dots 011]$ ). The isomorphism instead of equality is of no consequence since the last two entries are both ones.

Thus we have Type I rows with a zero or one in the third last entry (the position of the deleted column  $c_{r-2}$ ), Type II rows which are the identity rows with a one in the third last entry, and Type III rows with the third last entry switched. Specifically, Type I rows are:

$$x^{0} = [000 \dots 0011],$$
  
$$x^{1} = [000 \dots 0111].$$

Type II rows are:

$$a_{1}^{1} = [100 \dots 00100],$$
  

$$a_{2}^{1} = [010 \dots 00100], \dots,$$
  

$$c_{r-3}^{1} = [000 \dots 001100],$$
  

$$d_{r-3}^{1} = [000 \dots 00110],$$
  

$$d_{r-2}^{1} = [000 \dots 00101].$$

Type III rows are:

$$\begin{split} b_1^0 &= [0111111\dots 10001],\\ b_2^0 &= [1011111\dots 10001],\\ b_3^1 &= [1101000\dots 01110],\\ b_4^1 &= [1111000\dots 01110],\\ b_5^1 &= [0001100\dots 00100],\\ b_6^1 &= [0000011\dots 00100],\\ b_{r-2}^1 &= [0000000\dots 11100]. \end{split}$$

The only common rows are [000...00011], [000...00111] and [000...0101]. Therefore the only matrices that must be checked explicitly for a  $P_9^*$  minor are the ones shown below formed with these three rows. They have the following three rank 7 minors, respectively, obtained by contracting  $\{b_6, \ldots, b_{r-2}\}$  and deleting

$$\{c_5, d_5, c_6, d_6, \ldots, c_{r-3}, d_{r-3}\}.$$

$$M_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{1} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, M_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{1} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, M_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{1} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ I_{2} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Each of  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ , and  $M_3$  has a  $P_9^*$ -minor. Thus M cannot be a cosimple singleelement coextension of  $\alpha_{r-1,1}$ . **Case ii(b).** Suppose, if possible, M is a cosimple single-element coextension of  $\alpha_{r-1,2} = \alpha_{r-1} + e_{r-1}$  (shown in Figure 6). The argument is similar to that of Case ii(a). Only Type II and Type III rows may be added to  $\alpha_{r-1}$ . Type II rows are:

$$a_1^1 = [100 \dots 00001],$$
  

$$a_2^1 = [010 \dots 00001], \dots,$$
  

$$c_{r-2}^1 = [000 \dots 00101],$$
  

$$d_{r-2}^1 = [000 \dots 00011].$$

Type III rows are:

 $b_1^0 = [0111110 \dots 10100],$   $b_2^0 = [1011110 \dots 10100],$   $b_3^0 = [1101001 \dots 01010],$   $b_4^1 = [1111001 \dots 01011],$   $b_5^1 = [0001100 \dots 00001],$   $b_6^1 = [0000001 \dots 00001], \dots,$  $b_{r-2}^1 = [0000000 \dots 0111].$ 

However, observe that  $\alpha_{r-1,2}/b_{r-1} \setminus d_{r-2} = \alpha_{r-2,1,2}$ . There are no Type I rows to be added to  $\alpha_{r-1,1}$  by the induction hypothesis. Type II rows that may be added to  $\alpha_{r-1}$  are:

$$a_1^1 = [100 \dots 00010],$$
  

$$a_2^1 = [010 \dots 00010],$$
  

$$c_{r-2}^1 = [000 \dots 00110],$$
  

$$e_{r-1}^1 = [000 \dots 000011].$$

Type III rows are:

$$\begin{split} b_1^0 &= [0111110 \dots 101\mathbf{1}1], \\ b_2^0 &= [1011110 \dots 101\mathbf{1}1], \\ b_3^1 &= [1101001 \dots 010\mathbf{0}0], \\ b_4^1 &= [1111001 \dots 010\mathbf{0}0], \\ b_5^1 &= [0001100 \dots 000\mathbf{1}0], \\ b_6^1 &= [0000011 \dots 000\mathbf{1}0], \dots, \\ b_{r-2}^1 &= [0000000 \dots 1100\mathbf{1}0]. \end{split}$$

The only common row is [000...00011]. Therefore the only matrix that must be checked explicitly for a  $P_9^*$  minor is the matrix with row [000...00011]. This matrix

has the following rank 7 minor  $M_4$  obtained by contracting  $\{b_6, \ldots, b_{r-2}\}$  and deleting  $\{c_5, d_5, \ldots, c_{r-3}, d_{r-3}\}$ :

$$M_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $M_4$  has a  $P_9^*$ -minor, M cannot be a cosimple single-element coextension of  $\alpha_{r-1,2}$ .

**Case iii.** Suppose, if possible, M is a cosimple single-element coextension of  $\alpha_{r-1,1,2}$  or  $\alpha_{r-1,2,2}$ . Then M is formed by adding row [000...011] to  $\alpha_{r-1,1,2} = \alpha_{r-1} + \{c_{r-1}, e_{r-1}\}$  or  $\alpha_{r-1,2,2} = \alpha_{r-1} + \{e_{r-1}, f_{r-1}\}$ . The matrices formed in this manner have as minors  $M_5$  and  $M_6$  shown below obtained by contracting  $\{b_6, \ldots, b_{r-2}\}$  and deleting  $\{c_5, d_5, \ldots, c_{r-3}, d_{r-3}\}$ :

Observe that  $M_5$  and  $M_6$  have a  $P_9^*$ -minor.

**Claim B.** The rank r seed  $\alpha_r$  extends to the rank r monarch  $\Omega_r$ 

**Proof.** We will prove that the only columns that can be added to  $\alpha_r$  are

$$c_r, d_r, e_r, f_r, g_{r,1}, \ldots, g_{r,r-4}.$$

Adding all these columns give  $\Omega_r$ . To begin with we will show that  $\alpha_r$  has two single-element extensions  $\alpha_{r,1}$  and  $\alpha_{r,2}$ . Observe that

$$\alpha_r/b_r \setminus \{c_r, d_r\} = \alpha_{r-1}$$

By the induction hypothesis the only columns that can be added to  $\alpha_{r-1}$  are

$$e_{r-1}, f_{r-1}, g_{r,1}, \ldots, g_{r,r-5}$$

Thus there are three types of columns that can be added to  $\alpha_r$ . Type I columns are those that can be added to  $\alpha_{r-1}$ , namely,  $e_{r-1}, f_{r-1}, g_{r,1}, \ldots, g_{r,r-5}$ , with a zero or one in the last entry. Type II and III columns are the columns of  $\alpha_{r-1}$  with the last entry switched. Specifically, Type I columns are:

$$\begin{split} e^0_{r-1} &= [1110000 \dots 000]^T, \\ e^1_{r-1} &= [1110000 \dots 101]^T, \\ f^0_{r-1} &= [0011100 \dots 000]^T, \\ f^1_{r-1} &= [0011100 \dots 000]^T, \\ g^0_{r-1,1} &= [1111000 \dots 000]^T, \\ g^1_{r-1,1} &= [1111000 \dots 001]^T, \\ g^1_{r-1,2} &= [1111010 \dots 000]^T, \\ g^1_{r-1,r-5} &= [1111000 \dots 010]^T, \\ g^1_{r-1,r-5} &= [1111000 \dots 011]^T. \end{split}$$

Type II columns are:

$$b_1^1 = [100...001]^T, b_2^1 = [010...001]^T, ..., b_{r-1}^1 = [000...011]^T$$

and Type III columns are:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^0 &= [0111000 \dots 001]^T, \\ a_2^0 &= [1011000 \dots 001]^T, \\ a_3^1 &= [1101000 \dots 001]^T, \\ a_4^1 &= [1111100 \dots 001]^T, \\ a_5^1 &= [1100100 \dots 001]^T, \\ a_5^1 &= [1100010 \dots 001]^T, \\ a_5^1 &= [0011010 \dots 001]^T, \\ a_6^1 &= [0011001 \dots 001]^T, \\ a_6^1 &= [0011001 \dots 001]^T, \\ a_{r-2}^1 &= [1100000 \dots 011]^T, \\ a_{r-1}^1 &= [0011000 \dots 010]^T, \\ a_{r-1}^0 &= [0011000 \dots 000]^T. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that,  $c_{r-1}^0 = c_r$ ,  $d_{r-1}^0 = d_r e_{r-1}^0 = e_r$ ,  $f_{r-1}^0 = f_r$ ,  $g_{r-1,1}^0 = g_{r,1}$ ,  $g_{r-1,2}^0 = g_{r,2}$ ,  $g_{r-1,r-5}^0 = g_{r,r-5}$ , and  $g_{r-1,1}^1 = g_{r,r-4}$ . We will show that the matrices obtained by adding the other columns have a  $P_9^*$ -minor.

Consider Type I columns  $e_{r-1}^1$  and  $f_{r-1}^1$ . Writing out the matrices it is easy to see that

$$(\alpha_r + e_{r-1}^1)/\{b_6, \dots, b_{r-1}\}\setminus\{c_5, d_5, \dots, c_{r-2}, d_{r-2}\} = \alpha_6 + e_6^1$$

and

$$(\alpha_r + f_{r-1}^1)/\{b_6, \dots, b_{r-1}\}\setminus\{c_5, d_5, \dots, c_{r-2}, d_{r-2}\} = \alpha_6 + f_6^1$$

which are shown below:

Both the above matroids have a  $P_9^*$ -minor.

Consider the Type I columns  $g_{r-1,2}^1, g_{r-1,3}^1, \ldots, g_{r-1,r-5}^1$ . For  $2 \le k \le r-5$ , the matrix  $\alpha_r + g_{r-1,k}^1$  has as minor  $\alpha_7 + g_{6,1}^1$  obtained by contracting  $b_6, \ldots, b_{r-1}$  except  $b_{k+4}$  and deleting  $c_5, d_5, \ldots, c_{r-2}, d_{r-2}$  except  $c_{k+3}$  and  $d_{k+3}$ . The matrix  $\alpha_7 + g_{6,1}^1$  is shown below and it has a  $P_9^*$ -minor:

Consider the Type II columns  $b_1^1, \ldots b_{r-1}^1$ . Writing out the matrices we see that for  $1 \le k \le 5$ 

$$(\alpha_r + b_k^1) / \{b_6, b_7, \dots b_{r-1}\} \setminus \{c_5, d_5, \dots c_{r-2}, d_{r-2}\} = \alpha_6 + b_k^1.$$

These matrices are shown below:

They have a  $P_9^*$ -minor. For  $6 \le k \le r-1$ , the matroid  $\alpha_r + b_k$  has minor  $\alpha_7 + b_6^1$  obtained by contracting all columns  $\{b_6, \ldots, b_{r-1}\}$  except  $b_k$  and deleting all columns  $\{c_5, d_5, \ldots, c_{r-2}, d_{r-2}\}$  except  $c_{r-2}$  and  $d_{r-2}$ . The matrix  $\alpha_7 + b_6^1$  is shown below and it has a  $P_9^*$ -minor:

Consider the Type III columns  $a_1^1, a_2^1, a_3^1, a_4^1, a_5^1, c_5^1, d_5^1, c_6^1, d_6^1, \dots, c_{r-1}^0, d_{r-1}^0$ . Writing out the matrices we see that for  $1 \le k \le 5$ :

$$\alpha_r + a_k^1 / \{ b_6, b_7, \dots, b_{r-1} \} \setminus \{ c_5, d_5, \dots, c_{r-2}, d_{r-2} \} = \alpha_6 + a_k^1$$

These matrices are shown below and each has a  $P_9^\ast\text{-minor:}$ 

For  $6 \le k \le r-2$ . the matrix  $\alpha_r + c_k^1$  has as minor  $\alpha_7 + c_5^1$  obtained by contacting columns  $\{b_6, \ldots, b_{r-1}\}$  except  $b_{k+1}$  and deleting columns  $\{c_5, d_5, \ldots, c_{r-2}, d_{r-2}\}$  except  $c_k$  and  $d_k$ . Similarly,  $\alpha_r + d_k^1$  has as minor  $\alpha_7 + d_5^1$ . The matrices  $\alpha_7 + c_5^1$  and  $\alpha_7 + d_5^1$  are shown below and each has a  $P_9^*$ -minor:

Therefore,  $\alpha_r$  extends to  $\Omega_r$ .

To finish the proof it is easy to see using the above induction argument that  $\alpha_r$  has two non-isomorphic single-element extensions  $\alpha_{r,1}$  formed by adding columns  $c_r$ ,  $d_r$ or  $g_{r,1}$ , and the remaining columns give  $\alpha_{r,2}$ . Since the pattern in columns  $g_{r,t}$ , where  $2 \leq t \leq r - 4$  begins with  $\alpha_7$  we only need to check that:

$$\alpha_7 + g_{7,2} \cong \alpha_7 + g_{7,3}$$

This is true due to the mapping from  $\alpha_7 + g_{7,2}$  to  $\alpha_7 + g_{7,3}$  that takes:

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, \mathbf{b_6}, \mathbf{b_7}, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, \mathbf{c_5}, \mathbf{d_5}, \mathbf{c_6}, \mathbf{d_6}, \mathbf{g_{7,2}})$$

to

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, \mathbf{b_7}, \mathbf{b_6}, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, \mathbf{c_6}, \mathbf{d_6}, \mathbf{c_5}, \mathbf{d_5}, \mathbf{g_{7,3}})$$

Similarly,  $\alpha_{r,1}$  has two non-isomorphic single-element extensions, the notable one that gives rise to the rank-(r+1) seed matroid is  $\alpha_{r,1,1}$  formed by adding  $c_r$  and  $d_r$  to  $\alpha_r$ , and  $\alpha_{r,2}$  also has two non-isomorphic single-element extensions.

The next result follows immediately since the size of the rank r non-regular infinite families  $Z_r$  and  $\Omega_r$  are, respectively, 2r + 1 and 4r - 5 and the complete graph  $K_{r+1}$  is the largest rank r regular member with no minor isomorphic to  $P_9^*$ .

**Corollary 4.1** Let M be a simple binary matroid of rank  $r \ge 6$  with no  $P_9^*$  minor. Then  $|M| \le \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$ , with this bound being attained for  $M \cong M(K_{r+1})$ .

The above result may be added to a short list of similar results. See for example Table 1 in [7] that has a list of size functions for some classes of binary matroids.

## Appendix

In many instances we have to check matrices for a  $P_9^*$ -minor. The presence or absence of the minor has been determined by the matroid software programs Oid and Macek. While Macek only gives a yes/no answer, Oid gives the columns that must be deleted and contracted, making it easy to verify by hand.

Suppose M has rank  $r \leq 6$ . Since  $P_9^*$  is a rank 5 matroid,  $EX(P_9^*)$  contains PG(3,2). The matroid  $P_9$  has three non-isomorphic simple single-element extensions,  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ , and  $D_3$ , and eight non-isomorphic cosimple single-element coextensions, of which just one matroid  $E_7$  has no  $P_9^*$ -minor. See Appendix Tables 1 and 2 of [5]. The matroid  $E_7$  is shown below. It is  $\alpha_5$ , the rank 5 seed matroid. Most importantly, note that since  $\alpha_5$  is formed by adding to  $P_9$  just one row [00011],  $\alpha_5$  has no further cosimple coextensions in  $EX(P_9^*)$ .

$$E_7 = \alpha_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We need to show that:

- (i)  $\alpha_5$  is the rank 5 seed matroid and it extends to the rank 5 monarch  $\Omega_5$ ;
- (ii)  $\alpha_5$  gives rise to the rank 6 seed  $\alpha_6$ ; and
- (iii) There is an additional rank 5, 16-element matroid  $R_{16}$  that results in no larger rank matroids in  $EX(P_9^*)$ .

By the Strong Splitter Theorem M must be a cosimple single-element coextension of  $P_9$ , or of its single-element extensions  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ , or  $D_3$  formed with a row in series to an existing row, or of its double-element extensions  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$  formed with row [0000011]. The matroids  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ , and  $D_3$  and  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$  are shown below:

$$D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I_4 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} I_4 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$D_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{4} & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix},$$
$$X_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{4} & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, X_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{4} & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$X_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{4} & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In every instance the resulting matroid has an  $\alpha_5$ -minor or  $P_9^*$ -minor. Observe from Table 1 shown below that  $\alpha_5$  has three simple single-element extensions with no  $P_9^*$ -minor (extensions 2, 3, and 5).

Extension Columns	Name	$P_9^*$ -minor
[00011] [00101] [11101]	Ext 1	Yes
[00110] [11000] [11110]	Ext 2 $(\alpha_{5,1})$	No
[00111] [11100]	Ext 3 $(\alpha_{5,2})$	No
[01001] [01010] [01100] [01111] [10001] [10010]	Ext 4	Yes
[10100] [10111]		
[01011] [01101] [10011] [10101]	Ext 5 $(\alpha_{5,3})$	No
[11011]	Ext 6	Yes

Table 1: Simple single-element extensions of  $\alpha_5$ 

Let  $\alpha_{5,1} = (\alpha_5, ext_2)$ ,  $\alpha_{5,2} = (\alpha_5, ext_3)$  and  $\alpha_{5,3} = (\alpha_5, ext_5)$ . Matrix representations for  $\alpha_{5,1}$ ,  $\alpha_{5,2}$ , and  $\alpha_{5,3}$  are shown below:

$$\alpha_{5,1} = \begin{bmatrix} I_5 & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \alpha_{5,2} = \begin{bmatrix} I_5 & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \alpha_{5,2} = \begin{bmatrix} I_5 & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Further, observe that  $\alpha_{5,1}$  is obtained by adding columns  $a = [00110]^T$ ,  $b = [11000]^T$ , and  $c = [11110]^T$ ;  $\alpha_{5,2}$  is obtained by adding column  $d = [00111]^T$  and

 $e = [11100]^T$ ; and  $\alpha_{5,3}$  is obtained by adding column  $f = [01011]^T$ ,  $g = [01101]^T$ ,  $h = [10011]^T$ , and  $i = [10101]^T$ .

We can check that  $\alpha_{5,3}$  (formed by adding column f to  $\alpha_5$ ) has only one simple single-element extension in  $EX(P_9^*)$  and it is obtained by adding any one of columns g, h, i, d, or e. Up to isomorphism all five columns give the same single-element extension. Let us call this matroid  $\alpha_{5,3,1}$  obtained by adding, say, column g:

$$\alpha_{5,3,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly, adding to  $\alpha_{5,3,1}$  any one of columns h, i, d, e, say h, gives  $\alpha_{5,3,1,1}$ , and so on; we get  $\alpha_{5,3,1,1}$  by adding i;  $\alpha_{5,3,1,1,1}$  by adding d; and finally  $\alpha_{5,3,1,1,1,1} = R_{16}$ by adding e. This gives all the rank 5 members in  $EX(P_9^*)$  with an  $\alpha_{5,3}$ -minor.

It remains to show that there are no higher rank matroids with an  $\alpha_{5,3}$ -minor. Suppose M is a rank 6 cosimple single-element matroid in  $EX(P_9^*)$  with an  $\alpha_{5,3}$ -minor. By the Strong Splitter Theorem, M is a cosimple single-element coextension of  $\alpha_{5,3}$  by Type II and III rows or  $\alpha_{5,3,1}$  with row [0000011]. In every instance M has a  $P_9^*$ -minor.

Thus we may assume M has an  $\alpha_{5,1}$ -minor or  $\alpha_{5,2}$ -minor. Renaming columns to fit the pattern in  $\Omega_5$ , Table 1 shows that  $\alpha_{5,1}$  is formed by adding columns  $c_5 = [11000]^T$ ,  $d_5 = [00110]^T$ , or  $g_{5,1} = [11110]^T$  and  $\alpha_{5,2}$  is formed by adding columns  $e_5 = [11100]^T$ or  $f_5 = [00111]^T$ . Adding all these columns to  $\alpha_5$  gives  $\Omega_5$ . Using the same method explained above for  $\alpha_{5,3}$  we can show that every cosimple single-element coextension of  $\alpha_{5,1}$  and  $\alpha_{5,2}$  also has a  $P_9^*$ -minor.

Lastly,  $\alpha_{5,1}$  (with  $c_5$ ) has two simple single-element extensions in  $EX(P_9^*)$ , namely,  $\alpha_{5,1,1}$ , formed by adding  $d_5$  or  $g_{5,1}$ , and  $\alpha_{5,1,2}$  formed by adding  $e_5$  or  $f_5$ . The matroid  $\alpha_{5,2}$  (with  $e_5$ ) also has two single-element extensions,  $\alpha_{5,2,1}$  formed by adding  $c_5$ ,  $d_5$  or  $g_{5,1}$  and  $\alpha_{5,2,2}$  formed by adding  $f_5$ :

Further, note that  $\alpha_{5,2,1} = \alpha_{5,1,2}$ .

By the Strong Splitter Theorem we must only check one single-element coextension of  $\alpha_{5,1,1}$ ,  $\alpha_{5,1,2}$ , and  $\alpha_{5,2,2}$ , namely the one formed by adding row [0000011]. Observe that  $\alpha_{5,1,1}$  with row [0000011] is precisely  $\alpha_6$ , whereas each of  $\alpha_{5,1,2}$  and  $\alpha_{5,2,2}$  with row [0000011] has a  $P_9^*$ -minor. This completes the base case for the induction argument.

The base case is summarized in Figure 7, where the numbers below the figure represent the size of the matroids. The rank 5 seed  $\alpha_5$  has size 10 and the monarch  $\Omega_5$  has size 15. The rank 6 seed  $\alpha_6$  has size 13 and the monarch  $\Omega_6$  has size 19. The figure also shows how  $R_{16}$  manifests as an extension of  $\alpha_5$  via its third single-element extension  $\alpha_{5,3}$ , which has no coextensions in  $EX(P_9^*)$ .



Figure 7: Base case of the induction argument

#### Acknowledgements

The author thanks the unknown referees and the editors for their suggestions that improved the terminology and proofs.

#### References

- C. Chun, D. Mayhew and J.G. Oxley, A chain theorem for internally 4connected binary matroids, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 101(3) (2011), 141–189.
- [2] G. Ding and H. Wu, Characterizing binary matroids with no P<sub>9</sub>-minor, Adv. Appl. Math. **70** (2015), 70–91.
- [3] S. R. Kingan, Unlabeled Inequivalence in representable matroids, *Proc. SIAM Meeting on Analytic Algorithmics and Combinatorics* (2013).
- S. R. Kingan, A short proof of binary matroids with no 4-wheel minor, Australas. J. Combin. 72 (2) (2018), 201–205.
- [5] S. R. Kingan and M. Lemos, Decomposition of binary matroids with no prism minor, *Graphs Combin.* **30** (2014), 1479–1497.

- [6] S. R. Kingan and M. Lemos, Strong Splitter Theorem, Ann. Comb. 18 (2014), 111–116.
- [7] J. P. S. Kung, D. Mayhew, I. Pivotto and G. F. Royle, Maximum size binary matroids with no AG(3, 2)-Minor are graphic, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 28 (3) (2014), 1559–1577.
- [8] D. Mayhew and G. F. Royle, The internally 4-connected binary matroids with no  $M(K_5 \setminus e)$ -minor, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **26** (2) (2012), 755–767.
- [9] J. G. Oxley, The binary matroids with no 4-wheel minor, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154 (1987), 63–75.
- [10] J.G. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, Second Edition, Oxford University Press, New York (2011).
- [11] P. D. Seymour, Decomposition of regular matroids, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 28 (1980), 305–359.

(Received 21 May 2019; revised 20 Aug 2020, 18 Dec 2020)