

# Disjoint Hamiltonian cycles in minimum distance graphs of 1-perfect codes

ALEXANDER M. ROMANOV\*

*Sobolev Institute of Mathematics*  
630090 Novosibirsk  
Russia  
rom@math.nsc.ru

## Abstract

It is shown that for all admissible  $n \geq 15$  there exists a nonlinear binary 1-perfect code of length  $n$  whose minimum distance graph contains at least  $7(n+1)/16$  pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles. It is also shown that for all admissible  $n \geq 15$  the minimum distance graph of the binary Hamming code of length  $n$  contains at least  $7(n+1)/16$  pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  be a vector space of dimension  $n$  over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . The *Hamming distance* between two vectors  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is the number of coordinates in which they differ, denoted by  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ . An arbitrary subset  $C$  of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  is called a binary *perfect* 1-error correcting code (briefly 1-perfect code) of length  $n$  if for every vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  there exists a unique vector  $\mathbf{c} \in C$  such that  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}) \leq 1$ . Non-trivial binary 1-perfect codes of length  $n$  exist only if  $n = 2^m - 1$ , where  $m$  is a natural number not less than two. The minimum distance of any 1-perfect code is 3. Two codes  $C_1, C_2 \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$  are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  and a permutation  $\pi$  in the symmetric group  $S_n$  such that  $C_2 = \{\mathbf{v} + \pi(\mathbf{c}) \mid \mathbf{c} \in C_1\}$  where  $\pi(\mathbf{c}) = \pi(c_1, \dots, c_n) := (c_{\pi^{-1}(1)}, \dots, c_{\pi^{-1}(n)})$ .

We assume that the all-zero vector  $\mathbf{0}$  is in code. A code is called *linear* if it is a linear space over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . A linear binary 1-perfect code of length  $n$  is unique up to equivalence and is called the binary *Hamming code*. We will denote the binary Hamming code of length  $n$  by  $H_n$ .

A *distance graph* of the code  $C$  is a graph whose vertex set is  $C$  and vertices  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in C$  are adjacent if and only if  $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = d$ , where  $d$  is a fixed natural number. If  $d$  is the minimum distance of the code  $C$ , then the distance graph is called the *minimum distance graph*, denoted by  $G(C)$ .

---

\* The work is supported by RFFI (grant 17-01-00949).

Minimum distance graphs arise naturally from error-correcting codes. It was shown [3] that the minimum distance graphs of two binary 1-perfect codes are isomorphic if and only if the codes are equivalent. In [11], it was established that nonequivalent binary extended 1-perfect codes have non-isomorphic minimum distance graphs. In [6, 10], it was established that the minimum distance graphs of two extended Preparata codes are isomorphic if and only if the codes are equivalent. If  $C$  is a binary 1-perfect code of length  $n$ , then the minimum distance graph  $G(C)$  is a  $(n)(n-1)/6$ -regular bipartite graph with  $2^{n-m}$  vertices,  $n = 2^m - 1, m \geq 2$ .

**Definition 1** *If  $G$  is a  $k$ -regular graph, then a Hamiltonian decomposition of  $G$  is a set of  $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$  pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles in  $G$ .*

It is known that a complete graph with more than two vertices is Hamiltonian decomposable [1, 4, 8]. The minimum distance graph of the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  is called *hypercube* of dimension  $n$ . The  $n$ -dimensional hypercube is an  $n$ -regular graph with  $2^n$  vertices. The  $n$ -dimensional hypercube also has a Hamiltonian decomposition, i.e.,  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles [2].

It is known that  $G(H_7)$  is Hamiltonian decomposable [12]. We conjecture that the minimum distance graph  $G(H_n)$  of the binary Hamming code  $H_n$  of length  $n$  is Hamiltonian decomposable for all  $n = 2^m - 1, m \geq 3$ .

In [12], Pike has shown that the minimum distance graph  $G(H_n)$  has at least  $\lfloor (n-m)/2 \rfloor$  edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles,  $n = 2^m - 1, m \geq 3$ . In this paper, we prove that for all admissible  $n \geq 15$  the minimum distance graph  $G(H_n)$  has at least  $7(n+1)/16$  edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles. This is better than the Pike bound for  $n = 15, 31$ .

In [13], it was shown that for all admissible  $n \geq 15$  there exists a nonlinear binary 1-perfect code of length  $n$  whose minimum distance graph has Hamiltonian cycles. In [14], it was shown that for all  $n = (q^m - 1)/(q - 1), m \geq 2$  (except  $q = 2, 3, 4, m = 2$ , and  $q = 2, m = 3$ ) there exists a nonlinear  $q$ -ary 1-perfect code of length  $n$  whose minimum distance graph has Hamiltonian cycles. In this paper, we prove that for all admissible  $n \geq 15$  there exists a nonlinear binary 1-perfect code of length  $n$  whose minimum distance graph contains at least  $7(n+1)/16$  pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles.

It has been shown [15] that there exist at least  $2^{2^{cn}}$  nonequivalent binary 1-perfect codes of length  $n$ , where  $c = \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ .

## 2 Main results

In this section, we construct a nonlinear binary 1-perfect code  $T_n$  and we prove that the minimum distance graph  $G(T_n)$  of the code  $T_n$  contains a certain special subgraph.

The parity-check matrix  $H = [\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2, \dots, \mathbf{h}_n]$  of the binary Hamming code  $H_n$  of length  $n = 2^m - 1$  consists of  $n$  pairwise linearly independent column vectors  $\mathbf{h}_i, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The transposed column vector  $\mathbf{h}_i^T$  belongs to  $\mathbb{F}_2^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We assume that the columns of the parity-check matrix  $H$  are arranged in some

fixed order. Set  $\mathbb{F}_2^m \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  generates a projective geometry  $\text{PG}(m - 1, 2)$  of geometric dimension  $m - 1$  over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . In this geometry, the points correspond to the columns of  $H$  and the points  $i, j, k$  lie on the same line if the corresponding columns  $\mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{h}_j, \mathbf{h}_k$  are linearly dependent, i.e., their sum is all-zero column.

Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ , then the *support* of the vector  $\mathbf{x}$  is the set

$$\text{supp}(\mathbf{x}) = \{i \mid x_i \neq 0\}.$$

A vector of weight 3 of the binary Hamming code  $H_n$  is called *triple*. Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then we denote a subspace spanned by the set of all triples of the code  $H_n$  having 1 in the  $i$ th coordinate by  $R_i$ . By definition, the minimum distance of the code  $R_i$  is 3.

**Proposition 2** *The minimum distance graph  $G(R_i)$  and the hypercube of dimension  $(n - 1)/2$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{u} \in H_n$  be a triple having 1 in the  $i$ th coordinate. Then the  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{u})$  can be considered as a line in the projective geometry  $\text{PG}(m - 1, 2)$ . The number of lines passing through a fixed point in  $\text{PG}(m - 1, 2)$  is equal to  $(n - 1)/2$ ,  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 3$ . By definition of the binary Hamming code  $H_n$  and the parity-check matrix  $H$  of code  $H_n$ , it follows that all triples of the code  $H_n$  having 1 in the  $i$ th coordinate are linearly independent. Therefore the dimension of  $R_i$  is  $(n - 1)/2$ . Since all triples have weight 3, the minimum distance graph  $G(R_i)$  and the hypercube of dimension  $(n - 1)/2$  are isomorphic.  $\square$

Consider a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  such that its  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$  is a hyperplane of geometric dimension  $m - 2$ . Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^k$ ,  $k = (n - 1)/2$ ,  $\alpha$  be a bijective map from  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$  to  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , and  $C^{\mathbf{x}} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Then a vector  $\mathbf{c}' = (c'_1, c'_2, \dots, c'_n)$  belongs to the code  $C^{\mathbf{x}}$  if and only if there exists  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k) \in C$  such that

$$c'_i = \begin{cases} c_{\alpha(i)} & \text{if } i \in \text{supp}(\mathbf{x}), \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{x}), \end{cases}$$

for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . The code  $C^{\mathbf{x}}$  can be viewed as embedding the code  $C$  in a large dimensional space.

Now we construct a nonlinear binary 1-perfect code  $T_{15}$  of length 15 by switching construction [5, 15]. For given  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 15\}$ ,  $R_i \subset H_{15}$ , and  $\mathbf{c} \in (H_{15} \setminus R_i)$ , we set

$$T_{15} = (H_{15} \setminus (R_i + \mathbf{c})) \cup (R_i + \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{e}_i),$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  is a binary vector of length 15, in which the  $i$ th component is equal to 1 and all other components are 0.

The binary Hamming code  $H_n$  of length  $n$  is unique linear code with 2-transitive automorphism group [9]. Hence the nonlinear binary 1-perfect code  $T_{15}$  is unique.

Next, we present a recursive construction of a code  $T_n$  of length  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 5$ . Let us assume that we have already constructed the code  $T_k$  of length

$k = (n - 1)/2$ . Then for given  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  such that its  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$  is an  $m - 2$  dimensional hyperplane,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $i \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $R_i \subset H_n$ , we set

$$T_n = \bigcup_{\mathbf{u} \in T_k^{\mathbf{x}}} (R_i + \mathbf{u}).$$

**Lemma 3** *Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be such that its  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$  is an  $m - 2$  dimensional hyperplane,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $i \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$ , the code  $R_i \subset H_n$ ,  $k = (n - 1)/2$ ,  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 5$ . Then the following statement holds:*

$$T_k^{\mathbf{x}} \cap R_i = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

*Proof.* By definition,  $\mathbf{0} \in T_k^{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\mathbf{0} \in R_i$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{0} \in T_k^{\mathbf{x}} \cap R_i$ .

Next we prove that  $(T_k^{\mathbf{x}} \cap R_i) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} = \emptyset$ . For every two distinct points, there is exactly one line that contains both points. Let  $l$  be a line which passes through the point  $i$ . Since  $i \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$ , the intersection of the hyperplane  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$  with the line  $l$  contains exactly one point. Otherwise, all points on the line  $l$  must belong to the hyperplane  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}'$  be triples of the code  $H_n$  having 1 in the  $i$ th coordinate and let  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{u}) = \{i, j, k\}$ ,  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{u}') = \{i, j', k'\}$ . Since  $\{i, j, k\}$  and  $\{i, j', k'\}$  are lines of the projective geometry  $\text{PG}(m - 1, 2)$ ,  $j = j'$  if and only if  $k = k'$ . Therefore

$$\text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) \not\subseteq \text{supp}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in R_i, \mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{0}. \tag{1}$$

Further we define a set  $\mathbb{F}^{\mathbf{x}}$ . Let

$$\mathbb{F}^{\mathbf{x}} = \{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \text{supp}(\mathbf{c}) \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathbf{x})\}.$$

From (1) it follows that  $(\mathbb{F}^{\mathbf{x}} \cap R_i) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} = \emptyset$ . By definition,  $T_k^{\mathbf{x}} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{\mathbf{x}}$ . Hence, we proved that  $(T_k^{\mathbf{x}} \cap R_i) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} = \emptyset$ . □

**Lemma 4** *The code  $T_n$  is a nonlinear binary 1-perfect code of length  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove the theorem by induction on  $m$ . By definition, the code  $T_{15}$  is a nonlinear binary 1-perfect code of length 15, see [5, 15]. By induction hypothesis, the code  $T_k$  is a nonlinear binary 1-perfect code of length  $k = (n - 1)/2$ . Next we prove that the code

$$T_n = \bigcup_{\mathbf{u} \in T_k^{\mathbf{x}}} (R_i + \mathbf{u})$$

is a nonlinear binary 1-perfect code of length  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 5$ .

We need to prove that the number of codewords in the code  $T_n$  is correct and that the minimum distance of  $T_n$  is equal to 3. From Proposition 2 it follows that the code  $R_i \subseteq H_n$  contains  $2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$  codewords. By induction hypothesis, the code  $T_k$  contains  $2^{\frac{n-1}{2}-m+1}$  codewords. Hence, taking into account Lemma 3, we have that the code  $T_n$  contains  $2^{n-m}$  codewords.

Now we show that the minimum distance of  $T_n$  is equal to 3. Suppose that the first  $k + 1$  components of the vector  $\mathbf{x}$  are equal to 0, and the remaining components of this vector are equal to 1. Then any codeword in  $T_n$  can be represented in the form

$$(\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{w}) + (\mathbf{0}|\mathbf{u}),$$

where  $(\cdot|\cdot)$  denotes concatenation,  $(\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{w}) \in R_i$ ,  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{v}) \cap \text{supp}(\mathbf{x}) = \emptyset$ ,  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{w}) \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$ , and  $\mathbf{u} \in T_k^{\mathbf{x}}$ . Since  $i \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$ , then from the definition of the code  $R_i$ , it follows that  $d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') \geq 2$  for all  $(\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{w}), (\mathbf{v}'|\mathbf{w}') \in R_i$  and  $(\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{w}) \neq (\mathbf{v}'|\mathbf{w}')$ . For  $d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') \geq 3$  taking into account Lemma 3, we have that the minimum distance of the code  $T_n$  is 3.

Let  $d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}') = 2$ , then it follows from the definition of  $R_i$  that

$$1 \leq d(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}') \leq 2.$$

From the definition of the 1-perfect code it follows that the 1-perfect code  $T_k^{\mathbf{x}}$  of length  $k$  and vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$  form a partition of the space  $\mathbb{F}_2^k$ . Then since  $1 \leq d(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}') \leq 2$ , then taking into account Lemma 3, we get that

$$d(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}' + \mathbf{u}') \geq 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}' \in T_k^{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Hence we have that the minimum distance of the code  $T_n$  is 3.

By induction hypothesis, the code  $T_k$  is nonlinear, therefore the code  $T_n$  is also nonlinear. □

We will use the notation  $G_1 \square G_2$  for the Cartesian product of graphs. Further we consider a special subgraph  $G(R_i) \square G(T_k)$ .

**Lemma 5** *The minimum distance graph  $G(T_n)$  of the code  $T_n$  contains the spanning subgraph  $G(R_i) \square G(T_k)$  where  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ ,  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$  is an  $m - 2$  dimensional hyperplane,  $R_i \subset H_n$ ,  $i \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $k = (n - 1)/2$ ,  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 5$ .*

*Proof.* By definition, the minimum distance graph  $G(T_k^{\mathbf{x}})$  and the minimum distance graph  $G(T_k)$  are isomorphic. Hence from Lemma 3, it follows that the minimum distance graph  $G(T_n)$  of the code  $T_n$  contains the spanning subgraph  $G(R_i) \square G(T_k)$ . □

**Theorem 6** *The minimum distance graph  $G(T_n)$  of the nonlinear binary 1-perfect code  $T_n$  contains at least  $7(n + 1)/16$  pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles,  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove the theorem by induction on  $m$ . It is not difficult to check by computer that the minimum distance graph  $G(T_{15})$  contains at least 7 pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles. By induction hypothesis, the minimum distance graph  $G(T_k)$  contains at least  $7(n + 1)/32$  pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles,  $k = (n - 1)/2$ ,  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 5$ . By Proposition 2 as well as observations made in [2], it follows that the minimum distance graph  $G(R_i)$  of the code  $R_i \subset H_n$

contains  $(n - 3)/4$  pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles. It is well known that Cartesian product of any two cycles can be decomposed into two Hamiltonian cycles [7]. Therefore, by Lemma 5, it follows that the minimum distance graph  $G(T_n)$  of the nonlinear binary 1-perfect code  $T_n$  contains at least  $7(n+1)/16$  pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles,  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 4$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7** *The minimum distance graph  $G(H_n)$  of the binary Hamming code  $H_n$  contains the spanning subgraph  $G(R_i) \square G(H_k)$  where  $H_k$  is the binary Hamming code of length  $k = (n - 1)/2$ ,  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 3$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be such that its  $\text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$  is an  $m - 2$  dimensional hyperplane. Let

$$H_k^{\mathbf{x}} = \{\mathbf{u} \in H_n \mid \text{supp}(\mathbf{u}) \subseteq \text{supp}(\mathbf{x})\},$$

where  $k = (n - 1)/2$ . If  $i \notin \text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$  then  $H_k^{\mathbf{x}} \cap R_i = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and

$$H_n = \bigcup_{\mathbf{u} \in H_k^{\mathbf{x}}} (R_i + \mathbf{u}).$$

Therefore the minimum distance graph  $G(H_n)$  of the binary Hamming code  $H_n$  contains the spanning subgraph  $G(R_i) \square G(H_k)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 8** *The minimum distance graph  $G(H_n)$  of the binary Hamming code  $H_n$  contains at least  $7(n + 1)/16$  pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles,  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 4$ .*

*Proof.* This proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6. It is not difficult to check by computer that the minimum distance graph  $G(H_{15})$  of the binary Hamming code  $H_{15}$  of length  $n = 15$  contains at least 7 pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles. Therefore, by Lemma 7, it follows that the minimum distance graph  $G(H_n)$  of the binary Hamming code  $H_n$  contains at least  $7(n + 1)/16$  pairwise edge-disjoint Hamiltonian cycles,  $n = 2^m - 1$ ,  $m \geq 4$ .  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for their helpful comments and suggestions, which greatly improved the final manuscript.

## References

- [1] B. Alspach, The Wonderful Walecki Construction, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* **52** (2008), 7–20.
- [2] B. Alspach, J.-C. Bermond and D. Sotteau, Decomposition into cycles I: Hamilton decompositions, Proc. 1987 *Cycles and Rays* Colloquium, Montréal, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C 301, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1990, 9–18.

- [3] S. V. Avgustinovich, Perfect binary  $(n,3)$  codes: the structure of graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **114** (2001), 9–11.
- [4] D. E. Bryant, Cycle Decompositions of Complete Graphs, *Surveys in Combinatorics 2007* (Eds. A. J. W. Hilton and J. M. Talbot) London Math. Soc. Lec. Note Ser. 346, Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press, 2007, 67–98.
- [5] T. Etzion and A. Vardy, Perfect binary codes: Constructions, properties, and enumeration, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **40** (3) (1994), 754–763.
- [6] C. Fernández-Córdoba and K. T. Phelps, On the minimum distance graph of an extended Preparata code, *Des. Codes Crypto.* **57** (2) (2010), 161–168.
- [7] A. Kotzig, Every Cartesian product of two circuits is decomposable into two Hamiltonian circuits, Rapport 233, Le Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Montréal, 1973.
- [8] É. Lucas, *Récréations Mathématiques*, tome II. Paris, 1892.
- [9] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1977.
- [10] I. Y. Mogilnykh, On weak isometries of Preparata codes, *Prob. Inform. Transmis.* **45** (2009), 145–150.
- [11] I. Y. Mogilnykh, P. R. J. Östergård, O. Potttonen and F. I. Solov’eva, Reconstructing extended perfect binary one-error-correcting codes from their minimum distance graphs, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **55** (6) (2009), 2622–2625.
- [12] D. A. Pike, *Hamilton Decompositions of Graphs*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Auburn University, 1996.
- [13] A. M. Romanov, On combinatorial Gray codes with distance 3, *Discr. Math. Appl.* **19** (2009), 383–388.
- [14] A. M. Romanov, Hamiltonicity of minimum distance graphs of 1-perfect codes, *Electron. J. Combin.* **19** (2012), #P65.
- [15] Yu. L. Vasil’ev, On nongroup close-packed codes, *Probl. Kybern.* **8** (1962), 337–339.

(Received 11 Dec 2016; revised 19 Apr 2017, 19 July 2017)