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#### Abstract

In this note we present answers to the open problems posed by Brualdi, Kiernan, Meyer and Schroeder in [Cyclic matching sequencibility of graphs, Australas. J. Combin. 53 (2012), 245-256].


## 1 Discussion and response

Let $G \subseteq K_{n}$ be a graph of order $n$ with $m$ edges. The matching number of $G$ is the maximum number of edges in a matching. The matching number of a linear ordering $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}$ of the edges of $G$ is the largest number $d$ such that every $d$ consecutive edges in the ordering form a $d$-matching of $G$. The matching sequencibility of $G$, denoted $\operatorname{MS}(G)$, is the maximum matching number of a linear ordering of the edges of $G$. The cyclic matching sequencibility of $G$, denoted $\operatorname{CmS}(G)$, is the largest integer $d$ such that there exists a cyclic ordering of the edges so that every $d$ consecutive edges in the ordering form a matching of $G$. In [1] Brualdi, Kiernan, Meyer, and Schroeder pose three questions concerning the relationship between $\operatorname{MS}(G)$ and $\operatorname{CMS}(G)$. In this note we use the graph $Y_{n}$ in Figure 1 to provide answers to each of these questions. If $G$ is any simple graph, $k G$ denotes the multi-graph in which every edge of $G$ is replicated $k$ times.

If we consider the linear ordering $\alpha$ as a function

$$
\alpha: E(G) \mapsto\{1, \ldots, m\}
$$

we can define the linear distance in $\alpha$ between two edges $e_{i}, e_{j}$ as:

$$
d_{\alpha}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=\left|\alpha\left(e_{i}\right)-\alpha\left(e_{j}\right)\right|
$$

Similarly if we consider the cyclic ordering $\beta$ as a function:

$$
\beta: E(G) \mapsto\{1, \ldots, m\}
$$



Figure 1: The graph $Y_{n}$
we can define the cyclic distance in $\beta$ between two edges $e_{i}, e_{j}$ as:

$$
d_{\beta}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=\min \left\{\left|\beta\left(e_{i}\right)-\beta\left(e_{j}\right)\right|, m-\left|\beta\left(e_{i}\right)-\beta\left(e_{j}\right)\right|\right\}
$$

Question 1: Given a graph $G$ with matching number $p$, is there a positive integer $k$ such that $\operatorname{MS}(k G)=p \quad(\operatorname{CMS}(k G)=p)$ ?

The graph $Y_{n}$ has diameter $n$ and hence because no two of the edges

$$
\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{n+1}\right\},\left\{v_{1} v_{n+2}\right\}
$$

are in a matching it is easy to see the matching number of $Y_{n}$ is $n / 2$ if $n$ is even; $(n+1) / 2$ if $n$ is odd. However when $n$ is odd the largest matching containing $v_{1} v_{2}$ is $(n-1) / 2$. Hence, $\operatorname{Ms}\left(Y_{n}\right) \leq(n-1) / 2$. Now consider $k G=k Y_{n}, n$ odd. Any of the $k$ edges between the vertices $\left\{v_{1}\right\},\left\{v_{2}\right\}$ can be in a matching of size at most $(n-1) / 2$. Thus, $\operatorname{MS}\left(k Y_{n}\right) \leq(n-1) / 2$ for any $k$.

The answer to Question 1 is no.
Question 2: For a graph $G$, we have $\operatorname{ms}(G) \geq \operatorname{cms}(G)$. How large can $\operatorname{ms}(G)-$ $\operatorname{CMS}(G)$ be? Is $\operatorname{CMS}(G) \geq \operatorname{MS}(G)-1$ ?

Consider the graph $Y_{n}$, when $n$ is even. Label the edges according to the following table:

| Edge | Label |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\{v_{2 i}, v_{2 i+1}\right\}$ | $\mapsto$ | $i+1$, | $1 \leq i<\frac{n}{2}$ |
| $\left\{v_{2 i+1}, v_{2 i+2}\right\}$ | $\mapsto$ | $\frac{n}{2}+1+i$, | $1 \leq i<\frac{n}{2}$ |
| $\left\{v_{n+2}, v_{1}\right\}$ | $\mapsto$ | $\frac{n}{2}+1$ |  |
| $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ | $\mapsto$ | $n+1$ |  |
| $\left\{v_{n+1}, v_{1}\right\}$ | $\mapsto$ | 1 |  |

This labelling gives us $\operatorname{MS}\left(Y_{n}\right) \geq \frac{n}{2}-1$.
Let $\beta$ be a cyclic ordering of $Y_{n}$ and let $e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}$ be the three edges incident to the vertex $v_{1}$ ordered such that

$$
1 \leq \beta\left(e_{0}\right)<\beta\left(e_{1}\right)<\beta\left(e_{2}\right) .
$$

For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ consider the set $\left\{e \in E(G): \beta\left(e_{i}\right) \leq \beta(e) \leq \beta\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right\}$. This is a set of size $d_{\beta}\left(e_{i}, e_{i+1}\right)+1$ which is not a matching. Therefore the $\beta$-distance between
edges $e_{i}$ and $e_{i+1}$ is an upper bound to the matching number of $\beta$. The sum of these distances is:

$$
d_{\beta}\left(e_{0}, e_{1}\right)+d_{\beta}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+d_{\beta}\left(e_{2}, e_{0}\right)=n+1 .
$$

Taking the average we obtain:

$$
\frac{d_{\beta}\left(e_{0}, e_{1}\right)+d_{\beta}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+d_{\beta}\left(e_{2}, e_{0}\right)}{3}=\frac{n+1}{3} .
$$

Hence for some $i$ we have $d_{\beta}\left(e_{i}, e_{i+1}\right) \leq \frac{n+1}{3}$ and the matching number of $\beta$ is at most $\frac{n+1}{3}$. Therefore $\operatorname{CMS}\left(Y_{n}\right) \leq \frac{n+1}{3}$.

Thus,

$$
\operatorname{MS}\left(Y_{n}\right)-\operatorname{CMS}\left(Y_{n}\right) \geq \frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{n+1}{3}=\frac{n-4}{6} .
$$

Thus, we see that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{MS}\left(Y_{n}\right)-\operatorname{CMS}\left(Y_{n}\right)}{n} \geq \frac{1}{6}
$$

Consequently our answer to Question 2 is that the difference $\operatorname{ms}(G)-\operatorname{CMS}(G)$ can be made as large as desired.

Question 3: Given a graph $G$, is $\operatorname{CMS}(2 G)=\operatorname{MS}(G)$ ?
From our answer to Question 2 we know that $\operatorname{MS}\left(Y_{2 k}\right) \geq k-1$, where $n=2 k$. Now consider $2 Y_{2 k}$. Let $\beta$ be a cyclic ordering of $2 Y_{2 k}$ and let $e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2} \ldots, e_{5}$ be the six edges incident to the vertex $v_{1}$ ordered such that

$$
1 \leq \beta\left(e_{0}\right)<\beta\left(e_{1}\right)<\cdots<\beta\left(e_{5}\right) .
$$

For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ consider the set $\left\{e \in E(G): \beta\left(e_{i}\right) \leq \beta(e) \leq \beta\left(e_{i+1}\right)\right\}$. This is a set of size $d_{\beta}\left(e_{i}, e_{i+1}\right)+1$ which is not a matching. Therefore the $\beta$-distance between edges $e_{i}$ and $e_{i+1}$ is an upper bound to the matching number of $\beta$. The sum of these distances is:

$$
d_{\beta}\left(e_{0}, e_{1}\right)+d_{\beta}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+\cdots+d_{\beta}\left(e_{5}, e_{0}\right)=4 k+2
$$

Taking the average we obtain:

$$
\frac{d_{\beta}\left(e_{0}, e_{1}\right)+d_{\beta}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+\cdots+d_{\beta}\left(e_{5}, e_{0}\right)}{6}=\frac{4 k+2}{6}
$$

Hence for some $i$ we have $d_{\beta}\left(e_{i}, e_{i+1}\right) \leq \frac{4 k+2}{6}$ and the matching number of $\beta$ is at most $\frac{4 k+2}{6}$. Therefore $\operatorname{CMS}\left(2 Y_{2 k}\right) \leq \frac{4 k+2}{6} \leq k-1 \leq \operatorname{MS}\left(2 Y_{2 k}\right)$, and the answer to the Question 3 is no.

## 2 Further results

Given the answer to Question 2 one might ask how small can $\operatorname{Cms}(G)$ be? We now provide an answer.

## Lower Bound for $\mathrm{cms}(G)$

Theorem $2.1\lfloor\operatorname{MS}(G) / 2\rfloor \leq \operatorname{CMS}(G)$.
Proof: Let $\operatorname{Ms}(G)=n$ and write $|E(G)|=k n+r$ for some $k \geq 1$ and $r<n$. Choose an ordering $\alpha: E(G) \mapsto\{1, \ldots, k n+r\}$ of the edges of $G$ with matching number $n$. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, let:

$$
A_{i, 1}=\left\{n(i-1)+1, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n(2 i-1)}{2}\right\rfloor\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{i,-1}=\left\{\left\lfloor\frac{n(2 i-1)}{2}\right\rfloor+1, \ldots, n i\right\}
$$

Also let

$$
A_{k+1,1}=\left\{k n+1, \ldots, k n+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right\} \cap\{1, \ldots, k n+r\}
$$

and

$$
A_{k+1,-1}=\left\{k n+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1, \ldots,(k+1) n\right\} \cap\{1, \ldots, k n+r\} .
$$

Define the ordering $\beta: E(G) \mapsto\{1, \ldots, k n+r\}$ by

$$
\beta(x)= \begin{cases}\alpha(x)-(i-1)\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil & \text { if } \alpha(x) \in A_{i, 1} \\ n k+r-\alpha(x)+i\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1 & \text { if } \alpha(x) \in A_{i,-1} .\end{cases}
$$

We chose to define $\beta$ in this way so that $\beta$ will satisfy the following three conditions:
(i) If $\alpha(x), \alpha(y) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_{i, 1}$, then $\beta(x)<\beta(y)$ if and only if $\alpha(x)<\alpha(y)$.
(ii) If $\alpha(x) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_{i, 1}, \alpha(y) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_{i,-1}$, then $\beta(x)<\beta(y)$ always.
(iii) If $\alpha(x), \alpha(y) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_{i,-1}$, then $\beta(x)<\beta(y)$ if and only if $\alpha(x)>\alpha(y)$.

For any set $B$ of cyclically consecutive edges with respect to the ordering $\beta$ with $|B|=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$, we want to show that $B$ is a matching. If $\alpha(B) \subset A_{i, \epsilon}$ the result is trivial. Otherwise we have three cases:

Case 1: $\alpha(B) \subset A_{i, \epsilon} \cup \boldsymbol{A}_{i+1, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$
If $\alpha(B) \subset A_{i, \epsilon} \cup A_{i+1, \epsilon}$, consider $A=B \cup \alpha^{-1}\left(A_{i-\frac{-1+\epsilon}{2},-\epsilon}\right)$. As $A$ is a set of $n$ or fewer consecutive edges with respect to the ordering $\alpha, A$ is a matching. Hence $B$ is a matching.
Case 2: $\alpha(B) \subset A_{k+1,1} \cup \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{k + 1},-1} \cup \boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{k},-1}$
If $\alpha(B) \subset A_{k+1,1} \cup A_{k+1,-1} \cup A_{k,-1}$, consider $A=B \cup \alpha^{-1}\left(A_{k+1,1}\right)$. As $A$ is a set of $n$ or fewer consecutive edges with respect to the ordering $\alpha, A$ is a matching. Hence $B$ is a matching.

## Case 3: $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(B) \subset \boldsymbol{A}_{1,-1} \cup \boldsymbol{A}_{1,1}$

If $\alpha(B) \subset A_{1,-1} \cup A_{1,1}$, consider $A=\alpha^{-1}\left(A_{1,-1} \cup A_{1,1}\right)$. As $A$ is a set of $n$ or fewer consecutive edges with respect to the ordering $\alpha, A$ is a matching and so is $B$ because $B \subset A$.

We will now provide an example that shows that this bound is sharp when $\operatorname{ms}(G)=$ $2 k$ and almost sharp when $\operatorname{MS}(G)=2 k+1$.

Let $L_{n}$ be the disjoint union of $P_{2}$, the path of length two, with $n-1$ copies of $K_{2}$, i.e.:


If the edges of $P_{2}$ are $x_{1}, x_{2}$, then any ordering $\alpha$ such that $\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)=1$ and $\alpha\left(x_{2}\right)=n+1$ has matching number $n$. This is clearly an upper bound to $\operatorname{MS}\left(L_{n}\right)$, as it has $n+1$ edges. Therefore $\operatorname{Ms}\left(L_{n}\right)=n$.

The determination of $\operatorname{CMS}\left(L_{n}\right)$ is similar to the way $\operatorname{CMS}\left(Y_{n}\right)$ was determined. Here any ordering $\beta$ will have $d_{\beta}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$. But this number is achieved by any ordering $\beta$ that satisfies $\beta\left(x_{1}\right)=1, \beta\left(x_{2}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1$. Hence $\operatorname{CmS}\left(L_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil=$ $\left\lceil\frac{\mathrm{MS}\left(L_{n}\right)}{2}\right\rceil$.

Notice that for $n=2 k,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor=\frac{n}{2}$, thus the bound given in Theorem 2.1 is sharp for even $n$. When $n=2 k+1,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+1$ and so if the bound is not sharp it is only off by 1 .
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