

Packing trees of bounded diameter into the complete graph

EDWARD DOBSON

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Mississippi State University
PO Drawer MA Mississippi State, MS 39762
U.S.A.
dobson@math.msstate.edu*

Abstract

Let d be a positive integer. We prove that there exists a constant $c = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2 + (d + 1)^2} - (d + 1)^2)$ such that if T_1, \dots, T_n is a sequence of trees such that $|V(T_i)| = i$, $\text{diam}(T_i) \leq d + 2$, and there exists $x_i \in V(T_i)$ such that $T_i - x_i$ has at least $(1 - c)(i - 1)$ isolated vertices, then T_1, \dots, T_n can be packed into K_n . This verifies a special case of the Tree Packing Conjecture. We then prove that if T is a tree of order $n + 1$ and there exists $x \in V(T)$ such that $T - x$ has at least $n - \sqrt{n}/(4 + 2\sqrt{2})$ isolated vertices, then $2n + 1$ copies of T may be packed into K_{2n+1} . Finally, we show that there exists a constant $c' = c'(d)$ such that if T is a tree of order $n + 1$, $\text{diam}(T) \leq d + 2$, and there exists $x \in V(T)$ such that $T - x$ has at least $(1 - c')n$ isolated vertices, then $2n + 1$ copies of T may be packed into K_{2n+1} . The last two results verify special cases of Ringel's conjecture.

1 Introduction

In 1976 Gyárfás and Lehel [7] conjectured that every sequence of trees T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n such that $|V(T_i)| = i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, can be packed into K_n . This conjecture is usually referred to as the Tree Packing Conjecture. Since that time a variety of partial solutions to this conjecture have been obtained. The interested reader is referred to [4] and the references there for surveys of these results. In [4], the author proved that if T_i contains a vertex x_i such that $T_i - x_i$ has at least $i - 1 - \sqrt{6(i - 1)}/4$ isolated vertices, then T_1, \dots, T_n can be packed into K_n . In [5], the author verified an “approximate” version of the Tree Packing Conjecture for similar type trees. Namely, that for $c \leq .076122$, any sequence of trees T_1, \dots, T_n with $|V(T_i)| \leq i - c(i - 1)$ can be packed into K_n provided that for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists a vertex $x_i \in V(T_i)$ such that $T_i - x_i$ has at least $(1 - 2c)(i - 1)$ isolated vertices. Let d be a positive

integer. We prove that there exists a constant $c = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2 + (d+1)^2} - (d+1)^2)$ such that if T_1, \dots, T_n is a sequence of trees such that $|V(T_i)| = i$, $\text{diam}(T_i) \leq d+2$, and there exists $x_i \in V(T_i)$ such that $T_i - x_i$ has at least $(1-c)(i-1)$ isolated vertices, then T_1, \dots, T_n can be packed into K_n . We then prove that if T_0, \dots, T_{2n} is a sequence of trees with each T_i of order $n+1$, and in each T_i there exists an $x_i \in V(T_i)$ such that $T_i - x_i$ has at least $n - \sqrt{n}/(4+2\sqrt{2})$ isolated vertices, then T_0, \dots, T_{2n} can be packed into K_{2n+1} . This proves a special case of Ringel's Conjecture, which states that $2n+1$ copies of a tree T of order $n+1$ may be packed into K_{2n+1} , and also a more general conjecture of Häggvist [8, Conjecture 2.17] stating that any list of k trees of order $\ell+1$ can be packed into any 2ℓ -regular graph of order k . Finally, we show that there exists a constant $c' = c'(d)$ such that if T_0, \dots, T_{2n} is a sequence of trees of order $n+1$ such that $\text{diam}(T_i) \leq d+2$ and there exists $x_i \in V(T_i)$ such that $T_i - x_i$ has at least $(1-c')n$ isolated vertices, then T_0, \dots, T_{2n} can be packed into K_{2n+1} . This result also verifies a special case of Ringel's Conjecture and the more general conjecture of Häggvist cited above.

Notation is standard. For terms not defined in this paper, see [2]. Let G_1, \dots, G_ℓ and G be graphs. We say that G_1, \dots, G_ℓ can be packed into G if there exists inclusions $V(G_i) \subseteq V(G)$, $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, such that if $e \in E(G_i)$, then $e \notin \cup_{j \neq i} E(G_j)$. The inclusions are said to be a *packing* P . We commonly abuse notation by saying an edge $e \in P$ if $e \in E(G_i)$ for some i and say $H \subseteq G$ if G contains a subgraph isomorphic to H . Finally, we will often have occasion to consider a directed graph \vec{D} along with its underlying simple graph. Throughout this paper, if a directed graph is denoted by \vec{D} , then its underlying simple graph will be denoted by D .

2 Tools

We begin with a lemma that will allow us to extend a packing of trees T_1, \dots, T_n into K_t to a packing of trees T_1, \dots, T_{n+1} into K_t under appropriate circumstances. Before stating this result, we need to develop some terminology that will be used in its statement.

Let $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n, T_{n+1}, \dots, T_{n+r}$ be a sequence of trees that can be packed into K_t , and fix such a packing P . Furthermore, assume that if $i \geq n+1$, then T_i is a star. Let $x_i \in V(T_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n+r$, and $F_i \subseteq \{x_i j : x_i j \in T_i, \text{deg}_{T_i}(j) = 1\}$. Let $v \in V(T_{n+1})$ such that $T_{n+1} - v$ has no edges. Define a digraph \vec{D} by $V(\vec{D}) = H = V(T_{n+1}) - \{v\}$ and

$$E(\vec{D}) = \{\vec{x_i y} : x_i, y \in H \text{ and } \vec{x_i y} \in F_i \text{ for some } i, 1 \leq i \leq n+r, \text{ with } v \notin V(T_i)\}.$$

Thus $E(\vec{D})$ consists of those directed edges, each of whose endpoints is in $V(\vec{D})$, that are contained in some F_i , where $v \notin V(T_i)$. Thus no edge of F_{n+1} is contained in $E(\vec{D})$.

Lemma 1 *Let $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n, T_{n+1}, \dots, T_{n+r}$ be a sequence of trees that can be packed into K_t , and fix such a packing P . Assume that if $i \geq n+1$, then T_i is a star. Let T'_{n+1} be a tree such that $|V(T'_{n+1})| = |V(T_{n+1})|$. Let \vec{T}'_{n+1} be the directed tree rooted at v such that every edge of \vec{T}'_{n+1} is indirected toward the root v . If \vec{D} contains a subdigraph isomorphic to the digraph obtained from $\vec{T}'_{n+1} - v$ by removing all isolated vertices, then there is a packing P' of $T_1, \dots, T_n, T'_{n+1}, T_{n+2}, \dots, T_{n+r}$ into K_t .*

PROOF. Note that if T'_{n+1} is a star, then the packing P satisfies the conclusion of this lemma. We thus assume that the subdigraph of $\vec{T}'_{n+1} - v$ obtained by removing all isolated vertices is not empty. Let $\vec{T} \subset \vec{D}$ be such that \vec{T} is isomorphic to the subdigraph of $\vec{T}'_{n+1} - v$ obtained by removing all isolated vertices. For each edge $e = x_e \vec{y}_e \in E(\vec{T})$, let $1 \leq i_e \leq n+r$ such that $e \in F_{i_e}$. Then $x_e = x_{i_e}$. We now modify our packing P of T_1, \dots, T_{n+r} in the following fashion. For each $x_e \vec{y}_e \in E(\vec{T})$, remove edge $x_e y_e$ from tree T_{i_e} and replace it with $x_e v$, and denote the resulting graph by T'_{i_e} (so that $T'_{i_e} = (T_{i_e} - x_e y_e) \cup \{x_e v\}$). As $x_e y_e \in E(T_{i_e})$, by the definition of \vec{D} , we have that $v \notin V(T_{i_e})$, so that each graph T'_{i_e} is a tree isomorphic to T_{i_e} . For $1 \leq i \leq n+r$, let $U_i = T_i$ if $i \neq n+1$ or i_e for any $e \in E(\vec{T})$, $U_{i_e} = T'_{i_e}$ for every $e \in E(\vec{T})$, and $U_{n+1} = T_{n+1} - \{x_e v : e \in E(\vec{T})\}$. We then have a packing P_1 of U_1, \dots, U_{n+r} into K_t and none of the edges $x_e y_e$ are used in this packing. Note that U_{n+1} is a star of order $|T_{n+1}| - |E(\vec{T})|$, and, of course, if $i \neq n+1$, then $U_i \cong T_i$. Now remove the edges of each U_i , $1 \leq i \leq n+r$, $i \neq n+1$, from K_t , and then remove any isolated vertices of the resulting graph. We then have a graph G with

$$|E(\vec{T})| + |E(U_{n+1})| = |E(T_{n+1})|,$$

edges, and will be a tree isomorphic to T'_{n+1} provided that v is only adjacent in G to the vertex of a component of \vec{T} that is adjacent in T'_{n+1} to v . Let \vec{C} be a component of \vec{T} . Then there exists $x \in V(\vec{C})$ such that $xv \in E(T'_{n+1})$, and every edge of \vec{C} is indirected towards x . For each edge $e = x_e \vec{y}_e \in E(\vec{C})$, $x_e v \in E(T'_{i_e}) = E(U_{i_e})$ and $i_e \neq n+1$. Furthermore, $x \neq x_e$ for any $e \in E(\vec{T})$. Thus the only vertex of \vec{C} which is adjacent in G to v is x . Whence G is a tree isomorphic to T'_{n+1} and we have a packing of $T_1, \dots, T_n, T'_{n+1}, T_{n+2}, \dots, T_{n+r}$ into K_t . \square

Remark 1 Note that for each edge of $T'_{n+1} - x_{n+1}$, there are two edges of the packing P that are changed. One such edge is used for an edge of $T'_{n+1} - x_{n+1}$, and the other, in some sense, has its “direction reversed”. That is, in P , this edge was of the form vx_e in tree T_{n+1} (with $x_e = x_{i_e}$) but in the packing P' , it is $x_e v$ in tree T_{i_e} . Thus if we are viewing the edges of the trees T_i being indirected toward the root x_i , the direction of the edge vx_e is reversed. Finally, observe that if the packing P identifies x_i with v_i , then the packing P' identifies x_i with v_i as well, and $V(P(T_{n+1})) = V(P'(T'_{n+1}))$.

A *transitive tournament* is a directed graph on n vertices with out degree sequence $(0, 1, \dots, n-1)$. At times it will be convenient to have a canonical labeling for a transitive tournament of order n . Let τ be the transitive tournament such that let $V(\tau) = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} = \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $\deg_{\tau}^+(i) = i$. Thus $\deg_{\tau}^-(i) = n - i - 1$.

Lemma 2 *Let \vec{D} be a subdigraph of the transitive tournament τ of order n such that D (the underlying simple graph of \vec{D}) has minimal degree at least $\delta(n-1)$, $0 < \delta < 1$. Let $c \geq 0$ and d a positive integer such that $d(1-\delta) + c \leq \delta$. Let \vec{F} be a directed forest of order cn with r components $\vec{T}_1, \dots, \vec{T}_r$, where each edge of \vec{T}_i is indirected towards some root v_i . Let T_i be the underlying simple graph of \vec{T}_i . If $d \geq \max\{\text{dist}_{T_i}(v_i, x_i) : x_i \in V(T_i)\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, then \vec{F} is contained in \vec{D} .*

PROOF. As \vec{D} is a subdigraph of τ and $\delta(D) \geq \delta(n-1)$, D has some component of order at least $\delta(n-1) + 1$. As an induced subdigraph of a transitive tournament is a transitive tournament (of possibly smaller order) we assume without loss of generality that D is connected and has order at least $\delta(n-1) + 1$. For convenience, we will also assume that $\{0, \dots, \delta(n-1) + 1\} \subset V(D)$. Let F be the underlying simple graph of \vec{F} . Let $N_F(i) = \{x_j \in V(T_j) : \text{dist}_T(v_j, x_j) = i, 1 \leq j \leq r\}$, and $n_i = |N_F(i)|$, $0 \leq i \leq d$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$, let $M_F(i) = \cup_{j=0}^i N_F(j)$ and $m_i = |M_F(i)|$. Thus $m_i = \sum_{j=0}^i n_j$ and $m_d = cn$. We will show by induction on $0 \leq i \leq d$ that $\vec{F}[M_F(i)]$ is contained in $\vec{D}[\{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor i(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_i - 1 \rfloor\}]$. If $i = 0$, then $V(\vec{F}[M_F(0)]) = \{v_j : 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ and we identify v_j with $j-1$, $1 \leq j \leq r$. Thus $\vec{F}[M_F(0)]$ is trivially contained in $\vec{D}[\{0, \dots, m_0 - 1\}]$. We thus assume that $i \geq 0$ and $\vec{F}[M_F(i)]$ is contained in $\vec{D}[\{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor i(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_i - 1 \rfloor\}]$. We remark that it suffices to show that each vertex of \vec{D} identified with a vertex of $N_F(i)$ is inadjacent to at least n_{i+1} vertices of \vec{D} contained in $\{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor (i+1)(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_{i+1} - 1 \rfloor\}$. Let u_1, \dots, u_{n_i} be the n_i vertices of \vec{D} that have been identified with the vertices in $N_F(i)$. Then $u_j \leq \lfloor i(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_i - 1 \rfloor$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n_i$, and so $\text{deg}_\tau^-(u_j) \geq n-1 - \lfloor i(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_i - 1 \rfloor$. Furthermore, as $\delta(D) \geq \delta(n-1)$, each vertex of \vec{D} has at most $(n-1 - \delta(n-1)) = (n-1)(1-\delta)$ fewer edges incident with it than in τ . Thus

$$\text{deg}_{\vec{D}}^-(u_j) \geq n-1 - \lfloor i(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_i - 1 \rfloor - (n-1)(1-\delta)$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq n_i$. As $\text{deg}_{\vec{D}}^-(u_j)$ is an integer, we have that

$$\text{deg}_{\vec{D}}^-(u_j) \geq n-1 - \lfloor (i+1)(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_{i+1} - 1 \rfloor$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq n_i$. Note that in τ , the edge $x\vec{u}_j \in E(\tau)$ if and only if $x > u_j$. Hence at most $\lfloor (i+1)(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_{i+1} - 1 \rfloor$ integers $u_j \neq x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfy $x\vec{u}_j \notin E(\vec{D})$. By the pigeon-hole principal, u_j is thus inadjacent to at least n_{i+1} vertices in the set

$$\begin{aligned} \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor (i+1)(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_{i+1} - 1 \rfloor + n_{i+1}\} = \\ \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor (i+1)(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_{i+1} - 1 \rfloor\}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\lfloor (i+1)(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_{i+1} - 1 \rfloor \leq \delta(n-1) + 1$ (as $\{0, \dots, \delta(n-1) + 1\} \subset V(\vec{D})$). Note that $\lfloor (i+1)(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_{i+1} - 1 \rfloor \leq d(1-\delta)(n-1) + cn$. As $d(1-\delta) + c \leq \delta$, we have that $d(1-\delta)(n-1) + c(n-1) \leq \delta(n-1)$ so that

$$d(1-\delta)(n-1) + cn \leq \delta(n-1) + 1 \leq |V(\vec{D})|,$$

Thus $\vec{F}[M_F(i+1)]$ is contained in $\vec{D}[\{0, 1, \dots, [(i+1)(1-\delta)(n-1) + m_{i+1} - 1]\}]$, and the result follows by induction. \square

We will have occasion to find complete subgraphs of a graph, and will use the following weak form of Turan's Theorem [3], stated here for completeness. For a graph G , let \tilde{G} denote the complement of G .

Lemma 3 *If G is a graph of order $m \geq t^2$ and $|E(\tilde{G})| \leq m^2/2t$, then $K_t \subset G$.*

We will also need to find a subgraph of a graph with large minimal degree. For this we will use the following result (see [1], page xvii).

Lemma 4 *Let ℓ be a positive integer. Suppose that H is a graph of order $n \geq \ell + 1$. If*

$$|E(H)| \geq (\ell - 1) \binom{n - \ell}{2} + 1,$$

then H contains a subgraph F such that $\delta(F) \geq \ell$.

3 The Tree Packing Conjecture

Theorem 5 *Let d be a non-negative integer, and $c = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2 + (d+1)^2} - (d+1))^2$. Let T_1, \dots, T_n be a sequence of trees such that $|V(T_i)| = i$, there exists $x_i \in V(T_i)$ such that $T_i - x_i$ has at least $(1-c)(i-1)$ isolated vertices, and $\text{dist}_{T_i}(x_i, v) \leq d+1$ for every $v \in V(T_i)$. Then T_1, \dots, T_n can be packed into K_n .*

PROOF. If T_1, \dots, T_n are all stars the result is straightforward. Indeed, assume that T_1, \dots, T_n are stars with tree T_i of order i and have been packed into K_n . Add a vertex v to K_n , and an edge from every vertex of K_n to v . The resulting graph is isomorphic to K_{n+1} , and the graph G defined by $V(G) = V(K_n) \cup \{v\}$ and $E(G) = \{vx : x \in V(K_n)\}$ is a star of order $n+1$. Note that by the same argument, if T_1, \dots, T_n is any sequence of trees with $|V(T_i)| = i$ that can be packed into K_n , then there is a packing of $T_1, \dots, T_n, T'_{n+1}$ into K_{n+1} where T'_{n+1} is a star of order $n+1$. We may thus assume $d \geq 1$ and $c(n-1) \geq 1$.

For what follows we assume that $V(K_n) = [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$. We will show by induction on n that we may apply Lemma 1 in such a way that T_1, \dots, T_n can be packed into K_n so that $x_i = i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $n = 1$, then the result is trivial. Let $n \geq 1$ and inductively assume T_1, \dots, T_n as above have been packed into K_n so that $x_i = i$. By arguments above, there then exists a packing P of $T_1, \dots, T_n, T'_{n+1}$ into K_{n+1} (in the above argument we identify v with $n+1$), where T'_{n+1} is a star of order $n+1$. Let T_{n+1} be a tree such that there exists $x_{n+1} \in V(T_{n+1})$, $T_{n+1} - x_{n+1}$ has at least $(1-c)n$ isolated vertices and $\text{dist}_{T_{n+1}}(x_{n+1}, u) \leq d+1$ for every $u \in V(T_{n+1})$. Note that $H = V(T_{n+1} - x_{n+1}) = V(K_n) = [n]$, and as every tree T_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ is packed into K_n , we have that $n+1 \notin V(T_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $F_i = \{i\vec{j} : ij \in E(T_i), \text{deg}_{T_i}(j) = 1, \text{ and } j < i\}$. Define a directed graph \vec{D} by $V(\vec{D}) = H$ and $E(\vec{D}) = \cup_{i=1}^n E(F_i)$. In order to apply Lemma 1, we must show that

$\vec{T} \subseteq \vec{D}$, where \vec{T} is the directed forest obtained by first indirecting every edge of T_{n+1} towards x_{n+1} , then removing x_{n+1} , and then removing any isolated vertices.

Note that there are at least $i - 1 - c(i - 1)$ isolated vertices of $T_i - x_i$, and if x is such an isolated vertex, then $\vec{ix} \in F_i$ unless $x > i$. By the remark following Lemma 1, the only way this can occur is if the “direction” of ix is “reversed”, and there are as many of these edges in the packing P as there are edges in $\cup_{i=1}^n (T_i - x_i)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |E(\vec{D})| &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n (i - 1 - c(i - 1)) - \sum_{i=1}^n c(i - 1) \\ &= \frac{(1 - 2c)n(n - 1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\delta = 1 - \sqrt{2c}$. We first show that D (the underlying simple graph of \vec{D}) contains a subgraph of minimal degree at least δn .

As $c(n - 1) \geq 1$ (so that $n \geq 2$) and $1/(n - 1) \geq 1/2n^2$, we have that $c \geq 1/2n^2$. It then follows that $n \geq \delta n + 1$. As $|E(\vec{D})| = |E(D)| \geq (1 - 2c)n(n - 1)/2$, by Lemma 4 it suffices to show that the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{(1 - 2c)n(n - 1)}{2} \geq (\delta n - 1) \left(n - \frac{\delta n}{2} \right) + 1.$$

As $\delta = 1 - \sqrt{2c}$, the preceding inequality is equivalent to

$$2cn + \sqrt{2c}cn - 2 \geq 0,$$

which clearly holds as $2cn > 2c(n - 1) \geq 2$. Thus D contains a subgraph of minimal degree at least δn , so that \vec{D} contains a subdigraph \vec{D}' such that D' has minimal degree at least δn . Let $\vec{U}_1, \dots, \vec{U}_r$ be the components of \vec{T} , and $u_i \in V(U_i)$ such that $u_i x_{n+1} \in E(T_{n+1})$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^r |V(U_i)| \leq cn$ and $\text{dist}_{U_i}(u_i, u) \leq d$ for every $u \in V(U_i)$. Let $|V(D')| = t$, $\delta' = \delta n/t$, and $c' = cn/t$ (so that $c't = cn$). If $d(1 - \delta') + c' \leq \delta'$, then by Lemma 2 \vec{D}' (and so \vec{D}) contains \vec{T} provided that D' has minimal degree $\delta't = \delta n$ and $|V(\vec{T})| = c't = cn$. Thus \vec{D}' contain \vec{T} if $d(1 - \delta') + c' \leq \delta'$. The result will then follow by Lemma 1. It thus suffices to show that $d(1 - \delta') + c' \leq \delta'$.

Substituting the values for δ' , c' , and $\delta = 1 - \sqrt{2c}$, into $d(1 - \delta') + c' \leq \delta'$, it suffices to show that

$$t \leq \frac{(1 - 2\sqrt{c})n + (1 - \sqrt{2c})nd - cn}{d}.$$

As $t \leq n$, it thus suffices to show that

$$n \leq \frac{(1 - 2\sqrt{c})n + (1 - \sqrt{2c})nd - cn}{d}.$$

The preceding inequality is equivalent to

$$0 \leq 1 - \sqrt{2c} - d\sqrt{2c} - c.$$

In \sqrt{c} , the right-hand side of the preceding inequality is a quadratic whose graph opens downward and is 1 at $\sqrt{c} = 0$. Thus the inequality will be true if \sqrt{c} is the largest root of the right-hand side of the preceding inequality. This inequality then holds provided that

$$\sqrt{c} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sqrt{3 + 2d + d^2} - 1 - d).$$

Squaring both sides of the preceding equality, the result follows with

$$c = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2 + (d + 1)^2} - (d + 1))^2.$$

□

Clearly we have the following result as well.

Corollary 6 *Let d be a non-negative integer, and $c = \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{2 + (d + 1)^2} - (d + 1))^2$. Let T_1, \dots, T_n be a sequence of trees such that $|V(T_i)| = i$, there exists $x_i \in V(T_i)$ such that $T_i - x_i$ has at least $(1 - c)(i - 1)$ isolated vertices, and $\text{diam}(T_i) \leq d + 2$. Then T_1, \dots, T_n can be packed into K_n .*

4 Ringel's Conjecture

In contrast to the Tree Packing Conjecture, where there is a unique packing of the stars T_1, \dots, T_n into K_n , $|V(T_i)| = i$, there are many packings of $2n + 1$ stars of order $n + 1$ into K_{2n+1} . We begin by specifying a canonical packing of $2n + 1$ stars of order $n + 1$ into K_{2n+1} that will be used throughout this section.

Let S_0 be the star with $V(S_0) = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and $E(S_0) = \{0i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. With this labeling, S_0 is *graceful*. That is, if $e \in E(S_0)$, $e = 0i$, then the differences $i - 0$ are all distinct. The interested reader is referred to [6] for a more general definition of a graceful graph and a survey of known results on graceful graphs. It was shown by Rosa [10] that if G is graceful, then there exists a cyclic decomposition of K_{2n+1} into subgraphs isomorphic to G . Here a cyclic decomposition of K_{2n+1} into subgraphs isomorphic to G is just a packing of $2n + 1$ copies of G into K_{2n+1} such that the function $f : \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1}$ by $f(i) = i + 1 \pmod{2n + 1}$ leaves the packing invariant. Thus we have a packing of $2n + 1$ copies of the star, say S_0, \dots, S_{2n} , with n edges given by $V(S_i) = f^i(V(S_0))$ and $E(S_i) = \{f^i(0)f^i(j) : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. Moreover, this packing has the following useful property.

Lemma 7 *Define a digraph \vec{D} by $V(\vec{D}) = \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1}$ and $E(\vec{D}) = \{\vec{ij} : ij \in S_k \text{ and } \text{deg}_{S_i}(j) = 1\}$. Then $\vec{D}[V(S_i) - \{i\}]$ is a transitive tournament.*

PROOF. As the packing of S_0, \dots, S_{2n} into K_{2n+1} is invariant under f , it suffices to show that the result holds for $i = 0$ (as f will then cyclically permute the digraphs $\vec{D}[V(S_i) - \{i\}]$). If $i = 0$, then $V(S_0) - \{0\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. It is straightforward to verify that $\deg_{\vec{D}[V(S_0) - \{0\}]}(j) = j - 1$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n$. Whence $\vec{D}[(S_0) - \{0\}]$ is a transitive tournament. \square

Theorem 8 *Let T_0, \dots, T_{2n} be a sequence of trees of order $n + 1$ such that there exists $x_i \in V(T_i)$ for which either:*

1. $T_i - x_i$ has at least $n - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{4+2\sqrt{2}}$ isolated vertices for all $0 \leq i \leq 2n$, or
2. if d is a non-negative integer, and $c = (\sqrt{1 + (4 + 4d)^2} - (4 + 4d))^2$, then $T_i - x_i$ has at least $n - cn$ isolated vertices and $\text{dist}_{T_i}(x_i, v) \leq d + 1$ for every $v \in V(T_i)$, for all $0 \leq i \leq 2n$.

Then T_0, \dots, T_{2n} can be packed into K_{2n+1} .

PROOF. We will refer to the case where condition 1 holds as Case 1 and to the case where condition 2 holds as Case 2. In Case 1, let $c = 1/(\sqrt{n}(4 + 2\sqrt{2}))$. In either case, if $cn < 1$, then the only possible choice for each T_i is a star of order $n + 1$. We may thus use the canonical packing of $2n + 1$ stars into K_{2n+1} . We may thus assume without loss of generality that $cn \geq 1$ (so that in Case 1 we have that $n \geq 47$). We will show that if there exists $x_i \in V(T_i)$ such that $T_i - x_i$ has at least $n - cn$ isolated vertices, then T_0, \dots, T_{2n} can be packed into K_{2n+1} . We begin with the canonical packing S_0, \dots, S_{2n} of $2n + 1$ stars of order $n + 1$ into K_{2n+1} as above. We will inductively apply Lemma 1 and show by induction on i that $T_0, \dots, T_i, S'_{i+1}, \dots, S'_{2n}$ can be packed into K_{2n+1} where $S'_{i+1}, \dots, S'_{2n+1}$ are stars of order $n + 1$ such that

$$|E(S_j) \cap E(S'_j)| \geq \lfloor n - 2\sqrt{cn} \rfloor, \quad (1)$$

for every $i + 1 \leq j \leq 2n$, and if $xy = e \in E(T_j - j)$, $0 \leq j \leq i$, then $x, y \in V(S_j)$. As we will be applying Lemma 1, the vertex of maximal degree in T_i will always be i . If $i = 0$, then we have the packing S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{2n} as above into K_{2n+1} . Define a digraph \vec{D} by $V(\vec{D}) = \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ and $E(\vec{D}) = \{\vec{i}j : ij \in S_i, 0 \leq i \leq 2n, \text{ and } \deg_{S_i}(j) = 1\}$. By Lemma 7, $\vec{D}[V(S_0) - \{0\}]$ is a transitive tournament and thus contains every indirected tree (and thus forest) of order n (and so of order cn). Thus by Lemma 1, $T_0, S'_1, \dots, S'_{2n}$ can be packed into K_{2n+1} . Furthermore, $|E(S_j) \cap E(S'_j)| = n$ or $n - 1$. To verify that Equation 1 holds, we will show that $|E(S_j) \cap E(S'_j)| \geq n - 1 \geq n - 2\sqrt{cn}$. Elementary calculations will show that Equation 1 holds provided that $1/(2\sqrt{c}) \leq n$. As $cn \geq 1$, $n \geq 1/c > 1/(2\sqrt{c})$ and thus Equation 1 holds. Finally, by Remark 1, $V(T_0) = V(S_0)$. Thus if $e = xy \in E(T_0 - 0)$, then $x, y \in V(S_0)$.

We now assume that the induction hypothesis holds for $i \geq 0$, and will show that our packing of $T_0, \dots, T_i, S'_{i+1}, \dots, S'_{2n}$ into K_{2n+1} can be extended to a packing of $T_0, \dots, T_{i+1}, S''_{i+2}, \dots, S''_{2n}$ into K_{2n+1} by using Lemma 1 in such a way so that the

induction hypothesis is satisfied. As Equation 1 holds, there are at least $\lfloor n - 2\sqrt{cn} \rfloor$ vertices of $S_{i+1} - x_{i+1}$ that are also vertices of $S'_{i+1} - x_{i+1}$. Let $L = \{v \in (V(S_{i+1}) - \{i+1\}) \cap (V(S'_{i+1}) - \{i+1\}) : v = x_j \text{ for some } i+2 \leq j \leq 2n \text{ and } |E(S_j) \cap E(S'_j)| = \lfloor n - 2\sqrt{cn} \rfloor\}$, and $\ell = |L|$. For $0 \leq j \leq i$, let $F_j = \{\vec{jk} : jk \in E(S_j) \cap E(T_j) \text{ and } \deg_{T_j}(k) = 1\}$, and for $i+2 \leq j \leq 2n$, let $F_j = \{\vec{jk} : jk \in E(S_j) \cap E(S'_j)\}$. Let τ' be the transitive tournament $\tau[\mathbb{Z}_{2n+1} - \{i+1\}]$ (here τ is the canonical transitive tournament of order $2n+1$). Define a digraph \vec{D}' by $V(\vec{D}') = V(\tau')$ and $E(\vec{D}') = \{\vec{xy} : \vec{xy} \in \cup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1} - \{i+1\}} F_j \text{ and } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1} - \{i+1\}\}$. By the remark following Lemma 1, $|E(\tau') - E(\vec{D}')| \leq 2cn \cdot i \leq 4cn^2$. Hence

$$\ell(n - \lfloor n - 2\sqrt{cn} \rfloor) \leq 4cn^2.$$

Whence $\ell \leq 2\sqrt{cn}$. Let $V(D_{i+1}) = (V(S_{i+1}) \cap V(S'_{i+1})) - (L \cup \{i+1\})$ and $\vec{D}_{i+1} = \vec{D}'[V(D_{i+1})]$. As $|E(S_{i+1}) \cap E(S'_{i+1})| \geq n - 2\sqrt{cn}$ and $\ell \leq 2\sqrt{cn}$, $|V(D_{i+1})| = m \geq n - 4\sqrt{cn}$. Removing every directed edge of \vec{D}_{i+1} that is not contained in $E(\tau')$, we have a subdigraph \vec{D}'_{i+1} of \vec{D}_{i+1} such that $|V(\vec{D}'_{i+1})| = m$ whose underlying simple graph contains at least $m(m-1)/2 - 4cn^2$ edges. Note that \vec{D}'_{i+1} is a subdigraph of a transitive tournament of order m . We now show for $x \in V(\vec{D}'_{i+1})$, that $i+1 \notin V(T_x)$, $0 \leq x \leq i$ and $i+1 \notin V(S'_x)$, $i+2 \leq x \leq 2n$.

Let $x \in V(\vec{D}'_{i+1})$. As $x \in (V(S_{i+1}) \cap V(S'_{i+1})) - \{i+1\}$, and every vertex of $V(S_{i+1}) \cap V(S'_{i+1})$ is a neighbor of $i+1$ in S_{i+1} or is itself $i+1$, we have that $x \in \{i+1+k \pmod{2n+1} : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$. Let $e = ab \in E(S'_x)$ if $i+2 \leq x \leq 2n$, $e = ab \in E(T_x)$ if $0 \leq x \leq i$. It suffices to show that $a \neq i+1 \neq b$. If $e \in E(S_x)$, then $x = a$ or b . Assume without loss of generality that $x = a$, so that $x \neq i+1$. As we began with the canonical packing of S_0, \dots, S_{2n} into K_{2n+1} , $b \in \{x+k \pmod{2n+1} : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$. Thus $b \in \{i+1+k \pmod{2n+1} : 1 \leq k \leq 2n\}$. We conclude that $b \neq i+1$. If $ab = e \notin S_x$ and $e \in E(T_x - x)$ for some $0 \leq j \leq i$, then by the induction hypothesis $a, b \in V(S_x)$. Thus $a, b \in \{x+k \pmod{2n+1} : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$. We then have that $a, b \in \{i+1+k \pmod{2n+1} : 1 \leq k \leq 2n\}$ so that $a \neq i+1 \neq b$. Finally, if $e = ab \notin E(S_x) \cup E(T_x - x)$, $0 \leq x \leq i$ or $e = ab \in E(S'_x) - E(S_x)$, $i+2 \leq x \leq 2n$, then, following the description in the remark following Lemma 1, ab has had its “direction reversed”. If, say, $b \in \{a+k \pmod{2n+1} : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ (so that $ab \in E(S_a)$), then $x = a$. Again we then have that $a, b \in \{i+1+k : 1 \leq k \leq 2n\}$ and $a \neq i+1 \neq b$.

Let \vec{T} be the directed graph obtained by indirecting every edge of T_{i+1} to x_{i+1} , then deleting x_{i+1} and then deleting any isolated vertices. In order to apply Lemma 1, we need only show that \vec{D}'_{i+1} contains a subdigraph isomorphic to \vec{T} . We now do this, considering Cases 1 and 2 separately.

Case 1: We wish to apply Lemma 3 to show that D'_{i+1} (the underlying simple graph of \vec{D}'_{i+1}) contains a complete subgraph of order cn . This will then imply that \vec{D}'_{i+1} contains a transitive tournament of order cn , and hence that \vec{D}'_{i+1} contains every indirected tree, and hence forest, of order cn . We must show that $m \geq (cn)^2$ and the complement of D'_{i+1} contains at most $m^2/(2cn)$ edges. It is straightforward

to verify, as $n \geq 47$ and $m \geq n - 4\sqrt{cn}$, that $m \geq (cn)^2$. It was shown above that the complement of D'_{i+1} contains at most $4cn^2$ edges. An elementary calculation then shows that $m^2/(2cn) \geq 4cn^2$ will hold provided that $m \geq \sqrt{8c^2n^3}$. Using the facts that $m \geq n - 4\sqrt{cn}$ and substituting $c = 1/(\sqrt{n}(4 + 2\sqrt{2}))$, we have that $m^2/(2cn) \geq 4cn^2$ provided that $n \geq (4 + 2\sqrt{2})^2$, which is true. Hence \vec{D}'_{i+1} contains a transitive tournament of order cn and \vec{D}'_{i+1} contains a subdigraph isomorphic to \vec{T} .

Case 2: Let $\delta = 1 - 8\sqrt{c}$. In order to apply Lemma 2, we first show that D'_{i+1} contains a subgraph of minimal degree at least δn by applying Lemma 4.

As $m \geq (1 - 4\sqrt{c})n$ and $\delta n = (1 - 8\sqrt{c})n$, $m \geq \delta n + 1$ will hold provided that $n \geq 1/(4\sqrt{c})$. It is not difficult to verify that $c < 1$, and as $nc \geq 1$, $n \geq 1/c \geq 1/(4\sqrt{c})$. Thus $m \geq \delta n + 1$. In order to apply Lemma 4, it thus suffices to show that

$$\frac{m(m-1)}{2} - 4cn^2 \geq (\delta n - 1)\left(m - \frac{\delta n}{2}\right) + 1.$$

Substituting the above value of δ , we have that the above inequality is equivalent to

$$m^2 + m(1 + 16\sqrt{cn} - 2n) + n^2 - 16\sqrt{cn}^2 + 56cn^2 + 8\sqrt{cn} - n - 2 \geq 0.$$

The left-hand side of the preceding inequality is a quadratic in m , and will hold provided that m is at least the largest root of the left-hand side of the preceding inequality. As $m \geq (1 - 4\sqrt{c})n$, we thus need to show that

$$(1 - 4\sqrt{c})n \geq \frac{1}{2}(-1 + 2n - 16\sqrt{cn} + \sqrt{9 + 32cn^2}).$$

The preceding inequality is equivalent to

$$8\sqrt{cn} + 1 \geq \sqrt{9 + 32cn^2},$$

which will hold provided that $4cn^2 + 2\sqrt{cn} - 1 \geq 0$. The left-hand side of this inequality is a quadratic in n , and the inequality will hold provided that $n \geq (\sqrt{5} - 1)/(4\sqrt{c})$. As $(\sqrt{5} - 1)/(4\sqrt{c}) < 1/\sqrt{c}$, it suffices to show that $n \geq 1/\sqrt{c}$, which holds as $\sqrt{cn} > cn \geq 1$. Thus D'_{i+1} contains a subdigraph of minimal degree at least δn , so that \vec{D}'_{i+1} contains a subdigraph \vec{D}''_{i+1} such that D''_{i+1} has minimal degree at least δn .

Let $t = |V(\vec{D}''_{i+1})|$, $\delta' = \delta n/t$, and $c' = cn/t$ (so that $c't = cn$). If $d(1 - \delta') + c' \leq \delta'$, then by Lemma 2 \vec{D}''_{i+1} (and so \vec{D}'_{i+1}) contains every directed forest of order $c't = cn$ with every edge of each component indirected towards some root, and in the underlying simple graph of each component, the maximum distance from the root is at most d . Thus if $d(1 - \delta') + c' \leq \delta'$, then \vec{D}'_{i+1} contains \vec{T} . Substituting the values of δ' , c' , and δ into this inequality, we obtain the following equivalent inequality:

$$t \leq \frac{(1 - 8\sqrt{c})n + (1 - 8\sqrt{c})dn - cn}{d}.$$

As $t \leq n$, it suffices to show that

$$n \leq \frac{(1 - 8\sqrt{c})n + (1 - 8\sqrt{c})dn - cn}{d}.$$

The preceding inequality is equivalent to

$$0 \leq 1 - 8\sqrt{c} - 8\sqrt{cd} - c.$$

The right-hand side of this inequality is a quadratic in \sqrt{c} , and will hold provided \sqrt{c} is less than or equal to the largest root of the right-hand side of this inequality (note that the smallest root of the right-hand side of this inequality is negative). Thus this inequality will hold provided that

$$\sqrt{c} \leq \sqrt{(4 + 4d)^2 + 1} - (4 + 4d).$$

Thus \bar{D}'_{i+1} will contain \bar{T} provided that $c \leq (\sqrt{1 + (4 + 4d)^2} - (4 + 4d))^2$, which is true. Thus \bar{D}'_{i+1} contains \bar{T} .

We now consider the two cases together. In either case, it now follows by Lemma 1 that there is a packing of $T_1, \dots, T_{i+1}, S''_{i+2}, \dots, S''_{2n}$ into K_{2n} , where each S''_j is isomorphic to S_j . Furthermore, as for every $j \in V(D'_{i+1})$, $i + 2 \leq j \leq 2n$, $|E(S_j) - E(S'_j)| > \lfloor n - 2\sqrt{cn} \rfloor$ and $|E(S''_j) - E(S'_j)| \leq 1$, if $j \in V(D'_{i+1})$ with $i + 2 \leq j \leq 2n$, then $|E(S''_j) \cap E(S_j)| \geq \lfloor n - 2\sqrt{cn} \rfloor$. Of course, if $i + 2 \leq j \leq 2n$ and $j \notin V(D'_{i+1})$, then $S''_j = S_j$. We conclude that $|E(S_j) \cap E(S''_j)| \leq \lfloor n - 2\sqrt{cn} \rfloor$ for every $i + 2 \leq j \leq 2n$. Finally, as every vertex of D'_{i+1} is also a vertex of S_i , it follows by Remark 1 that if $ab \in E(T_{i+1} - \{i + 1\})$, then $a, b \in V(S_{i+1}) - \{i + 1\}$. The result then follows by induction. \square

Clearly the preceding theorem implies the following special cases of Ringel's Conjecture.

Corollary 9 *Let T be a tree of order $n + 1$ such that there exists $x \in V(T)$ and one of the following is true:*

1. $T - x$ has at least $n - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{4+2\sqrt{2}}$ isolated vertices, or
2. if d is a non-negative integer, and $c = (\sqrt{1 + (4 + 4d)^2} - 4 - 4d)^2$, then $T - x$ has at least $n - cn$ isolated vertices and $\text{dist}_{T_i}(x, v) \leq d + 1$ for every $v \in V(T_i)$. (Note that this condition is satisfied if $\text{diam}(T) \leq d + 2$.)

Then $2n + 1$ copies of T can be packed into K_{2n+1} .

References

- [1] B. Bollobás, *Extremal Graph Theory*, Academic Press, London, 1978.
- [2] B. Bollobás, *Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [3] B. Bollobás and A. Thomason, Hereditary and monotone properties of graphs, *The Mathematics of Paul Erdős, II*, 70–75, *Algorithms. Combin.*, **14**, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [4] E. Dobson, Packing almost stars into the complete graph, *J. Graph Theory* **25** no. 2 (1997), 169–172.
- [5] E. Dobson, Packing trees into the complete graph, *Combin. Prob. Comput.* **11** (2002), 263–272.
- [6] J.A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, *Electron. J. Combin.* 5 no. 1 (1998), Dynamic Survey 6, 43 pp. (electronic).
- [7] A. Gyárfás and J. Lehel, Packing trees of different order into K_n , in: *Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bol.* 18 Combinatorics, Keszthely, 1976, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1978), 463–469.
- [8] R. Häggvist, Decompositions of complete bipartite graphs, Surveys in Combinatorics, 1989, *LMS Lecture Notes Series* **141**, 115–147.
- [9] G. Ringel, Problem 25 in *Theory of Graphs and its Applications*, Proc. Int. Symp. Smolenice 1963, Czech. Acad. Sci., Prague, Czech., (1964), p. 162.
- [10] A. Rosa, On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, *Theory of Graphs (Internat. Sympos., Rome, 1966)*, (1967), 349–355, Gordon and Breach, New York; Dunod, Paris.

(Received 18 Aug 2005; revised 16 Oct 2006)