A recursive theorem on matching extension

Chi-i Chan

Kogasaki 3-3387, Matsudo 271, JAPAN

Tsuyoshi Nishimura

Department of Mathematics, Shibaura Institute of Technology, Fukasaku, Omiya 330, JAPAN

Abstract

A graph G having a perfect matching (or 1-factor) is called *n*-extendable if every matching of size n is extended to a 1-factor. Further, G is said to be $\langle r:m,n\rangle$ -extendable if, for every connected subgraph S of order 2rfor which $G \setminus V(S)$ is connected, S is m-extendable and $G \setminus V(S)$ is nextendable. We prove the following: Let p, r, m, and n be positive integers with p-r > n and r > m. Then every 2-connected $\langle r:m,n\rangle$ -extendable graph of order 2p is $\langle r+1:m+1, n-1\rangle$ -extendable.

1. Introduction

We consider only finite simple graphs and follow Bondy and Murty [1] for general terminology and notation. Let G be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). For $A \subset V(G)$, G[A] denotes the subgraph of G induced by A and $G \setminus A$ is the subgraph of G induced by $V(G) \setminus A$. If G[A] is connected, then a subset A is said to be *connected* (if A is a empty set, then it is considered to be connected). Further, we often identify G[A] with A. If H is a subgraph and v is a vertex, we may write $G \setminus H$ or $G \setminus v$ instead of $G \setminus V(H)$ or $G \setminus \{v\}$, respectively. If A and B are disjoint subsets of V(G), then E(A, B) denotes the set of edges with one end in A and the other in B. For $e \in E(G)$, V(e) denotes the set of endvertices of e.

Let $n \ge 0$ and p > 0 be integers with $n \le p - 1$ and G a graph with 2p vertices having a 1-factor (a perfect matching). Then G is said to be *n*-extendable if every matching of size n in G can be extended to a 1-factor. In particular, G is 0-extendable if and only if G has a 1-factor. Further, G is said to be (r, n)-extendable (resp. [r, n]extendable) if G[S] (resp. $G \setminus S$) is *n*-extendable for every connected subset S of order 2r. Furthermore, a connected graph G is called $\langle r, n \rangle$ -extendable if G[S] is *n*-extendable for every connected.

Theorem A(Nishimura and Saito [5]). Let p, r and n be integers with p > r > n > 0. Then every (r, n)-extendable graph of order 2p is (r + 1, n + 1)-extendable.

Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 21(2000), pp.49-55

Theorem B([5]). Let p, r and n be integers with r > 0 and $p - r > n \ge 0$. Then every connected [r, n]-extendable graph of order 2p is [r - 1, n]-extendable.

Theorem C(Nishimura[4]). Let p, r and n be positive integers with p > r > n. Then every 2-connected $\langle r, n \rangle$ -extendable graph of order 2p is $\langle r+1, n \rangle$ -extendable.

In this paper, we present an extended theorem which is similar to the theorems above. A connected graph G is called $\langle r:m,n\rangle$ -extendable if, for every connected subset S of order 2r for which $G \setminus S$ is connected, G[S] is m-extendable and $G \setminus S$ is n-extendable. From this definition, if G is an $\langle r:m,n\rangle$ -extendable graph of order 2p, then two inequalities p > r + n and r > m are required.

Theorem 1. Let p, r, m, and n be positive integers with p-r > n and r > m. Then every 2-connected $\langle r:m,n\rangle$ -extendable graph of order 2p is $\langle r+1:m+1,n-1\rangle$ -extendable.

Note that if a graph G is $\langle r : m, 0 \rangle$ -extendable, then G is $\langle r, m \rangle$ -extendable. Furthermore, by Theorem C, if an even order graph G is 2-connected $\langle r, m \rangle$ -extendable, then G is m-extendable. So, we have the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 2. If a graph G is 2-connected and $\langle r : m, n \rangle$ -extendable, then G is (m+n)-extendable.

From this corollary, we understand that if a graph G is 2-connected and $\langle r, m \rangle$ -extendable but not (m + n)-extendable, then there exists a connected subset T of order 2r such that $G \setminus T$ is connected and not *n*-extendable.

If p = 2r, then the connectedness condition of Theorem 1 cannot be weakened. For example, let K_{2n+2} and K'_{2n+2} be two disjoint complete graphs with order 2n+2. Let $u \in V(K_{2n+2})$ and $v \in V(K'_{2n+2})$. Add an edge uv between K_{2n+2} and K'_{2n+2} . Let G be the resulting graph. Now we can easily check that S and $G \setminus S$ are *n*-extendable for every connected subset S of order 2n+2 for which $G \setminus S$ is connected. It is obvious however that G is not 2n-extendable since G cannot have a 1-factor which contains uv.

2. Preliminary Lemmas.

Our proof of Theorem 1 depends heavily on the following two theorems. We denote the number of odd components of a graph G by o(G).

Lemma 1 (Tutte [7]).

- (I) A graph G has a 1-factor iff $o(G \setminus S) \leq |S|$ for all $S \subset V(G)$.
- (II) $o(G \setminus S) |S| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ if G has even order.

Lemma 2 (Plummer [6]).

- (I) If G is n-extendable, then G is (n-1)-extendable.
- (II) If G is connected and n-extendable, then G is (n + 1)-connected.

Next, the following two lemmas are easily deduced from the definitions of variations of extendability.

Lemma 3. Let m, n, and r be positive integers with r > m. If G is 2-connected and $\langle r : m, n \rangle$ -extendable, then G is $\langle r + 1, m \rangle$ -extendable.

Proof. Let G be a graph satisfying the hypothesis. By the definitions and Lemma 2 (I), if G is $\langle r:m,n\rangle$ -extendable, then G is $\langle r:m,n-1\rangle$ -extendable. So, we have G is $\langle r,m,0\rangle$ -extendable, inductively. Then G is also $\langle r,m\rangle$ -extendable. Since G is 2-connected, G becomes $\langle r+1,m\rangle$ -extendable by Theorem C.

Lemma 4. Let m, n, and r be positive integers with r > m. If G is 2-connected $\langle r:m,n\rangle$ -extendable, then, for every connected subset T of order 2(r+1) for which $G \setminus T$ is connected, $G \setminus T$ is (n-1)-extendable.

Proof. Let G be a graph satisfying the hypothesis, T a connected subset of order 2(r+1) for which $G \setminus T$ is connected. Then we may assume that T is m-extendable by Lemma 3 and that T is $(m+1)(\geq 2)$ -connected by Lemma 2 (II). So, since G is connected, there exists an edge uv in E(T) such that $T \setminus \{u, v\}$ is connected and $E(u, G \setminus T) \neq \emptyset$. Let M be an arbitrary matching of $G \setminus T$ with size n-1. Set $S = T \setminus \{u, v\}$. Clearly, $G \setminus S$ is connected. Therefore, S is m-extendable and $G \setminus S$ is n-extendable by hypothesis. Then $M \cup \{uv\}$ can be extended to a 1-factor F of $G \setminus S$. Thus $G \setminus T$ has a 1-factor $F \setminus \{uv\}$ which contains M, or $G \setminus T$ is (n-1)-extendable.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

Let p, r, m, n, and G be as in the theorem. Suppose, to the contrary of the conclusion, G is not (r + 1 : m + 1, n - 1)-extendable. So, there exists a connected subset T of order 2(r + 1) for which $G \setminus T$ is connected, and which satisfies the following:

(i) T is not (m+1)-extendable or (ii) $G \setminus T$ is not (n-1)-extendable.

Now, for such a subset $T, G \setminus T$ is (n-1)-extendable by Lemma 4. Therefore we may assume that T is not (m + 1)-extendable. Let $M = \{e_1, e_2, ..., e_{m+1}\}$ be a matching of T which is not extended to a 1-factor of T. And we set $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m+1} V(e_i)$. Then, by Lemma 1 (I), there exists a set $A \subset T \setminus B$ such that $o((T \setminus B) \setminus A) > |A|$. Clearly since G is even order, for this set A there exists a positive integer k such that

$$o(T \setminus B \setminus A) = o((T \setminus B) \setminus A) = |A| + 2k$$

by Lemma 1 (II). Throughout our proof of Theorem 1, we consider that such a set A is fixed. By the way, we may assume that T is *m*-extendable by Lemma 3. So,

for every edge $e_i \in M$, T must have a 1-factor which contains $M \setminus \{e_i\}$. Again, by Lemma 1 (I), we have

$$o((T \setminus (B \setminus V(e_i)) \setminus A) \le |A|.$$

Thus every $V(e_i)$ must join at least 2k odd components in $T \setminus B \setminus A$.

Since T is connected m-extendable and m > 0, T is $(m + 1) (\geq 2)$ -connected by Lemma 2 (II). Therefore, we can decompose T into $V(O_1) \cup V(P_2) \cup ... \cup V(P_l)$ satisfying the following:

- (i) O_1 is a longest cycle of T and
- (ii) $P_i \ (2 \le i \le l)$ is a longest path of $T \setminus (V(O_1) \cup (\bigcup_{j=2}^{i-1} V(P_j)))$ with end vertices a_i, b_i such that $a_i x_i, b_i y_i \in E(T)$, where $x_i, y_i \in V(O_1) \cup (\bigcup_{j=2}^{i-1} V(P_j))$ and $x_i \ne y_i$.

If $P_i = w_1 w_2 \dots w_c$ $(w_1 = a_i \text{ and } w_c = b_i)$, then $x_i P_i y_i$ denotes the path $x_i w_1 w_2 \dots w_c y_i$. For O_1 and $x_i P_i y_i$ $(2 \le i \le k)$, we define an orientation, respectively. And we denote by x^+ , x^- the succesor and the predecessor of a vertex x on O_1 (or P_i) according to the orientation, respectively. Since G is connected, there exists a vertex vof $T = V(O_1) \cup V(\bigcup_{j=2}^l P_j)$ which is adjacent to a vertex of $G \setminus T$. Then, by the property of P_i , $T \setminus \{v, v^+\}$ is connected. Obviously $G \setminus (T \setminus \{v, v^+\})$ is also connected. Hence $T \setminus \{v, v^+\}$ and $G \setminus (T \setminus \{v, v^+\})$ are m-extendable and n-extendable, respectively. Now since $G \setminus (T \setminus \{v, v^+\})$ is $(n + 1)(\ge 2)$ -connected by Lemma 2 (II), $E(v^+, G \setminus T) \neq \emptyset$. Applying the same argument but replacing v^+ to v, we have $E(v^{++}, G \setminus T) \neq \emptyset$. Similarly, we have $E(v^-, G \setminus T)$), $E(v^{--}, G \setminus T) \neq \emptyset$, etc. Consequently we can prove that each vertex of T is adjacent to a vertex of $G \setminus T$. In particular, we have the following:

 $T \setminus \{u, v\}$ and $G \setminus (T \setminus \{u, v\})$ are connected for each edge uv on $O_1 \cup (\bigcup_{i=2}^l x_i P_i y_i)$.

Let $\{u, v\}$ be a set of distinct two vertices of T such that $T \setminus \{u, v\}$ is connected. Here notice that u might be non-adjacent to v and that $G \setminus (T \setminus \{u, v\})$ is connected. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, C_2, ..., C_{\alpha}\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D} = \{D_1, D_2, ..., D_{\beta}\}$) be the set of odd components (resp. even components) of $(T \setminus B) \setminus A$. Then $T = A \cup B \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\alpha} V(C_i)) \cup (\bigcup_{j=1}^{\beta} V(D_j))$. We consider nine cases.

Set $S = T \setminus \{u, v\}$. Note that S is *m*-extendable and k is positive.

Case 1. $u, v \in A$.

Let $e\in M$ and set $A'=(A\setminus\{u,v\})\cup V(e).$ Since $S\setminus (B\setminus V(e))$ has a 1-factor, we have

 $|A| = |A'| \ge o(S \setminus (B \setminus V(e)) \setminus A') = o(T \setminus B \setminus A) = |A| + 2k,$

or $k \leq 0$, which contradicts that k is positive.

Case 2. $u \in A$ and $v \in B$. Let $vy \in M$ and set $A' = (A \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{y\}$. Then we have

$$|A| = |A'| \ge o(S \setminus (B \setminus \{v, y\}) \setminus A') = o(T \setminus B \setminus A) = |A| + 2k,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 3. $u \in A$ and $v \in D_i$. Let $e \in M$ and set $A' = (A \setminus \{u\}) \cup V(e)$. Then we have

$$|A| + 1 = |A'| \ge o(S \setminus (B \setminus V(e)) \setminus A') \ge o(T \setminus B \setminus A) + 1 = |A| + 2k + 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 4. $u, v \in B$ and $uv \in M$. We have

$$|A| \ge o(S \setminus (B \setminus \{u, v\}) \setminus A) = o(T \setminus B \setminus A) = |A| + 2k,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 5. $u \in B$ and $v \in D_i$. Let $ux \in M$ and set $A' = A \cup \{x\}$. Then we have

$$|A|+1 = |A'| \ge o(S \setminus (B \setminus \{u, x\}) \setminus A') \ge o(T \setminus B \setminus A) + 1 = |A| + 2k + 1,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 6. $u \in A$ and $v \in C_i$. Let $e \in M$ and set $A' = (A \setminus \{u\}) \cup V(e)$. Then we have

$$|A| + 1 = |A'| \ge o(S \setminus (B \setminus V(e)) \setminus A') \ge o(T \setminus B \setminus A) - 1 = |A| + 2k - 1,$$

or $k \leq 1$. Then we have k = 1 since k is positive.

Case 7. $u, v \in B$ and $uv \notin M$.

Note that S has a 1-factor even if S is 0-extendable. Let $ux, vy \in M$ and set $A' = A \cup \{x, y\}$. Since S is (m-1)-extendable by Lemma 2 (I), we have

$$|A| + 2 \ge |A'| \ge o(S \setminus (B \setminus \{x, y\}) \setminus A') = o(T \setminus B \setminus A) = |A| + 2k.$$

which implies k = 1.

Case 8. $u \in B$ and $v \in C_i$. Let $ux \in M$ and set $A' = A \cup \{x\}$. Then we have

$$|A| + 1 = |A'| \ge o(S \setminus (B \setminus \{x\}) \setminus A') \ge o(T \setminus B \setminus A) - 1 = |A| + 2k - 1.$$

We have k = 1.

Case 9. $u, v \in C_i$ or $u, v \in D_j$. Let $e \in M$ and set $A' = A \cup V(e)$. Then

$$|A| + 2 = |A'| \ge o(S \setminus (B \setminus V(e)) \setminus A') \ge o(T \setminus B \setminus A) = |A| + 2k.$$

We have k = 1.

Suppose that u and v are vertices satisfying one of the situations of Cases 1–5. Then $T \setminus \{u, v\}$ is disconnected. In particular, $T \setminus V(e_i)$ is disconnected for every $e_i \in M$. Furthermore, if $uv \in E(T)$, then uv is not an edge on $O_1 \cup (\bigcup_{i=2}^l x_i P_i y_i)$. Conversely, since uv on $O_1 \cup (\bigcup_{i=2}^l x_i P_i y_i)$ does not join two distinct components of $(T \setminus A) \setminus B$, every edge uv on $O_1 \cup (\bigcup_{i=2}^l x_i P_i y_i)$ satisfies the one of Cases 6–9. Now since M is not empty, we have an edge $e = w_1 w_2 \in M$. Notice that w_1 is in B and that $T \setminus V(e)$ is disconnected. By observation of the various cases, w_1^+ is in $B \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^a C_i)$, and w_1^+ is not w_2 . Similarly, $w_1^- \in B \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^a C_i)$ and $w_1^- \neq w_2$. Let Q be a component of $T \setminus V(e)$ containing w_1^+ . Since $T \setminus \{w_1, w_1^-\}$ is connected, it is also 2-connected by Lemma 2 (II). Then there exists a vertex z of Q (or $Q \setminus \{w_1^-\}$ if w_1^- is in Q) which is adjacent to a vertex of $(T \setminus \{w_1, w_2\}) \setminus Q$. Therefore, Q is not a component of $T \setminus \{w_1, w_2\} = T \setminus V(e)$, which is a contradiction. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 1.

The following property can be considered as an extension of factor-criticality. A graph G is said to be 2n-factor-critical if the graph remaining after deletion of any 2n vertices from G has a 1-factor (a perfect matching). Clearly, this property is stronger than that of extendability, that is, if a graph G is 2n-factor-critical, then G is *n*-extendable.

Now let r, m, and n be nonnegative integers. A connected graph G is called $\langle r:m,n\rangle$ -factor-critical if, for every connected subset S of order r for which $G \setminus S$ is connected, G[S] is *m*-factor-critical and $G \setminus S$ is *n*-factor-critical. Similarly, we can also define that a graph becomes $\langle r,n\rangle$ -factor-critical (or (r,n)-factor-critical) or [r,n]-factor-critical). Then, by the argument quite similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1, we have the following results.

Theorem 3. Let p, r, m, and n be positive integers with p - r > n and r > m. Then every 2-connected $\langle 2r : 2m, 2n \rangle$ -factor-critical graph of order 2p is $\langle 2(r+1) : 2(m+1), 2(n-1) \rangle$ -factor-critical.

Corollary 4. If a graph G is 2-connected and (2r : 2m, 2n)-factor-critical, then G is 2(m+n)-factor-critical.

Finally, we conjecture the following:

Conjecture. Let n, p, and r be integers such that $1 \leq n < r$ and p - r > n, and let G be an (n + 1)-connected graph of order 2p. If for every connected subset $S \subset V(G)$ with |S| = 2r (for which $G \setminus S$ is connected), S or $G \setminus S$ is *n*-extendable, then G is also *n*-extendable.

In [4], we proved that for 2-connected graphs, Theorem C contains the following theorems:

Theorem D (Nishimura [2]). Let G be a connected graph of order $2p \ (p \ge 3)$, and let r and n be integers such that $1 \le n < r < p$. If for some integer r, every induced connected subgraph of order 2r is n-extendable, then G is n-extendable.

Theorem E (Nishimura [3]). Let G be a connected graph of order 2p. Let r and n be positive integers such that $p - r \ge n + 1$. If $G \setminus S$ is n-extendable for every connected subset S of order 2r, then G is n-extendable.

If the conjecture above is correct, then this will be 'another' extension of these theorems.

Acknowledgements. We would like to acknowledge the referees for their comments.

References

- J.A.Bondy and U.S.R.Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan 1976.
- [2] T.Nishimura, A theorem on n-extendable graphs. Ars Combin. 38 (1994) 3-5.
- [3] T.Nishimura, Extendable graphs and induced subgraphs. SUT Jour. of Math. 30 (1994) 129-135.
- [4] T.Nishimura, A new recursive theorem on extendability. Graphs Combin. 13 (1997) 79-83.
- [5] T.Nishimura and A.Saito, Two recursive theorems of extendability. Discrete Math. 162 (1996) 319-323.
- [6] M.D.Plummer, On n-extendable graphs. Discrete Math. 31 (1980) 201 -210
- [7] W.T.Tutte, The factorization of linear graphs. J. London Math. Soc. 22 (1947) 107-111.

(Received 12/11/98)