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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{U}(R, S)$ denote the class of all $m \times n$ matrices of 0 's and 1's having row sum vector $R$ and column sum vector $S$. The interchange graph $G(R, S)$ is the graph where the vertices are the matrices in $\mathcal{U}(R, S)$ and two vertices are adjacent provided they differ by an interchange. Two tight upper-bounds of the maximum degree $\Delta(G(R, S))$ are given. Furthermore, those extreme graphs whose maximum degrees reach the upper-bounds are determined.


## 1 INTRODUCTION

All graphs discussed in this paper are simple, undirected finite graphs. For notation and terminology not defined in this paper see [15].

Let $G$ be a graph with vertex-set $V(G)$ and edge-set $E(G)$. The degree of a vertex $x$ of $G$, denoted by $d(x)$, is the number of vertices which are adjacent (are joined by an edge ) to $x$. The maximum degree of $G$, denoted by $\Delta(G)$, is the maximum degree of vertices of $G$, i.e. $\Delta(G)=\max \{d(x): x \in V(G)\}$.

Let $R=\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, \cdots, r_{m}\right), S=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{n}\right)$ be two (positive) integral vectors with $r_{1}+r_{2}+\cdots+r_{m}=s_{1}+s_{2}+\cdots+s_{n}=N$. Denote by $\mathcal{U}(R, S)[2,16]$ the class of all $m \times n(0,1)$-matrices $x=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{m \times n}$ having row sum vector $R$ and column sum vector $S$ : $x_{i j}=0$ or $1(i=1,2, \cdots, m ; \mathrm{J}=1,2, \cdots, n), \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}=r_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, m)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i j}$ $=s_{j}(j=1,2, \cdots, n)$. An interchange of a matrix $x$ of $\mathcal{U}(R, S)$ is a transformation which replaces a $2 \times 2$ submatrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ of $x$ with the $2 \times 2$ submatrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ or vice versa. The interchange graph $G(R, S)$ is defined as follows [2]: the vertices are the matrices in $\mathcal{U}(R, S)$ where two matrices $x$ and $y$ are adjacent iff $x$ can be obtained from $y$ by one interchange (also $y$ can be obtained from $x$ by one interchange). Clearly, for $x \in V(G(R, S))$, the number of different interchanges of $x$ equals its degree: $d(x)$.

Many results about the number of vertices, connectivity, diameter, transitivity and hamiltonicity etc. on interchange graphs have been obtained [1-14].

In this paper, we study the maximum degree of $G(R, S)$. Two tight upper-bounds of $\Delta(G(R, S))$ are obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(G(R, S)) \leq\binom{ N}{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\binom{r_{i}}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{s_{j}}{2} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $\Delta(G(R, S)) \leq\binom{ N}{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\binom{r_{i}}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{s_{j}}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)$, $s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq \cdots \geq s_{n} ;$
where $\binom{m}{n}$ is the binomial coefficient (with $\binom{m}{n}=0$ if $m<n$ ). All extreme graphs which reach these two bounds are also determined.

## 2 MAIN RESULTS

By the definition of $G(R, S)$, it does not affect the isomorphism type of $G(R, S)$ to rearrange rows and rearrange columns. We now make the assumption that $r_{1} \geq$ $r_{2} \geq \cdots \geq r_{m}$ and $s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq \cdots \geq s_{n}$ throughout the following.

Let $x=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{m \times n} \in V(G(R, S))$. By the assumption: $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{j}=N$, the total number of 1 's in $x$ is $N$, i.e. $\left|\left\{x_{i j}: x_{i j}=1\right\}\right|=N$. Because every interchange of $x$ involves just two 1 's (also two 0 's) and any pair of 1 's which are in the same row or the same column of $x$ does not form an interchange, we have an upper-bound of $\Delta(G(R . S))$ immediately:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(G(R, S)) \leq\binom{ N}{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\binom{r_{i}}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{s_{j}}{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\binom{N}{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{m}\binom{r_{i}}{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{s_{j}}{2}$ by $\phi(R, S)$. The following result gives us a characterization of the extreme graphs which reach the bound.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{R}=\left\{i: r_{i}>1\right\}, \mathcal{S}=\left\{j: s_{j}>1\right\}, k=|\mathcal{R}|$ and $h=|\mathcal{S}|$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(G(R, S))=\phi(R, S) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $n-\sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}} r_{i} \geq h$ and $m-\sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} s_{j} \geq k$.
Proof. Note that $r_{1} \geq r_{2} \geq \cdots \geq r_{m}$ and $s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq \cdots \geq s_{n}$, so $\mathcal{R}=\{1,2, \cdots, k\}$ and $\mathcal{S}=\{1,2, \cdots, h\}$.

Firstly, assume that $n-\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} \geq h$ and $m-\sum_{j=1}^{h} s_{j} \geq k$.
Let $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i}, \beta=\sum_{j=1}^{h} s_{j}, \gamma=n-\alpha-h, \gamma^{\prime}=m-\beta-k$. Due to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{j}=N$, so $N-\alpha=m-k, N-\beta=n-h$. Hence $\gamma=n-\alpha-h=m-k-\beta=\gamma^{\prime}$. Since
$n-\alpha \geq h, m-\beta \geq k$, we have $\gamma=\gamma^{\prime} \geq 0$. Let

$$
x_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & A \\
0 & I_{\gamma} & 0 \\
B & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{r_{1}} & & & \\
& \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{r_{2}} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{r_{k}}
\end{array}\right)_{k \times \alpha}
$$

$$
\overbrace{1 \cdots 1}^{r_{k}})_{k \times \alpha} B=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right\} s_{1}
$$

and $I_{\gamma}$ is the $\gamma \times \gamma$ identity matrix, 0 is the matrix of all 0 's.
It is easy to see that $x_{0} \in V(G(R, S))$, and its any pair of 1 's which are in different rows and different columns forms an interchange of $x_{0}$. So $d\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi(R, S)$.

Conversely, assume that $n-\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i}<h$ or $m-\sum_{j=1}^{h} s_{j}<k$.
In this case, for any $x=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{m \times n} \in V(G(R, S))$, the submatrix of $x$ which lies in rows $1,2, \cdots, k$ and columns $1,2, \cdots, h$ contains at least one 1 , say $x_{p q}$. Since $r_{i}>1, i \in\{1,2, \cdots, k\}$ and $s_{j}>1, j \in\{1,2, \cdots, h\}$, then $r_{p}, s_{q}>1$. So there are $p^{\prime} \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}, q^{\prime} \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}, p^{\prime} \neq p, q^{\prime} \neq q$ such that $x_{p q^{\prime}}=x_{p^{\prime} q}=1$. Clearly, $x_{p q^{\prime}}$ and $x_{p^{\prime} q}$ are in different rows and different columns but do not form an interchange of $x$. So we have $d(x)<\phi(R, S)$. The theorem follows.

Let $x$ be a matrix. A 1-type submatrix, or 1-T for short, of $x$ is one of the $2 \times 2$ submatrices: $\left(\begin{array}{cc}* & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & * \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ * & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & *\end{array}\right)$, where $*$ equals 0 or 1 . Let $T$ be a $1-T$ of $x$. The pair consisting of two 1 's which lie in different rows and different columns of $T$ is called the acute- 1 of $T$, while the other 1 of $T$ is called its right-1. If $T$ lies in rows $i, j$ and columns $s, t$ while its right- 1 is at the $(i, s)$-position, then we denote it by $T_{1 x}(i, s: j, t)$. Two $1-T^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, say $T_{1 x}(i, s: j, t)$ and $T_{1 x}\left(i^{\prime}, s^{\prime}: j^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$, are considered to be the same iff $i=i^{\prime}, j=j^{\prime}, s=s^{\prime}$ and $t=t^{\prime}$. A 2-type submatrix of $x$, or $2-T$ for short, is a $2 \times 2$ submatrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ of $x$. The $2-T$ of $x$ which lies in rows $i, j$ and columns $s, t$ is denoted by $T_{2 x}(i, s: j, t)$. Similarly,two $2-T^{\prime}$ s, say $T_{2 x}(i, s: j, t)$ and $T_{2 x}\left(i^{\prime}, s^{\prime}: j^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$, are said to be the same iff $\{i, j\}=\left\{i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right\}$ and $\{s, t\}=\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}$. The total number of $1-T^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ and $2-T^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ of $x$ are denoted by $t_{1}(x)$ and $t_{2}(x)$ respectively.

Example. Let

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have $t_{1}(x)=8$ and $t_{2}(x)=1$. Its eight $1-T^{\prime}$ s are $T_{1 x}(1,1: 3,3) ; T_{1 x}(1,3: 2,1)$; $T_{1 x}(1,3: 3,1) ; T_{1 x}(2,3: 1,2) ; T_{1 x}(2,3: 3,2) ; T_{1 x}(3,1: 1,3) ; T_{1 x}(3,3: 1,1)$ and $T_{1 x}(3,3: 2,1)$. Its unique $2-T$ is $T_{2 x}(1,1: 3,3)$.

Lemma 1. Let $x=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{m \times n} \in V(G(R, S))$. We have
(1) $t_{1}(x)=\sum_{x_{i j}=1}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)$
(2) $t_{1}(x) \geq 4 t_{2}(x)$
(3) $d(x)=\phi(R, S)-t_{1}(x)+2 t_{2}(x)$.

Proof. (1) If $x_{i j}=1$, then the number of different 1-T's with $x_{i j}$ being its right1 is $\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)$. Since every 1-T of $x$ has just one right- 1 , and any two $1-T^{\prime}$ s with different right-1 are different, then (1) follows.
(2) Because every $2-T$ contains four $1-T^{\prime} \mathrm{s},(2)$ is immediate.
(3) We know that every interchange of $x$ involves just two 1's (also two 0's) of $x$, while the number of ways of choosing two 1 's from $x$ is $\binom{N}{2}$. Thus, to determine the number of interchanges of $x$, we need only to calculate the number of such pairs of 1's which can not form interchanges.

Two 1's of $x$ which cannot form an interchange must come from one of the following three cases.
Case 1. They lie in the same row of $x$.
In this case, the number of pairs is $\sum_{i=1}^{m}\binom{r_{i}}{2}$.
Case 2. They lie in the same column of $x$.
The number of pairs is $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\binom{s_{j}}{2}$.
Case 3. The pair consisting of these two 1 's is the acute- 1 of a $1-T$.
In this case, we prove that the number of pairs is $t_{1}(x)-2 t_{2}(x)$.
In fact, assume that these two 1's are at the $(i, s)$-position and $(j, t)$-position respectively, $i \neq j, s \neq t$. Clearly, the pair consisting of these two 1 's is the acute-1 of at most two $1-T^{\prime}$ s. If it is the acute- 1 of exactly one $1-T$, then it contributes to $t_{1}(x)$ just once. If it is the acute-1 of two $1-T^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, then it contributes to $t_{1}(x)$ twice and the entries at the $(i, s)-,(j, s)-,(i, t)$ - and $(j, t)$-positions are all 1's. That is, these four 1's form a $2-T$. Hence, the pair consisting of the other two 1's (i.e. at the ( $i, t$ )and ( $j, s$ )-positions) is also the acute- 1 of two $1-T^{\prime}$ s. So the pair contributes to $t_{1}(x)$ twice too. Therefore, the number of pairs in this case is no less than $t_{1}(x)-2 t_{2}(x)$.

Conversely, every $2-T$ contains just four $1-T^{\prime}$ s and two pairs of 1 's which lie in different rows and different columns. Among these, each pair is the acute-1 of two $1-T^{\prime}$ s. Hence, the number of pairs is no more than $t_{1}(x)-2 t_{2}(x)$.

From Case 1, Case 2 and Case 3, (3) is immediate. The proof of the lemma is completed.

By Lemma 1 , for any $x \in V(G(R, S))$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(x) \leq \phi(R, S)-\frac{1}{2} t_{1}(x) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality holds iff $t_{1}(x)=4 t_{2}(x)$. On the other hand, $t_{1}(x)=4 t_{2}(x)$ iff every $1-T$ of $x$ is contained in a $2-T$. Thus, (3) holds iff every $1-T$ of $x$ is contained in a $2-T$.

## Theorem 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(G(R, S)) \leq \phi(R, S)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality holds if and only if $s_{1}=s_{2}=\cdots=s_{n}=s$, and $r_{1}=\cdots=r_{s} \geq r_{s+1}=$ $\cdots=r_{2 s} \geq \cdots \geq r_{(k-1) s+1}=\cdots=r_{k s}$.

Proof. Since $s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq \cdots \geq s_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right) \leq \sum_{x_{i j}=1}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)=t_{1}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x \in V(G(R, S))$. By (3) and Lemma 1.1, (4) is immediate.
Now assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(G(R, S))=\phi(R, S)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{0}=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{m \times n}$ be a vertex of $G(R, S)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi(R, S)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first prove that $s_{1}=s_{2}=\cdots=s_{n}=s$.
By (3), (5) and (7), we have $\phi(R, S)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)=d\left(x_{0}\right) \leq$ $\phi(R, S)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x_{i j}=1}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right) \leq \phi(G, S)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)$. So $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)=\sum_{x_{i j}}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)$. If $s_{1}>s_{n}$, then there exists $p \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$ such that the entry at the $(p, n)$-position is 0 while the entry at the ( $p, 1$ )-position is 1 . Since $s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq \cdots \geq s_{n}$, we have
$\sum_{x_{i j}=1}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)$
$=\left(\sum_{x_{i j}=1,(i, j) \neq(p, 1)}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)+\left(r_{p}-1\right)\left(s_{n}-1\right)\right)+\left(r_{p}-1\right)\left(s_{1}-1\right)-\left(r_{p}-1\right)\left(s_{n}-1\right)$
$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)+\left(r_{p}-1\right)\left(s_{1}-1\right)-\left(r_{p}-1\right)\left(s_{n}-1\right)$
$>\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)$.
This is a contradiction. Hence $s_{1}=s_{2}=\cdots=s_{n}$.
We know that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n \cdots r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)=\sum_{x_{i j}=1}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)=t_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$, i.e. $d\left(x_{0}\right)$ $=\phi(R, S)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)=\phi(G, S)-\frac{1}{2} t_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$. So every 1-T must be contained in a $2-T$ of $x_{0}$. Thus, there is no $2 \times 2$ submatrix in $x_{0}$ with the form: $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ or $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$.

Suppose that all the 1's which lie in row 1 (the $1^{\text {st }}$ row) are at the positions: $\left(1, j_{1}\right),\left(1, j_{2}\right), \cdots,\left(1, j_{r_{1}}\right)$ respectively, and all the 1 's which lie in column $j_{1}$ are at the positions: $\left(1, j_{1}\right),\left(i_{2}, j_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(i_{s}, j_{1}\right)$ respectively. Since every 1-T of $x_{0}$ is contained in a $2-T$, then the entry at the $(i, j)$-position, $i \in\left\{1, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{s}\right\}$, is 1 iff $j \in\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, j_{r_{1}}\right\}$. Similarly, the entry at the $(s, t)$-position, $t \in\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{r_{1}}\right\}$, is 1 iff $s \in\left\{1, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{s}\right\}$. This shows that the submatrix of $x_{0}$ which lies in rows $1, i_{2}$, $\cdots, i_{s}$, and columns $j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{r_{1}}$ is an $s \times r_{1}$ matrix of all 1's. Furthermore, this submatrix contains all the 1 's of $x_{0}$ which lie in rows $1, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{s}$ and all the 1 's which lie in columns $j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{r_{1}}$. Denote this submatrix by $x_{0}^{\prime}$.

Delete rows $1, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{s}$ and columns $j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{r_{1}}$ from $x_{0}$. The remaining submatrix, denoted by $x_{1}$, also has the property: every $1-T$ of $x_{1}$ is contained in a $2-T$, and each of its column sums is $s$. We can obtain a submatrix $x_{1}^{\prime}$ from $x_{1}$ in the same way as that from $x_{0}$. For the same reason, $x_{1}^{\prime}$ is a matrix of all 1's with $s$ rows.

In this way, we obtain a sequence of submatrices: $x_{0}^{\prime}, x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{k-1}^{\prime}$. Each of them is a matrix of all 1's with $s$ rows. Clearly, every 1 of $x_{0}$ is contained and only contained in one of them. By $r_{1} \geq r_{2} \geq \cdots \geq r_{m}$ and the property of $x_{0}^{\prime}, x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots$, $x_{k-1}^{\prime}$, we have the following immediately: $r_{1}=\cdots=r_{s} \geq r_{s+1}=\cdots=r_{2 s} \geq \cdots$ $\geq r_{(k-1) s}=\cdots=r_{k s}$.

Conversely, assume $s_{1}=s_{2}=\cdots=s_{n}=s$, and $r_{1}=\cdots=r_{s} \geq r_{s+1}=\cdots$ $=r_{2 s} \geq \cdots \geq r_{(k-1) s}=\cdots=r_{k s}$. Let

$$
x_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
A_{1} & & & \\
& A_{2} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & A_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A_{i}$ is the $s \times r_{i s}$ matrix of all 1 's, $i=1,2, \cdots, k$, and all other entries are 0 . It is easy to check that $x_{0} \in V(G(R, S))$ and

$$
d\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi(R, S)-t_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)+2 t_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi(R, S)-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=n-r_{i}+1}^{n}\left(r_{i}-1\right)\left(s_{j}-1\right)
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Finally, the author would like to thank Prof. Fuji Zhang for his valuable suggestions, and also an anonymous referee for his careful reading of the original paper.
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