On the Non-Existence of Some Generalized Hadamard Matrices

Charlie H. Cooke and Iem Heng

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Old Dominion University Norfolk, Virginia 23508, USA

Abstract

A conjecture for generalized Hadamard matrices over group G of order p states that Hadamard matrix GH(p, h) exists only if the matrices I_n and nI_n are Hermitian congruent [1], where n = ph and p is prime. References [4,5] document many parameter values for which non-existence is known to occur. Here, methods for establishing non-existence based upon a fundamental necessary condition of Brock [2] are considered. Several parameter sequences for which non-existence occurs are identified. The methods exploited complement de Launey's [6] approach via number theoretic properties of the Hadamard determinant. Neither investigation is exhaustive of all possibilities.

1 Introduction

Let C_s be the multiplicative group of all complex s^{th} roots of unity. The square matrix $H = [h_{ij}]$ of order r over C_s is said to be a "Butson Hadamard matrix", briefly a BH(s,r) matrix, if and only if $HH^* = rI_r$. Here, H^* is the conjugate transpose of H.

BH(2, r) matrices are referred to simply as Hadamard matrices (or ± 1 matrices). Such matrices exist only if r = 1, 2 or else r = 4k, where k is a positive integer. Existence has been verified for at least each and every $k \leq 106$, and the classical Hadamard conjecture states that existence occurs for each integer k > 0.

For primes p > 2, the situation is quite different. A necessary condition for the existence of BH(p > 2, r) is that r = pt, where t is a positive integer. This condition is also sufficient, for the case of $BH(p > 2, 2^m p^k)$, provided $0 \le m \le k$, where k is an integer [3].

It has been conjectured [1] that BH(p, pt) exists, for primes p > 2 and all positive integers t. However, instances have been discovered where this conjecture fails [4].

Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 19(1999), pp.137-148

The most recent generalized Hadamard conjecture[6] is that H(p, n) exists only if I_n is Hermitian congruent to nI_n , where n = pt.

In this paper techniques are explored for proving non-existence of infinite sequences of potential $BH(s, r_k), k \in K$, where K is a countably infinite set of positive integers. Sets K are identified for which $\{BH(s, r_k) : k \in K\} = \phi$. These techniques consist chiefly of methods for proving non-existence of non-trivial solutions to homogeneous Diophantine equations

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cy^2 = 0.$$

2 Hadamard Matrices Over Groups

Definition 1: Let (G, \odot) be a group of order g. A $(g, k; \lambda)$ -difference matrix is a $k \times g\lambda$ matrix $D = (d_{ij})$ with entries from G, such that for each $1 \le i < j \le k$, the multiset

$$\{d_{il} \odot d_{jl}^{-1} : 1 \le l \le g\lambda\}$$

contains every element of $G \lambda$ times. When G is Abelian, typically, additive notation is used, so that differences $d_{il} - d_{jl}$ are employed.

Consider the additive group $G = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with modulo three arithmetic. Two inequivalent (3, 6; 2)-difference matrices over G are

	۲ O	0	0	0	0	0 .
A =	0	0	1	1	2	2
	0	1	0	2	2	1
	0	1	2	0	1	2
	0	2	2	1	0	1
	0	2	1	2	1	0

and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Definition 2: A generalized Hadamard matrix $GH(g, \lambda)$ over group G is a $(g, g\lambda; \lambda)$ difference matrix [4].

A number of authors have studied these matrices [7], [8], [11], [12], [13], and [14]. For a summary of the known matrices, see Theorem A of Street [14].

Clearly, both difference matrices A and B are generalized Hadamard matrices GH(3,2), each having an associated Butson Hadamard matrix BH(3,6). This association will now be clarified.

Theorem 1 For primes p > 2, there exists a generalized Hadamard matrix $BH(p,p\lambda)$ over the cyclic group C_p if and only if there exists a generalized Hadamard matrix $GH(p,\lambda)$ over the additive group $Z_p = \{0, 1, 2, ..., p-1\}, (+)$.

A generalization of this result is stated by Drake [7], whose proof follows from results of Butson [3]. This association will be illustrated by example.

Let $C_3 = \{1, x, x^2\}$, where $x = e^{2\pi i/3}$ is a primitive cube root of unity. Consider the BH-matrices

$$H = BH(3,6) = x^E$$

where E is one of the difference matrices A, B above. The notation means that matrix elements obey $h_{ij} = x^{e_{ij}}$.

By calculation, $HH^* = 6I$; therefore, H is a generalized Hadamard matrix in the classical sense. Also, by calculation H is a GH(3, 2) matrix with respect to C_3, \odot . The Hadamard exponent forms (matrices A, B above) have already been cited as GH(3, 2) with respect to the group Z_3, \oplus .

The next theorem provides a necessary condition for the existence of $GH(g, \lambda)$ over group G, |G| = g:

Theorem 2 A $GH(g, \lambda)$ with $n = g\lambda$ odd exists over Abelian group G of order |G| = g only if a nontrivial solution in integers x, y, z exists to the quadratic Diophantine equation

$$z^{2} = nx^{2} + (-1)^{(t-1)/2} ty^{2},$$

for every order, t, of a homomorphic image of G.

The proof of this theorem can be found in Brock [2], and it is discussed in Colbourn and Dinitz [4].

Corollary 1 For primes p > 2, and $\lambda > 0$ an odd integer, $BH(p, p\lambda)$ exists only if there are nontrivial solutions in integers to both equations

$$z^{2} = p\lambda x^{2} + (-1)^{(p-1)/2} py^{2}$$

and

$$z^2 = p\lambda x^2 + y^2.$$

Proof. If G is an Abelian group of order p > 2, where p is prime, there exist homomorphic images of G of orders t = 1, p.

3 The Imbedding Problem

Definition 3: Let G be an Abelian group of order g, with $n = g\lambda$, where λ is a positive integer. For 0 < k < n, a $k \times n$ difference matrix D over the group G is "completable" if and only if there exists a $GH(g, \lambda)$ matrix having D as its first k rows.

The Hadamard imbedding problem concerns the question of whether the matrix D can be extended by the process of row addition so as to be completable. This problem has been studied variously by Beder [1], Brock [2], Drake [7] and others.

Definition 4: Difference matrix D of dimension $k \times n$ is "locally maximal" (in dimension) if there is no $(k+1) \times n$ difference matrix which reduces to D by deletion of a single row. If D is a $GH(g, \lambda)$, then it is globally maximal [4].

It is interesting to note that there may exist locally maximal $(g, k; \lambda)$ -difference matrices for which $k < g\lambda$, even in cases where a $(g, g\lambda; \lambda)$ -difference matrix exists. For g = 2 and $\lambda = 10$, Beder [1] constructs such (± 1) matrices, characterized by k = 8, 12, 16.

With respect to the group $G = \{0, 1, 2\}$, (+), the present authors have discovered locally maximal difference matrices $D_{k\times 15}$ with k = 7, 8 (see Tables I and II). The observation that gcd(7, 15) = gcd(8, 15) = 1 appears a stark contrast to what may be observed in Beder's (± 1) difference matrices; namely, in cases where locally maximal difference matrices of dimension $D_{k\times n}$ and $D_{n\times n}$ simultaneously exist, $gcd(k, n) \neq 1$ (for n = 20; k = 8, 12, 16).

This contrasting behaviour leads to the likely conjecture that GH(3, 15) does not exist. Actually, this has been known for several years. However, following up this conjecture in absence of this knowledge motivated the present research on non-existence of certain $GH(g, \lambda)$.

Tables I and II show the previously referred to locally maximal difference matrices with respect to group $G = \{0, 1, 2\}, (+)$:

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0]
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
0	0	1	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	2
0	0	1	1	2	1	0	2	2	0	2	2	1	1	0
0	0	1	2	2	0	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	0	1
0	1	2	0	2	1	2	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	0
0	1	0	2	2	2	1	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	2

Table IA (3,7,15)-difference matrix

Γ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0]
	0	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	0
	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	2	0	2	2	0	0
	0	1	2	2	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	1	2	0
	0	2	1	1	0	2	1	0	2	0	2	1	0	2	1
	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	1	2	0	2	1
	0	2	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	2	2	1	1	0
L	0	1	0	2	1	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	2

Table II

A (3,8,15)-difference matrix

4 Quadratic Diophantine Equations

We now consider methods for establishing non-existence of nontrivial integer solutions to the homogeneous Diophantine equation

$$ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0. (1)$$

Lemma 1 If a and b are integers, then the equation

$$z^2 = abx^2 \pm ay^2$$

has nontrivial integer solutions only if the reduced equation

$$a\ell^2 = bx^2 \pm y^2$$

has nontrivial integer solutions.

Proof. The result is obvious. If (x, y, z) is a solution, of necessity a|z. Therefore, let $z = a\ell$, where ℓ is an integer if z is.

Method I:

Legendre's Theorem: [10]

Let a, b, c be pairwise relatively prime integers which are squarefree and not all of the same algebraic sign. Then equation (1) has a nontrivial solution in the integers if and only if -bc, -ac, -ab are quadratic residues of a, b, c, respectively.

Warwick de Launey [6] has approached the non-existence question for generalized Hadamard matrices by means of number theoretic properties of the Hadamard determinant. Basically, he proves the non-existence of many generalized Hadamard matrices for groups whose orders are divisible by 3,5 or 7; for example, $GH(15, C_{15})$, $GH(15, C_3)$, and $GH(15, C_5)$.

That his work is non-exhaustive is evidenced by the following result:

Theorem 3 For Abelian groups of order p, and for odd primes $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{5}$, GH(p, 5) does not exist.

Proof. Consider the problem of finding integer solutions to the equation

$$z^2 = 5px^2 \pm py^2,$$
 (2)

where $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{5}$. This can be done only if one can find integer solutions of

$$pq^2 = 5x^2 \pm y^2 \tag{3}$$

As $x^2 \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{5}$ has no solutions, by Legendre's theorem neither does (2) or (3) have nontrivial integer solutions.

Note. Clearly, theorem 3 generalizes some of de Launey's results.

5 Reciprocity

Definition 5: For groups G, H with |G| = g and $|H| = \lambda$, potential generalized Hadamard matrices $GH(g, \lambda)$ and $GH(\lambda, g)$ satisfy a reciprocity relation provided both exist or both do not exist.

Example. GH(3,5) and GH(5,3) are reciprocally non-existent, as in each case the pertinent reduced equation is of the form

$$5a^2 = 3b^2 + c^2$$
.

By Legendre's theorem, this equation has no nontrivial integer solutions (a, b, c), since ± 3 is a quadratic non-residue of 5.

By the same approach, the following result can be established:

Theorem 4 Let λ be a prime number. If $(-1)^{\frac{5+1}{2}}\lambda$ and $(-1)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}\lambda$ are both quadratic non-residues of 5, or if $(-1)^{\frac{5+1}{2}}5$ and $(-1)^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}}5$ are both quadratic non-residues of λ , then $GH(5,\lambda)$ and $GH(\lambda,5)$ constitute a reciprocally non-existent pair.

Corollary 2 If 7 + 5k is a prime number, then GH(5, 7 + 5k) and GH(7 + 5k, 5) constitute a reciprocally non-existent sequence of potential generalized Hadamard matrices.

Theorem 5 Let p = 4k+3 and q = 4k+5 be prime numbers, where 2 is a quadratic non-residue of p. Then (p, q) is a reciprocal pair.

Since p, q are squarefree and relatively prime, Legendre's theorem applies Proof. to determine integer solutions of the equations

and

Existence of a nontrivial integer solution of either equation can happen only if there exists a nontrivial integer solution (ℓ, m, n) for equations of the following type

 $z^2 = pax^2 + ay^2.$

No solution for this equation exists, as

has no solution.

A more general method for finding reciprocal pairs employs a result of Euler:

Euler's Theorem: [15]

If p is an odd prime which does not divide a, then $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ has a solution or no solution according as

Reciprocity Theorem: Let p = 4k + 3 and a = 4l + 5 be odd primes which satisfy Euler's condition

 $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$

Then GH(a, p) and GH(p, a) constitute a reciprocal non-existent pair of generalized Hadamard m

Proof. U guarantees the non-existence of non-trivial integer solutions (x, y, z) to both equations

and

or

$$z^2 = apx^2 - py^2$$

$$z^2 = apx^2 + ay^2,$$

 $x^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$

 $p\ell^2 = am^2 - n^2.$

$$p^{(p-1)/2} = 1 \pmod{p}$$

$$a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$

$$a^{n} \equiv 1(mou p)$$

$$a = 1(mou p)$$

$$a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 (mod \ p).$$

$$z^2 = pqx^2 - py^2$$

whose reduced equation is of the form

$$p\ell^2 = ax^2 - y^2.$$

Several reciprocal pairs are given by Table III:

3	5
3	17
3	29
11	13
11	17
11	29
19	29
19	59
19	79
59	61
111	113

Table III

Method II:

When the hypotheses of Legendre's theorem fail, an analysis of last digit [9] of separate members of equation (1) is sometimes fruitful. Here, if x is a nonzero integer, the last digit of x is denoted by [x]. For instance, the last digit of x^2 is in the set

$$[x^2] = \{0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9\}, \text{ and}$$

 $[3x^2] = \{0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8\} = [7x^2],$
 $[(10k + 1)x^2] = [x^2], k \ge 0 \text{ an integer}$
 $[5x^2] = \{0, 5\},$
 $[9x^2] = \{0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9\}.$

These facts are useful in proving some non-existence theorems below.

Lemma 2 The equation

$$z^2 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot (2k+1)x^2 - 3y^2 \tag{4}$$

where k is a non-negative integer satisfying $(2k + 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, does not possess a nontrivial solution in integers.

Proof. By the method of contradiction, assume a nontrivial solution (x, y, z) exists, where (x, y, z) are non-negative integers. As the equation is homogeneous of degree two, (x, y, z) is a solution if and only if (tx, ty, tz) is a solution, where t is an integer. Therefore, it can be assumed that gcd(x, y, z) = 1.

Clearly, z is divisible by 3. If $z = 3\ell$, where ℓ is an integer, then equation (4) reduces to

$$y^2 = 5(2k+1)x^2 - 3\ell^2.$$
(5)

As the last digit of each integer (x^2, y^2, k^2) belongs to the set $L = \{0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9\}$, the last digits of $5(2k + 1)x^2$ and $3\ell^2$ are members of $\{0, 5\}$ and $\{0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8\}$, respectively. For compatibility with (5), the last digit of y^2 can only be zero or five; therefore, y = 5m, where m is an integer.

Now equation (5) becomes

$$3\ell^2 = 5(2k+1)x^2 - 25m^2.$$
(6)

Therefore, $\ell = 5p$, where p is an integer. Equation (6) becomes

$$(2k+1)x^2 = 15p^2 + 5m^2.$$

Since five does not divide 2k + 1, it is necessary that x = 5q, where q is an integer. The conclusions 5|y and 5|x imply that 5|z. As this contradicts gcd(x, y, z) = 1, the assumption that (4) has a nontrivial solution in the integers must be false. \Box

Lemma 3 The equation

$$z^2 = 5 \cdot n \cdot (10k+1)x^2 + 5y^2 \tag{7}$$

has no nontrivial solution for integers $k \ge 0$ and n = 1, 3, 7.

Proof. By the method of contradiction, assume a nontrivial solution (x, y, z) exists, where (x, y, z) are positive integers. As the equation is homogeneous of degree two, (x, y, z) is a solution if and only if (tx, ty, tz) is a solution, where t is an integer. Therefore, it can be assumed that gcd(x, y, z) = 1.

Clearly, z is divisible by 5 in equation (7).

<u>Case 1:</u> n = 1

If $z = 5\ell$, where ℓ is an integer, then equation (7) reduces to

$$y^2 = 5\ell^2 - (10k+1)x^2.$$
(8)

As the last digit of each integer (x^2, y^2, ℓ^2) belongs to the set $L = \{0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9\}$, the last digits of $5\ell^2$ and $(10k + 1)x^2$ are members of $\{0, 5\}$ and $\{0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9\}$, respectively. For compatibility with (8), the last digit of x^2 and y^2 can only be zero or five; therefore, x = 5m and y = 5p, where m, p are integers.

The conclusions 5|y and 5|x imply that 5|z. As this contradicts gcd(x, y, z) = 1, the assumption that (7) has a nontrivial solution in the integers must be false.

Case 2:
$$n = 3$$

If $z = 5\ell$, where ℓ is an integer, then equation (7) reduces to

$$y^2 = 5\ell^2 - 3(10k+1)x^2.$$
(9)

As the last digit of each integer (x^2, y^2, ℓ^2) belongs to the set $L = \{0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9\}$, the last digits of $5\ell^2$ and $3(10k + 1)x^2$ are members of $\{0, 5\}$ and $\{0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8\}$, respectively. For compatibility with (9), the last digit of y^2 can only be zero or five; therefore, y = 5m, where m is an integer.

Now equation (9) becomes

$$3(10k+1)x^2 = 5\ell^2 - 25m^2.$$

Since five does not divide 3(10k+1), it is necessary that x = 5p, where p is an integer. The conclusions 5|y and 5|x imply that 5|z. As this contradicts gcd(x, y, z) = 1, the assumption that (7) has a nontrivial solution in the integers must be false.

Case 3: n = 7

If $z = 5\ell$, where ℓ is an integer, then equation (7) reduces to

$$y^2 = 5\ell^2 - 7(10k+1)x^2.$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

As the last digit of each integer (x^2, y^2, ℓ^2) belongs to the set $L = \{0, 1, 4, 5, 6, 9\}$, the last digits of $5\ell^2$ and $7(10k + 1)x^2$ are members of $\{0, 5\}$ and $\{0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8\}$, respectively. For compatibility with (10), the last digit of y^2 can only be zero or five; therefore, y = 5m, where m is an integer.

Now equation (10) becomes

$$7(10k+1)x^2 = 5\ell^2 - 25m^2.$$

Since five does not divide 7(10k+1), it is necessary that x = 5p, where p is an integer. The conclusions 5|y and 5|x imply that 5|z. As this contradicts gcd(x, y, z) = 1, the assumption that (7) has a nontrivial solution in the integers must be false. \Box

6 Summary

Theorem 6 Several sequences of potential Hadamard matrices over Abelian group G of order g which do not exist are:

- 1. $GH(3, 5(2k+1)), (2k+1) \neq 0 \pmod{5}$, with k a non-negative integer,
- 2. GH(5, n(10k + 1)), for n = 1, 3, 7, k non-negative,
- 3. GH(5, p), where $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{5}$ is an odd prime,
- 4. Reciprocal pairs GH(5,7+5k) and GH(7+5k,5), where 7+5k is an odd prime.

Corollary 3 For k a non-negative integer, the following classes of BH matrices do not exist:

- 1. $BH(3, 15(2k+1)), (2k+1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5},$
- 2. BH(5, 5n(10k + 1)), for n = 1, 3, 7,
- 3. BH(5,5p), $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{5}$, an odd prime,
- 4. Reciprocal pairs BH(5, 35 + 25k) and BH(7 + 5k, 35 + 25k), where 7 + 5k is an odd prime.

The following conjecture, which motivated this research, appears to gain some support from Corollary 3 and Tables I and II:

Conjecture 1 If for $0 < k < g\lambda$ a locally maximal (g, k, λ) -difference matrix with respect to Abelian group G of order g exists for which $gcd(k, g\lambda) = 1$, then $GH(g, \lambda)$ does not exist.

7 Conclusions

Although the approaches of de Launey and the present author provide many instances of non-existent GH(p,q), these results are by no means exhaustive of all possibilities. The methods usefully complement each other, and together show the number theoretic complexity of this non-existence problem.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Professor Jennifer Seberry for constructive comments and suggestions.

References

- Beder, J.H. (1995). Conjectures about Hadamard matrices, R.C. Bose Memorial Conference On Statistical Design and Related Combinatorics, Colorado State University, June 7-11, 1995.
- [2] Brock, B.W. (1988). Hermitian congruence and the existence and completion of generalized Hadamard matrices, J. of Combinatorial Theory (Series A), Vol. 49, 233-261.
- [3] Butson, J.A. (1963). Relations among generalized Hadamard matrices, Can. J. Math., Vol. 15, 42-48.
- [4] Colbourn, C.J. and Dinitz, J.H. (1996). "The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs", CRC Press, New York.
- [5] Dawson, J. (1985). A construction for generalized Hadamard matrices GH(4q, EA(4q)), J. Stat. Plann. and Inference, Vol. 11, 103-110.
- [6] de Launey, W. (1984). On the non-existence of generalized Hadamard matrices, J. Stat. Plann. and Inference, Vol. 10, 385-396.
- [7] Drake, D.A. (1979). Partial λ-geometries and generalized Hadamard matrices over groups, Can. J. Math., Vol. 31, 617-627.
- [8] Jungnickel, D. (1979). On difference matrices, resolvable transversal designs and generalized Hadamard matrices, *Math. Z.*, Vol. 167, 49-60.
- [9] Private communication, Mark Dorrepaal, Department of Mathematics, Old Dominion University, Norfolk, Virginia.
- [10] Ryser, H.J. (1963). "Combinatorial Mathematics", Carus Math. Monograph Vol 14. Wiley, New York.
- [11] Seberry, J. (1980). A construction for generalized Hadamard matrices, J. Stat. Plann. and Inference, Vol. 4, 365-368.
- [12] Seberry, J. (1979). Some remarks on generalized Hadamard matrices and theorems of Rajkundlia on SBIBDs, *Combinatorial Mathematics VI*, Lecture Notes In Mathematics Vol. 748. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [13] Shrikhande, S.S. (1964). Generalized Hadamard matrices and orthogonal arrays of strength 2, Can. J. Math., Vol. 16, 736-740.
- [14] Street, D. (1979). Generalized Hadamard matrices, orthogonal arrays and Fsquares, Ars Combinatoria, Vol. 8, 131-141.
- [15] Underwood, D. (1969). "Elementary Number Theory", W.H. Freeman Company, San Francisco.

(Received 19/3/98)