EXTREMAL DOUBLY EVEN (56,28,12) CODES AND HADAMARD MATRICES OF ORDER 28

HIROSHI KIMURA

Department of Mathematics, Ehime University

Matsuyama 790, Japan

Abstract. In [2] Bussemaker and Tonchev constructed six doubly even (56, 28, 12) codes from two Hadamard matrices of order 28. But two of them were not distinguished. In [11] and [12] we characterized Hadamard matrices of order 28 and there are exactly 487 Hadamard matrices, up to equivalence. In this paper we show that only two of the above 487 matrices produce six doubly even (56, 28, 12) codes and that two of the six codes are equivalent. Therefore there are exactly five (56, 28, 12) codes, up to equivalence, produced by Hadamard matrices of order 28.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Hadamard matrix H of order n is an $n \times n$ matrix of $\pm 1's$ with $HH^t = nI$. It is well known that n is necessarily 1, 2 or a multiple of four. We say that two matrices M_1 and M_2 of the same size are equivalent if there exists a signed permutation g of rows and columns of M_1 with $M_1^g = M_2$. A matrix which is equivalent to a Hadamard matrix is also a Hadamard matrix. An automorphism of H is a signed permutation g of the set of rows and columns such that $H^g = H$. The set of automorphisms forms a group under composition called the automorphism group of H and it is denoted by Aut(H). We say that a set of four rows of H is a Hall set if the submatrix consisting of the four rows is equivalent to the following matrix:

(1.1)
$$\begin{pmatrix} + & + & + & J_m & J_m & J_m \\ + & + & - & - & J_m & J_m & -J_m \\ + & - & + & - & J_m & -J_m & J_m & -J_m \\ + & - & - & + & -J_m & J_m & J_m & -J_m \\ \end{pmatrix},$$

where J_m is the all 1's row vector of dimension (n-4)/4.

The equivalence classes of Hadamard matrices of order ≤ 28 have been determined by Hall, Ito-Leon-Longyear and the author([5], [6], [7], [11] and [12]). There are exactly 487 inequivalent Hadamard matrices of order 28. One of them has no Hall set and the others have Hall sets. These matrices are distinguished by their K-matrices except five matrices in [9].

Let F = GF(2) be the field of two elements 0 and 1. Let F^n be the vector space of dimension n over F. For elements $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ of F^n , the

Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 10(1994), pp.153-161

Hamming distance d(x, y) is defined by the number of i with $x_i \neq y_i$. The Hamming weight wt(x) of x is defined by d(x, 0). For a column vector x put $wt(x) = wt(x^t)$. A binary linear (n, k) code C is a subspace of F^n of dimension k. The minimum distance of C is defined by the minimum weight among all non-zero elements of C. The generator matrix of C is the matrix whose rows are the basis vectors of C. Two codes are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by a permutation of the coordinate positions.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic facts from the theory of self-dual linear codes. Our terminology follows [3].

It is well known that for a Hadamard matrix of order n there exists a binary code with n symbols, 2n code words, and minimum distance n/2. This is not necessarily a linear code. On the other hand many linear codes can be constructed from Hadamard matrices. It is well known that the (24, 12, 8) Golay code is obtained from a Hadamard matrix of order 12 ([15]).

In [19] Tonchev gave a general method of a construction of binary self-orthogonal codes and in [2] obtained six doubly even self-dual (56, 28, 12) codes from two Hadamard matrices of order 28. But two of the six codes were not distinguished.

We discuss the existence and equivalence of extremal doubly even self-dual (56, 28, 12) codes obtained from all Hadamard matrices of order 28 by the method in [19].

We can consider that (H + J)/2 is a matrix on F, where J is the all 1's matrix, and we denote this also by H if there is no confusion.

Theorem 1. Let H be a Hadamard matrix of order 28 and C a binary self-dual (56, 28, 12) code with generator matrix (I, H). Then H and C are equivalent to one of two matrices and one of six codes in [2], respectively. Moreover two of the six codes are equivalent.

One of the matrices in Theorem 1 is of QR - type and the other is equivalent to the 471 - th matrix in [13].

2. General properties

Let $H = (h_{i,j})$ be a normalized Hadamard matrix of order n = 28. Let $\Gamma = \{1, \dots, 28\}$. Let B and P be subsets of Γ . Let $H_{B,P}$ be a Hadamard matrix obtained from H by negating the rows in B and the columns in P. By [2], if the matrix $(I, H_{B,P})$ generates a binary self-dual doubly even (56, 28, 12) code, then the following condition must be satisfied:

Condition 1. The weight of every row and column of $H_{B,P}$ is greater than 10 and congruent to 3 (mod 4).

Let $C\{H\}$ be the set of equivalence classes of codes constructed from H as above. Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2. If H' is equivalent to H, then $C{H} = C{H'}$.

Therefore we may assume that H is of normal form, when we determine $C\{H\}$.

Proposition 3. If B and P contain 1, then there exist subsets B' and P' of Γ not containing 1 such that $C(H_{B,P})$ is equivalent to $C(H_{B',P'})$.

Proof. Fut B = I - B and F = I - F. Then the proposition follows.

Since a matrix (H^t, I) generates C(I, H), the following proposition is trivial.

Proposition 4. $C{H} = C{H^t}$ and hence we assume $|B| \ge |P|$.

We denote a code generated by $(I, H_{B,P}) EC(H_{B,P})$ if it is an extremal self-dual doubly even (56, 28, 12) code.

We study H when $H_{B,P}$ generates $EC(H_{B,P})$. Since H is Hadamard matrix, it is trivial that the weight of a sum of every different two rows of $(I, H_{B,P})$ is 16. By Proposition 4, $(I, H_{B,P})$ generates $EC(H_{B,P})$, if Condition 1 and the following condition are satisfied:

Condition 2. Weights of sums of three or four rows of $(I, H_{B,P})$ and $(H_{B,P}^t, I)$ must be greater than 11, respectively.

At first we assume $B = \{2, \dots, 28\}$ and $P = \{1\}$. Then $H_{B,P}$ satisfies the Condition 1 and hence $(I, H_{B,P})$ generates a doubly even code. Let H' be an equivalent matrix of H of normal form. Then a code generated by $(I, H'_{B,P})$ is equivalent to the above code by [16]. If H has no Hall set, then H^t has also no hall set by [14]. Thus the weights of sums of all three or four row vectors of H and H^t are greater than, or equal to 12. By Condition 2 $(I, H_{B,P})$ generates $EC(H_{B,P})$. In this case set $H_1 = H_{B,P}$. If H has Hall sets, then we may assume by Propositon 2 that a submatrix of H consisting of its first four rows is of form (1.1). Thus the sum of the first four rows of $(I, H_{B,P})$ is of weight 8 and the minimum weight of the code generated by $(I, H_{B,P})$ is less than 12.

Proposition 5. If the weight of some column of $H_{B',P'}$ equals 27, then there exists a row of weight 27 and hence it is equivalent to $(I, H_{B,P})$.

Proof. We may assume the weight of the first column equals 27, $h_{1,1} = 0$ and $h_{1,i} = 0$. By the orthogonality of the first and second columns, the weight of the second column must be 13. This contradicts the Condition 1. Hence $h_{1,i} = 1$ for all *i*. This proves the proposition. \Box

By this proposition and [16], if there exists a row or column of $H_{B,P}$ whose weight is 27, then the code generated by $(I, H_{B,P})$ is equivalent to the code generated by (I, H_1) . Therefore we assume the weights of all rows and columns of $H_{B,P}$ are less than 27.

Since H does not have a Hall set, the weight of every different four rows of $(I, H_{B,P})$ and $(H_{B,P}^t, I)$ is greater than 12, respectively.

3. On the case H has no Hall set

In this section we assume that H has no Hall set. Then we may assume that H is the Paley matrix defined by the squares in F = GF(27) by [12]. Let $F = Z_3[X]/(f(X))$, where $Z_3 = GF(3)$ and f(X) is an irreducible polynomial over Z_3 . We assign a number to an element of F in the following way:

$$(3.1) aX^2 + bX + c \pmod{(f(x))} \longleftrightarrow 3^2a + 3b + c.$$

Then $D = \{1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20, 22, 25\}$ is a difference set and $\{i + D; i \in F\}$ is a set of blocks of a Hadamard 2-(27, 13, 6) design. We also denote an incidence matrix of this design by $\overline{D} = (d_{i,j})$. Then

(3.2)
$$H = (H_1 =) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \bar{D} \\ 1 & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let col(D) be the set of columns *i* such that (i-2) are not contained in the difference set *D*. The permutation groups Aut(H) on the set of rows and Aut(H) on the set of columns are same as permutation groups.

Proposition 6. We may assume B and P do not contain 1.

Proof. By Proposition 3 and 4, assume $1 \in B$ and $1 \notin P$. Since $1 < |B| \equiv 1$ (4) and $|P| \equiv 3$ (4), there exists i (> 1) not contained in $B \cap P$. Then (i, i)-component of $H_{B,P}$ is 1. By Proposition 2 we may assume that (1, 1)-component of $H_{B,P}$ is 1. This proves the proposition. \Box

Proposition 7. We may assume B and P do not contain 2.

Proof. If $B \cup P \neq \Gamma$, then the proposition follows. Assume $B \cup P \neq \Gamma$. Then, by the same permutation of Γ if necessary, $H_{B,P}$ is the following form:

$$H_{B,P} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_1 & & A_1 \\ 1 & & M_2 & B_1 \\ 0 & A_2 & B_2 & M_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where M_1 and M_2 have diagonals of all 1's, M_3 has a diagonal of all 0's, $A_1^t = A_2$ and $B_1^t = B_2$. Let M_i be of size m_i (i = 1, 2, 3). If $m_3 = 0$, then $m_1 + 1 = 11, 15, \cdots$ and $m_2 + 1 = 11, 15, \cdots$. this contradicts $m_1 + m_2 = 27$. Therefore $m_3 > 0$. If $A_1 = 0$ and $B_1 = 0$, then $m_3 \ge 12$ by Condition 2. Thus there exists a pair (i, j) of a row and a column such that the (i, i), (i, j) and (j, i) components are 1 and the (j, j)component is 0. Since Aut(H) is doubly transitive, then the proposition follows. \Box

Proposition 8. |col(D) - P| is an odd number greater than 2 and we may assume P does not contain 3.

Proof. Let a and b be the numbers of columns of col(D) - P and columns not contained in $P \cup col(D) \cup \{1,2\}$, respectively. Applying Condition 1 to the first and the second columns, $a + b = 9, 13, \cdots$ and $a + (13 - b) = 10, 14, \cdots$. $a + b \equiv 1$ (4) and $a - b \equiv 1$ (4). Therefore $a \geq 3$ and a is odd. There exists an element of Aut(H) fixing the first and second rows and columns such that it transforms the 3rd column to a column of col(D) - P. Thus we may assume the 3rd column is not negated. \Box

Under Conditions 1 and 2 we compute by a computer program satisfying the propositions in this section. Then we have four solutions case 2, 3, 4 and 5 in Table 2.

4. ON THE CASE H HAS HALL SETS

In this section we assume that H has Hall sets. Then H is one of the 486 matrices obtained in [11] by Proposition 2. The following proposition is trivial from the definition of Hall set.

Proposition 9. Let $\{r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4\}$ be a Hall set of H. The vector $r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4$ in F^n is of weight 4 or 24.

By this, if $(I, H_{B,P})$ generates $EC(H_{B,P})$, then

$$(4.1) |B \cap \{r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4\}| = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ or } 3, \text{ if } wt(r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4) = 4\\ 0, 2 \text{ or } 4, \text{ if } wt(r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4) = 24. \end{cases}$$

Let $\{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m\}$ be a family consisting of all the Hall sets of H. Set $\Sigma_i = \Lambda_1 \cup \dots \cup \Lambda_i$. Assume the following:

Condition 3. $\Sigma_1 \subsetneq \Sigma_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \Sigma_k = \Sigma_m$

Set $k(H) = \Gamma - \Sigma_m$ for H. For almost all matrices in [11] $\Sigma = \Gamma$. $Max\{k(H)\} = 3$. If we make a computer program under the conditions in Section 2 and this section, H must be a matrix H_{471} in [11], say H_2 in this paper. In fact the following subfamily of Hall sets of H_2 satisfies Condition 3:

Hall set	Λ_1	Λ_2	Λ_3	Λ_4	Λ5	Λ_6	Λ_7	Λ8	Λ_9	Λ_{10}
rows	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	5
	11	12	15	12	13	16	11	13	14	14
	21	23	21	22	24	22	23	20	20	23
	24	24	23	25	25	24	25	23	25	27
weight	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Concernance of the second se		Concession of the local division of the loca				and the second se		the statistic second statistics of the		
Hall set	Λ ₁₁	Λ12	Λ ₁₃	Λ ₁₄	Λ ₁₅	Λ ₁₆	Λ17	Λ ₁₈	Λ ₁₉	
Hall set rows	Λ ₁₁ 5	Λ ₁₂ 5	Λ ₁₃ 6	Λ ₁₄ 6	Λ ₁₅ 7	Λ ₁₆ 7	Λ ₁₇ 8	Λ ₁₈ 9	Λ ₁₉ 10	
Hall set rows	Λ_{11} 5 15	$egin{array}{c} \Lambda_{12} \\ 5 \\ 17 \end{array}$	Λ ₁₃ 6 15	Λ ₁₄ 6 18		Λ ₁₆ 7 19	$egin{array}{c} \Lambda_{17} \ 8 \ 12 \end{array}$	Λ ₁₈ 9 13	Λ ₁₉ 10 11	
Hall set rows	Λ ₁₁ 5 15 26	$egin{array}{c} \Lambda_{12} \\ 5 \\ 17 \\ 23 \end{array}$	Λ ₁₃ 6 15 24	Λ ₁₄ 6 18 24	$egin{array}{c} \Lambda_{15} \ 7 \ 14 \ 26 \end{array}$	Λ ₁₆ 7 19 25	$egin{array}{c} \Lambda_{17} \ 8 \ 12 \ 21 \ \end{array}$	Λ ₁₈ 9 13 22	Λ ₁₉ 10 11 20	
Hall set rows	Λ ₁₁ 5 15 26 27	Λ ₁₂ 5 17 23 26	Λ ₁₃ 6 15 24 28	Λ ₁₄ 6 18 24 27	Λ ₁₅ 7 14 26 28	Λ ₁₆ 7 19 25 28	Λ ₁₇ 8 12 21 26	Λ ₁₈ 9 13 22 27	Λ ₁₉ 10 11 20 28	

TABLE 1. A subfamily of Hall sets of H_2

The weight of the sum of the four elements of every Hall set Λ_i $\{i = 1, \dots, 19\}$ is four. Therefore $|\{B \cap \Lambda_i\}|$ must be one or three. There exists no Hall set containing the first row and the first column is not contained in any Hall set of H^t . In this case we may compute by hand and two solutions are obtained:

(4.2)
$$\begin{cases} B_1 = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\} \\ P_1 = \{11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19\} \end{cases}$$

and

(4.3)
$$\begin{cases} B_2 = \{11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19\} \\ P_2 = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10\} \end{cases}$$

Since H_2 and H_2^* have the same K-matrix, they are equivalent. In fact, by the following permutations g and h on the sets of rows and columns of H_2 , respectively, H_2 transforms to its transpose.

Applying g and h, (4.2) is equivalent to (4.3). This code is the 6-th code in Table 2.

5. ON EQUIVALENCE OF CODES

In this section we discuss the equivalence of the six codes in Table 2 or in [2]. We consider the 3-designs formed by the minimum weight codewords. The definition of the class size of a code is given in [2]. The codes No.4 and No.6 in Table 2 have the same class size.

We introduced the K-matrices and K-boxes associated with Hadamard matrices. This idea is useful for a classification of codes or designs. For the definition of K-matrix, see [8] or [9]. Let C be a binary self-dual doubly even (56, 28, 12) code. We define the K-box for C. Let $D = (d_{i,j})$ be the 3 - (56, 12, 65) design formed by the minimum weight codewords.

For any different p points i_1, \dots, i_p , let b_{i_1,\dots,i_p} be the number of blocks of D containing the p points. Next we define a_{i_1,\dots,i_p} for two positive integers q_1 and q_2 ($q_1 \leq q_2$) as follows:

$$a_{i_1,\cdots,i_p}(q_1,q_2) = egin{cases} 1, & ext{if } q_1 \leq b_{i_1,\cdots,i_p} \leq q_2 \ 0, & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If $|\{i_1, \dots, i_p\}| < p$, then set $a_{i_1, \dots, i_p} = 0$. For three points x, y and z, define $c_{x,y,z}(q_1, q_2)$ as follows:

$$c_{x,y,z}(q_1,q_2) = \sum_{x,y,z \in \{i_1,\cdots,i_p\}} a_{i_1,\cdots,i_p}(q_1,q_2).$$

For fixed i, j, by a permutation of indexes we assume that $c_{k_1,i,j}(q_1, q_2) \leq c_{k_2,i,j}(q_1, q_2)$ if $k_1 < k_2$. Then we have 56 matrices $B(i)''_{p,q_1,q_2}(C) = (c_{j,k,i}(q_1, q_2)), i = 1, \dots, 56$. For fixed i, after ordering $B(i)''_{p,q_1,q_2}(C)$ lexicographically, we denote this by $B(i)'_{p,q_1,q_2}(C)$. Furthermore we rearrange lexicographically the collection of matrices $B(i)'_{p,q_1,q_2}$ with $1 \leq i \leq 56$. We call this collection the K-box of C (or D) and denote it by $B_{p,q_1,q_2}(C)$. By the definition of K-box, if a code C' is equivalent to C, then their K-boxes are the same.

Let C_4 and C_6 be codes No.4 and No.6 in Table 2, respectively. Then $B_{5,1,8}(C_4) = B_{5,1,8}(C_6)$ and therefore we can not distinguish the two codes by K-boxes. But we can find a permutation g of coordinates of C_4 such that C_4 is equivalent to

 C_6 by g. At first we can find a permutation g_1 of the set $\{1, \dots, 50\}$ such that $B(i)_{5,1,8}(C_4^{g_1}) = B(i)_{5,1,8}(C_6)$ for all i:

$$g_1 = \begin{cases} (1)(2, 20, 26, 54, 17, 33, 32, 31, 9, 19, 25, 51, 53, 16, 30, 39, 42, \\ 38, 41, 37, 34, 40, 3, 35, 14, 6, 21, 48, 45, 8, 56, 47, 44, 7, 36, 15, \\ 24, 27, 4, 18)(5, 10, 22, 11, 23, 13)(12, 50, 52, 46, 49)(28)(29)(55) \end{cases}$$

Next we find a permutation g_2 such that $B(2)'_{5,1,8}(C_4^{g_1g_2}) = B(2)'_{5,1,8}(C_6)$:

$$g_2 = \begin{cases} (1)(2)(3, 12, 17, 14, 19, 10, 7, 18, 8, 15, 16, 13, 6, 5, 4)(9, 11) \\ (20, 42, 25, 41, 22, 45)(21, 39, 26, 47, 27, 40, 28, 44, 23, 43)(24, 46) \\ (29)(30, 56, 52, 37, 38, 36, 48, 51, 53, 33, 55, 34, 32, 35, 49, 31)(50, 54). \end{cases}$$

 $G = (I, H_4)^{g_1g_2}$ is a generator matrix of the code $C_4^{g_1g_2}$. We can obtain another generator matrix (I, H) from G. Then we can easily check that H is an Hadamard matrix with Hall sets and its K-matrix is one of H_2 in (5.1). Therefore H is equivalent to H_2 by [11]. Thus C_4 is equivalent to C_6 . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

code	H	Negated columns (P)	Class sizes
oouo			
		and rows (B)	
1	H_1	col: 1	56
		row: $2, \cdots, 28$	
2	H_1	col: 4, 5, 6, 7, 8,12,17,19,28	2,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6
		row: 3, 6, 8, 9,10,11,13,19,20,22,25,26,28	
3	H_1	col: 4,5,8,21,22	2,2,2,2,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6
		row: 12,14,17,21,22	
4	H_1	col: 4, 5, 9,13,14,18,22,23,27	2,18,18,18
		row: 3, 7, 8,12,16,17,21,25,26	
5	H_1	col: 4, 5, 9,11,13,14,15,18,19	1,1, 9,9,9,9,9
		row: 12,16,17,21,22,23,25,26,27	
6	H_2	col: 3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11	2,18,18,18
		row: 12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20	

TABLE 2. The extremal codes

(5.1)1 100 010 110 000 001 101 111 010 110 1 010 000 111 101 110 110 001 010 010 1 010 001 011 000 100 110 111 001 011 1 001 000 111 110 011 011 100 001 001 1 100 000 111 011 101 101 010 100 100 1 001 100 101 000 010 011 111 100 101 1 011 001 010 101 000 001 110 111 100 1 111 010 000 110 101 110 010 001 010 1 101 100 001 110 000 100 011 111 010 1 111 001 000 011 110 011 001 100 001 1 110 010 100 011 000 010 101 111 001 1 111 100 000 101 011 101 100 010 100 1 001 110 001 001 101 000 100 011 111 1 000 111 010 110 110 101 010 010 001 1 000 111 001 011 011 110 001 001 100 1 100 011 100 100 110 000 010 101 111 1 000 111 100 101 101 011 100 100 010 1 010 101 010 010 011 000 001 110 111 1 000 100 110 111 100 110 100 100 110 1 001 010 010 010 000 111 101 110 110 1 100 001 001 001 000 111 110 011 011 1 000 010 011 111 010 011 010 010 011 1 000 001 101 111 001 101 001 001 101 1 010 100 100 100 000 111 011 101 101 1 011 000 100 110 111 100 110 010 001 1 010 001 010 111 010 000 110 101 110 $H_2 =$ $H_1 =$ 1 101 000 010 011 111 010 011 001 100 1 001 100 001 111 001 000 011 110 011 1 110 000 001 101 111 001 101 100 010 1 100 010 100 111 100 000 101 011 101 1 010 010 001 000 111 010 110 110 101 1 001 011 000 010 011 111 100 101 010 1 100 101 000 001 101 111 010 110 001 1 001 001 100 000 111 001 011 011 110 1 010 110 000 100 110 111 001 011 100 1 100 100 010 000 111 100 101 101 011 1 101 110 110 001 010 010 010 000 111 1 111 010 011 100 001 110 000 100 101 1 110 011 011 100 001 001 001 000 111 1 111 001 101 010 100 011 000 010 110 1 111 100 110 001 010 101 000 001 011 1 011 101 101 010 100 100 100 000 111 1 110 101 110 010 001 010 111 010 000 1 110 111 001 011 010 100 110 000 100 1 011 111 100 101 001 010 011 000 010 1 011 110 011 001 100 001 111 001 000 1 101 011 101 100 010 100 111 100 000 1 101 111 010 110 100 001 101 000 001 1 110 110 101 010 010 001 000 111 010 1 100 101 111 100 011 010 100 011 000 1 010 110 111 010 101 001 010 101 000 1 011 011 110 001 001 100 000 111 001 1 101 101 011 100 100 010 000 111 100 1 001 011 111 001 110 100 001 110 000 Γ1 ٦

References

- 1. V.K. Bhargava, G.Young and A.K. Bhargava, A characterization of a (56,28) extremal self-dual code, IEEE Trans. Info. Theory 27(1981), 258-260.
- 2. F.C. Bussemaker and V.D. Tonchev, New extremal doubly-even codes of length 56 derived Hadamard matrices of order 28, Discrete Math. 76(1989), 45-49.
- 3. P.J. Cameron and J.H. van Lint, Graphs, Codes and Designs, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1980.
- 4. M. Hall, Jr., Combinatorial Theory, Ginn(Blaisdell), Boston, 1967.
- 5. _____, Hadamard matrices of order 16, J. P. L. Research Summary 36-10 1(1961), 21-26.
- 6. _____, Hadamard matrices of order 20, J. P. L. Technical Report 32-761(1965).
- 7. N. Ito, J. S. Leon and J. Q. Longyear, Classification of 3-(24,12,5) designs and 24-dimensional Hadamard matrices, J. Combin. Theory(A) 27(1979), 289-306.
- 8. H. Kimura, Hadamard matrices of order 28 with automorphism groups of order two, J. Combin. Theory(A) 24(1986), 98-102.
- 9. ____, On equivalence of Hadamard matrices, Hokkaido Math. J. 17(1988), 139-146.
- 10. ____, New Hadamard matrix of order 24, Graphs and Combin. 5(1989), 236-242.
- 11. ____, Characterization of Hadamard matrices of order 28 with Hall sets, Discrete Math. (to appear).
- 12. _____, Characterization of Hadamard matrices of order 28, Discrete Math. (to appear).
- 13. ____, A list of Hadamard matrices of order 28, (Unpublished)
- 14. _____ and H. Ohmori, Construction of Hadamard matrices of order 28, Graphs and Combin. 2(1986), 247-257.
- 15. F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane, The theory of error-correcting codes, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- M. Ozeki, Hadamard matrices and doubly even self-dual error-correcting codes, J. Combin. Theory(A) 44(1987), 274-287.
- 17. V. D. Tonchev, Hadamard matrices of order 28 with automorphisms of order 13, J. Combin. Theory(A) 35(1983), 43-57.
- 18. _____, Hadamard matrices of order 28 with automorphisms of order 7, J. Combin. Theory(A) 40(1985), 62-81.
- 19. ____, Self-orthogonal designs and extremal doubly-even codes, J. Combin. Theory(A) 52(1989),197-205.

(Received 22/2/94)

