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Abstract: An identity concerning the cycle index polynomial of the symmetric group is proved and a consequence of it presented.

## INTRODUCTION

Let $X$ be a set with $n$ indistinguishable elements and let $k$ be a positive integer. Let $T(n, k)$ denote the number of ways of choosing $k$ subsets of $X$ whose union is $X$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ denote the set of all partitions of $n$. If $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ we write $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$, where $n=\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{r}$ and $r=r(\lambda)$ is the number of parts in $\lambda$. In [2], the following two expressions for $T(n, k)$ were obtained.

$$
\begin{gather*}
T(n, k)=\frac{1}{n!k!} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n} \\
\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{k}}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
\lambda
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
k \\
\mu
\end{array}\right] \prod_{i}\left(\left(\prod_{j} 2^{\left(\lambda_{i}, \mu_{j}\right)}\right)-1\right) .  \tag{1}\\
T(n, k)=U(n, k)-U(n-1, k), \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
U(n, k)=\frac{1}{n!k!} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}  \tag{3}\\
\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{k}}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
\lambda
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
k \\
\mu
\end{array}\right] \prod_{i, j} 2^{\left(\lambda_{i}, \mu_{j}\right)}
$$

Here ( $a, b$ ) denotes the greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$ and $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ \lambda\end{array}\right]$ is the number of permutations in the symmetric group $S_{n}$ with cycle type $\lambda$.

It is claimed in [2] that the equality of (1) and (2) is equivalent to the following result.

Theorem 1. For any m-tuple of positive integers $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ and any positive integer $n$,

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
\lambda
\end{array}\right] \prod_{i}\left(\left(\prod_{j=1}^{m} 2^{\left(\lambda_{i}, a_{j}\right)}\right)-1\right)=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
\lambda
\end{array}\right]\left(1-s_{1}(\lambda) / 2^{m}\right) \prod_{i, j} 2^{\left(\lambda_{i}, a_{j}\right)}
$$

where $s_{1}(\lambda)$ denotes the number of parts of $\lambda$ of size 1 .
Now it is easy to show that Theorem 1 implies the equivalence of (1) and (2), but it is not easy to deduce the Theorem from these two results. However, in this note we deduce Theorem 1 from an old result of Bell on the cycle index polynomial of the symmetric group.

## THE CYCLE INDEX POLYNOMIAL

Let $n$ be a positive integer. The cycle index polynomial [Polya, 5] of $S_{n}$ is the polynomial

$$
f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
\lambda
\end{array}\right] \prod_{i=1}^{r(\lambda)} x_{\lambda_{i}}
$$

Lemma.

$$
f_{n}\left(x_{1}-1, \ldots, x_{n}-1\right)=f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

Proof. Write $f_{n}(\bar{x})=f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. From Bell [1, page 265] or Riordan [6, page 80] we have that

$$
f_{n}(\bar{x}+\bar{y})=\sum_{j=0}^{n} f_{n-j}(\bar{x}) f_{j}(\bar{y})
$$

Setting $\bar{y}=(-1,-1, \ldots,-1)$, we obtain

$$
f_{n}\left(x_{1}-1, \ldots, x_{n}-1\right)=f_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)-f_{n-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)
$$

By Riordan [6, page 70], $f_{n-1}=\frac{\partial f_{n}}{\partial x_{1}}$. Hence the result follows.
Proof of Theorem 1. The result of the above Lemma may be written as

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
\lambda
\end{array}\right] \prod_{i}\left(x_{\lambda_{i}}-1\right)=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
\lambda
\end{array}\right]\left(1-s_{1}(\lambda) / x_{1}\right) \prod_{i} x_{\lambda_{i}}
$$

We obtain Theorem 1 by substituting $x_{1}=2^{m}$ and $x_{i}=\Pi_{j} 2^{\left(i, a_{j}\right)}$ for $i>1$ in this equation.

It follows immediately that Theorem 1 may be generalised by replacing 2 by any real number $r$.

Note: The author wishes to thank Professor J.H. Moon for pointing out to him that equation (3) follows from a result of Harary [3, page 96] or [4] on enumerating bipartite graphs, and also wishes to thank the referee for drawing Bell's result to his attention.
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