# On colorings and orientations of signed graphs II

# DANIEL SLILATY

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Wright State University Dayton, OH 45435, U.S.A. daniel.slilaty@wright.edu

#### Abstract

A classical theorem independently due to Gallai, Hasse, Roy, and Vitaver states that a graph G has a proper *n*-coloring if and only if G has an orientation without coherent paths of length n. We prove that a signed graph has a proper *n*-coloring if and only if it has an orientation without coherent paths or balloons of length n.

# 1 Introduction

Theorem 1 is a classical result in graph theory which simply and elegantly characterizes the existence of a proper *n*-coloring in terms of orientations. It was independently discovered in the 1960's by Gallai [1], Hasse [2], Roy [4], and Vitaver [6].

**Theorem 1.** For a loopless graph G the following are equivalent.

- (1) G has a proper n-coloring.
- (2) G has an acyclic orientation without coherent paths of length n.
- (3) G has an orientation without coherent paths of length n.

Integer-valued vertex coloring of signed graphs was first defined by Zaslavsky [8]. It is an attractive generalization of vertex coloring of ordinary graphs in that it also generalizes additional aspects of and results on graph coloring; for instance, the connection between graph coloring and matroid theory though chromatic and Tutte polynomials [7]. In this note, we present Theorem 2 which generalizes Theorem 1 in the following sense: if we consider a graph to be a signed graph in which all edges are positive, then the set of graphs which satisfy Part(x) of Theorem 1 is properly contained in the set of graphs which satisfy Part(x) of Theorem 2. Thus we have another classical aspect of graph coloring which is generalized by signed-graph coloring.

The terms used in Theorem 2 are well known among those familiar with the theory of signed graphs. For others, a short introduction is provided in Section 2 where all relevant terms are defined. The proof is presented in Section 3.

**Theorem 2.** For a signed graph  $(G, \sigma)$  without positive loops the following are equivalent.

- (1)  $(G, \sigma)$  has a proper n-coloring.
- (2)  $(G, \sigma)$  has an acyclic orientation without coherent paths or balloons of length n.
- (3)  $(G, \sigma)$  has an orientation without coherent paths or balloons of length n.

In a previous publication we presented [5, Theorem 5.2] as an analogue of Theorem 1 for signed graphs. The result here is much nicer: it is more simply stated and similar to Theorem 1, it makes no reference to sign switching in its statement, and the implications  $(2 \rightarrow 1)$  and  $(3 \rightarrow 1)$  are stronger results than the corresponding implication in [5, Theorem 5.2].

## 2 Background

A signed graph is a pair  $(G, \sigma)$  in which G is a graph and  $\sigma: E(G) \to \{+, -\}$ . Let  $M_{2k+1} = \{-k, \ldots, -1, 0, 1, \ldots, k\}$  and  $M_{2k} = \{-k, \ldots, -1, 1, \ldots, k\}$ . An *n*-coloring of a signed graph  $(G, \sigma)$  is a function  $\kappa: V(G) \to M_n$ . An *n*-coloring  $\kappa$  is proper when for each edge e in  $(G, \sigma)$  with endpoints u and v (possibly equal),  $\kappa(u) \neq \sigma(e)\kappa(v)$ . Evidently every signed graph  $(G, \sigma)$  without positive loops has a proper 2|V(G)|-coloring and if  $(G, \sigma)$  has a proper *n*-coloring, then  $(G, \sigma)$  has a proper (n+1)-coloring. Thus it makes sense to define the chromatic number  $\chi(G, \sigma)$  as the smallest n such that  $(G, \sigma)$  has a proper *n*-coloring. This formulation of the chromatic number of a signed graph is due to Máčajová, Raspaud, and Škoviera [3]. It is a more streamlined adaptation of coloring and chromatic numbers defined earlier by Zaslavsky [8].

In a graph G, an *incidence* is where an end of an edge meets a vertex. As such each edge (including a loop) has two distinct incidences. An incidence can be denoted by a pair (v, e) in which vertex v is an endpoint of edge e. Although this notation does not distinguish between the two distinct incidences of a loop, the notation can be modified as  $(v, e)_1$  and  $(v, e)_2$  in order to do so. Let I(G) denote the set of incidences of G. A bidirection on G is a function  $\beta: I(G) \to \{+, -\}$ . Graphically,  $\beta(v, e) = +$ is envisioned as an arrow at (v, e) pointing towards v. Similarly,  $\beta(v, e) = -$  is envisioned as an arrow at (v, e) pointing away from v. Thus bidirections produce three types of edges: *extroverted*, *introverted*, and *directed*.



Figure 1: The three types of edges in a bidirected graph.

An orientation of a signed graph  $(G, \sigma)$  is a bidirection  $\beta$  satisfying  $\beta(v, e)\beta(u, e) = -\sigma(e)$ . As such, each negative edge is either introverted or extroverted and each positive edge has one of two possible directions. An oriented signed graph is a triple  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  where  $\beta$  is an orientation of  $(G, \sigma)$ . A vertex v in  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  is a sink (or source) when all of the bidirectional arrows at v are directed towards (or away from) v. A vertex in v in  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  is singular when it is either a source or a sink.

Let  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  be an oriented signed graph. A path P in  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  is coherent when every internal vertex of  $(P, \sigma, \beta)$  is non-singular. A cycle C in a signed graph  $(G, \sigma)$ is called *positive* (or *negative*) when the product of signs on its edges is positive (or negative). A balloon  $B = C \cup P$  in  $(G, \sigma)$  consists of a negative cycle C and a path P (possibly of length zero) which intersects C at a single vertex only. The length of a balloon is the length of C plus twice the length of P. A balloon  $B = C \cup P$  in  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  is coherent when there is a unique singular vertex in  $(B, \sigma, \beta)$ . When P has positive length, then this singular vertex must be the degree-1 vertex in B. When Phas length zero, the singular vertex is any vertex on C. Figure 2 depicts a coherent path and three coherent balloons, each of which has length 7. When depicting signed graphs, positive edges are solid curves and negative edges are dashed curves. A circle around a vertex indicates that the vertex is singular.



Figure 2: A coherent path and three coherent balloons, each of which has length 7. Positive edges are solid curves and negative edges are dashed curves. A circle around a vertex indicates that the vertex is singular.

A circuit in a signed graph  $(G, \sigma)$  is a subgraph which is either a positive cycle, two negative cycles which intersect in a single vertex (called a *tight handcuff*), or two vertex-disjoint negative cycles along with a minimal connecting path (called a *loose handcuff*). If C is a circuit in  $(G, \sigma)$ , then C is coherent in the oriented signed graph  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  when every vertex of C is non-singular in  $(C, \sigma, \beta)$ . The reader can check that there are exactly two possibilities for a coherent orientation  $\beta$  of circuit C and, furthermore, if  $\beta$  is one of them, then  $-\beta$  is the other. An oriented signed graph  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  is acyclic when it contains no coherent circuit. Zaslavsky [9, Corollary 5.3] proved that if  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  is acyclic, then  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  has a singular vertex.

Given a signed graph  $(G, \sigma)$ , a switching function is a function  $\eta: V(G) \to \{+, -\}$ . Define  $\sigma^{\eta}$  by  $\sigma^{\eta}(e) = \eta(u)\sigma(e)\eta(v)$  in which u and v are the endpoints of e. (This includes the case for a loop.) The sets of circuits of  $(G, \sigma)$  and  $(G, \sigma^{\eta})$ 

are the same. If  $\beta$  is an orientation of  $(G, \sigma)$ , then  $\eta\beta$  is an orientation of  $(G, \sigma^{\eta})$ . One can think of  $\eta\beta$  as being obtained from  $\beta$  by reversing the arrows at v when  $\eta(v) = -$  and leaving the arrows at v the same when  $\eta(v) = +$ . Since a vertex is singular in  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  if and only if it is singular in  $(G, \sigma^{\eta}, \eta\beta)$ , coherence of circuits, paths, and balloons is invariant under switching.

If  $\kappa$  is a proper *n*-coloring of  $(G, \sigma)$ , then there is a natural orientation of  $(G, \sigma)$ induced by  $\kappa$ , call it  $\beta_{\kappa}$ , which is defined as follows. Given an edge *e* with ends (u, e)and (v, e), we have that  $\kappa(u) \neq \sigma(e)\kappa(v)$ ; that is,  $\kappa(u) - \sigma(e)\kappa(v) \neq 0$ . Now because an orientation  $\beta$  must satisfy  $\beta(u, e)\beta(v, e) = -\sigma(e)$ , there is only one choice for  $\beta_{\kappa}(u, e)$  and  $\beta_{\kappa}(v, e)$  so that

$$\beta_{\kappa}(u,e)\kappa(u) + \beta_{\kappa}(v,e)\kappa(v) > 0.$$

An equivalent formulation of  $\beta_{\kappa}$  is as follows: If *e* is positive, then without loss of generality  $\kappa(u) > \kappa(v)$ . Thus *e* under  $\beta_{\kappa}$  is directed with head *u* and tail *v*. If *e* is negative, then  $\kappa(u) + \kappa(v) \neq 0$ . Thus *e* is extroverted under  $\beta_{\kappa}$  when  $\kappa(u) + \kappa(v) > 0$  and *e* is introverted under  $\beta_{\kappa}$  when  $\kappa(u) + \kappa(v) > 0$ .

Note that  $\beta_{\kappa}$  is acyclic when  $\kappa$  is proper because on any subgraph of  $(G, \sigma, \beta_{\kappa})$  a vertex v is singular when  $|\kappa(v)|$  is maximum for that subgraph.

If  $\eta$  is a switching function for  $(G, \sigma)$  and  $\kappa$  a proper *n*-coloring, then  $\eta \kappa$  is a proper *n*-coloring of  $(G, \sigma^{\eta})$ . In fact,  $\kappa \mapsto \eta \kappa$  is a bijection between the collection of all proper *n*-colorings of  $(G, \sigma)$  and those of  $(G, \sigma^{\eta})$ . If  $\kappa$  is a proper *n*-coloring of  $(G, \sigma)$ , then  $\beta_{\eta\kappa} = \eta \beta_{\kappa}$ .

A useful notion of normalizing colorings and acyclic orientations was explored in [5]. If  $\kappa$  is a proper *n*-coloring of  $(G, \sigma)$ , then let  $\eta$  be the switching function defined by  $\eta(v) = -$  when  $\kappa(v) < 0$ . Now  $\eta \kappa$  is a non-negative proper *n*-coloring of  $(G, \sigma^{\eta})$ . The coloring  $\eta \kappa$  is called the *normalization* of  $\kappa$ . Note now that every negative edge of  $(G, \sigma^{\eta}, \eta \beta_{\kappa})$  is extroverted.

If  $\beta$  is an acyclic orientation of  $(G, \sigma)$ , then there is a partition  $L_1, L_2, \ldots$  of V(G)called the *canonical level decomposition* of  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  which is defined iteratively as follows:  $L_1$  is the set of singular and isolated vertices of  $(G, \sigma)$  and  $L_{i+1}$  is the set of singular and isolated vertices of  $(G, \sigma) - (L_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_i)$ . Let  $\eta$  be the switching function for which  $\eta(v) = -$  if v was accounted for as a source (rather than a sink or isolated vertex) during the construction of the canonical level decomposition. The acyclic orientation  $\eta\beta$  of  $(G, \sigma^{\eta})$  is called the *normalization* of  $\beta$ . The proof of Proposition 3 is easy.

**Proposition 3** ([5, Proposition 4.1]). Let  $\beta$  be a normalized acyclic orientation of  $(G, \sigma)$  and let  $L_1, \ldots, L_m$  be the canonical level decomposition.

- (1) If e is a negative edge, then e is extroverted.
- (2) If e is a positive edge with head in  $L_i$  and tail end in  $L_j$ , then i < j.
- (3) If  $v \in L_j$  for j > 0, then there is a positive edge e with v as its tail with  $w \in L_{j-1}$  as its head.

#### 3 The Proof of Theorem 2

 $(1 \to 2 \land 3)$  Let  $n \in \{2k, 2k+1\}$  and suppose that  $(G, \sigma)$  has a proper n-coloring  $\kappa$ . We may assume by switching that  $\kappa$  is normalized as coherence of paths and balloons is invariant under switching. Let  $C_0, \ldots, C_k$  be the color classes of V(G). Let P be a coherent path in  $(G, \sigma, \beta_{\kappa})$ , then because each negative edge is extroverted, there can be at most one negative edge on P. Furthermore, if e is a positive edge with head v and tail u, then  $\kappa(v) \geq \kappa(u) + 1$ . If P has no negative edges, then the maximum possible length of P is k-1 when n=2k and k when n=2k+1. If P has one negative edge, then the maximum possible length of P occurs when the colors of the endpoints of the negative edge are a minimum. If n = 2k, then this is when the endpoints of the negative edge both have color 1. In this case the maximum possible length is 1 + 2(k - 1) = 2k - 1 < n, as required. If n = 2k + 1, then this is when the endpoints of the negative edge have colors 0 and 1. In this case, the maximum possible length is 1 + k + (k - 1) = 2k < n, as required. If B is a coherent balloon in  $(G, \sigma, \beta_{\kappa})$ , then because all negative edges are extroverted, there is exactly one negative edge in B and it is on the negative cycle of B. We can associate a coherent path with a coherent balloon of the same length as suggested in Figure 3. The same argument for paths now implies that the maximum possible length of Bis less than n.



Figure 3: Break the cycle at v and append two copies of the path to the ends.

 $(2 \to 1)$  Let  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  be an acyclic oriented signed graph without coherent paths or balloons of length n. Since n-colorability is invariant under switching, we may assume that  $\beta$  is normalized. Let  $L_1, \ldots, L_m$  be the canonical level decomposition of V(G) given by  $\beta$ . Because there are no coherent paths of length n, Proposition 3(3) implies that  $m \leq n$ . If necessary, append copies of the empty set to the sequence of  $L_i$ 's so that m = n. Consider two cases based on the parity of n.

**Case 1** Assume that n = 2k + 1. Relabel the sets  $L_1, \ldots, L_{2k+1}$  respectively as  $C_k, \ldots, C_0, \ldots, C_{-k}$ . If e is a negative edge of  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  whose endpoints are in  $C_i$  and  $C_j$ , then by Proposition 3, e is contained in a coherent path or balloon of length 1 + (k-i) + (k-j) < 2k+1. Hence i+j > 0. Thus all edges in the induced subgraph  $G[C_0 \cup \cdots \cup C_{-k}]$  are positive and there are no negative edges with endpoints in both  $C_i$  and  $C_{-i}$  for any  $i \in \{0, \ldots, k\}$ . Thus if we color the vertices in  $C_i$  with color i, then we have a proper (2k+1)-coloring of  $(G, \sigma)$ , as required.

**Case 2** Assume that n = 2k. Relabel the sets  $L_1, \ldots, L_{2k}$  respectively as  $C_k, \ldots, C_1, C_{-1}, \ldots, C_{-k}$ . If e is a negative edge with endpoints in  $C_i$  and  $C_j$ , then Proposition 3 implies that at least one of i and j is positive; furthermore, if i is positive and j is negative, then there is a coherent path or balloon of length 1 + (k - i) + (|j| - 1 + k) < 2k. This again implies that i + j > 0, all edges in the induced subgraph  $G[C_{-1} \cup \cdots \cup C_{-k}]$  are positive, and there are no negative edges with endpoints in both  $C_i$  and  $C_{-i}$  for any  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ . Thus the coloring of  $(G, \sigma)$  in which vertices of  $C_i$  receive color i is a proper 2k-coloring, as required.

 $(3 \to 1)$  Let  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  be an oriented signed graph without coherent paths or balloons of length n. We may assume that G is connected. Let  $(H, \sigma, \beta)$  be a maximal acyclic subgraph of  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$ . Necessarily H is connected and spans G. Assume that a switching function is applied to  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$  so that  $(H, \sigma, \beta)$  is normalized.

Consider the proper *n*-coloring  $\kappa$  on  $(H, \sigma, \beta)$  constructed in the proof for  $(2 \to 1)$ . We finish by showing that  $\kappa$  extends to all of  $(G, \sigma, \beta)$ . So if  $e \in E(G) - E(H)$ , then  $(H \cup e, \sigma, \beta)$  has a coherent circuit, call it C, using e. In Case 1 assume that e is positive and in Case 2 that e is negative.

**Case 1** A coherent handcuff cannot have only extroverted negative edges, thus C is a positive cycle. Because all negative edges in  $(H, \sigma, \beta)$  are extroverted, C - e is a coherent path consisting of positive edges only. Thus the endpoints of P must have different colors under  $\kappa$  and so edge e is properly colored by  $\kappa$  as well.

**Case 2** A coherent circuit which contains negative edges cannot contain only extroverted edges. Thus *e* must be introverted. Denote the endpoints of *e* by *x* and *y*. (This includes the case that x = y.) If *C* is a positive cycle, then C - e is a coherent path containing a single negative edge which is extroverted. Thus C - e is as shown on the left in Figure 4 where either or both of the y'y- or x'x-paths may have length zero. Because  $\kappa(y') + \kappa(x') > 0$ , this implies that  $\kappa(y) + \kappa(x) > 0$  and so *e* is properly colored by  $\kappa$ .

If C is a handcuff, then e is either on a negative cycle of C or on its connecting path. In the latter case, C - e is as shown in the middle of Figure 4 where either or both of the  $v_1x$ - or  $v_2y$ -paths may have length zero. Since the sum of the colors of the endpoints of a negative edge in  $(H, \sigma, \beta)$  must be positive,  $\kappa(v_1)$  and  $\kappa(v_2)$  are both positive which implies the same for  $\kappa(x)$  and  $\kappa(y)$ . Thus e is properly colored by  $\kappa$ . If e is on a negative cycle of C, then C - e is as shown on the right of Figure 4 in which  $1 \leq |\{v, v'x, y\}| \leq 4$ . Again  $\kappa(v) > 0$  which implies that  $\kappa(x)$  and  $\kappa(y)$  are positive and so e is properly colored by  $\kappa$ .

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Tom Zaslavsky for pointing out Theorem 1 to me and suggesting that there should be an interpretation for signed graphs.



Figure 4: Possibilities for C - e when e is introverted. Positive edges shown in this figure represent coherent paths of positive edges of any length, including zero. Negative loops shown in this figure represent negative cycles having a unique singular vertex and which consist of a single extroverted negative edge along with any number (including zero) of positive edges.

### References

- T. Gallai, On directed paths and circuits, Theory of Graphs (*Proc. Colloq., Ti-hany, 1966*), Academic Press, New York, 1968, pp. 115–118.
- M. Hasse, Zur algebraischen Begründung der Graphentheorie. I, Math. Nachr. 28 (1964/65), 275–290.
- [3] E. Máčajová, A. Raspaud and M. Skoviera, The chromatic number of a signed graph, *Electron. J. Combin.* 23(1) (2016), #P1.14, 10pp.
- [4] B. Roy, Nombre chromatique et plus longs chemins d'un graphe, Rev. Française Informat. Recherche Opérationnelle 1(5) (1967), 129–132.
- [5] D. Slilaty, On colorings and orientations of signed graphs, *Discrete Math. Lett.* 12 (2023), 98–102.
- [6] L. M. Vitaver, Determination of minimal coloring of vertices of a graph by means of Boolean powers of the incidence matrix, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 147 (1962), 758–759.
- [7] T. Zaslavsky, Chromatic invariants of signed graphs, Discrete Math. 42(2-3) (1982), 287–312.
- [8] T. Zaslavsky, Signed graph coloring, Discrete Math. **39**(2) (1982), 215–228.
- [9] T. Zaslavsky, Orientation of signed graphs, European J. Combin. 12(4) (1991), 361–375.

(Received 13 Dec 2023; revised 30 July 2024, 1 Dec 2024)