# Decompositions of $K_{m,n}$ and $K_n$ into Cubes

Darryn E. Bryant Centre for Combinatorics Department of Mathematics The University of Queensland Queensland 4072 Australia

Saad I. El-Zanati Department of Mathematics Illinois State University Normal, Illinois 61761 U.S.A.

Robert B. Gardner Department of Mathematics East Tennessee State University Johnson City, Tennessee 37614 U.S.A.

#### Abstract

We consider the decomposition of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$  into isomorphic copies of a *d*-cube. We present some general necessary conditions for such a decomposition and show that these conditions are sufficient for d = 3 and d = 4. We also explore the *d*-cube decomposition of the complete graph  $K_n$ . Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of such a decomposition are known for *d* even and for *d* odd and n odd. We present a general strategy for constructing these decompositions for all values of *d*. We use this method to show that the necessary conditions are sufficient for d = 3.

## 1 Introduction

Let K be a simple graph and let G be a subgraph of K. A G-decomposition of K is a set  $\Gamma = \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_t\}$  of edge-disjoint subgraphs of K each of which is isomorphic to G and such that the edge sets of the  $G_i$ 's form a partition of the edge set of K. The graph K is said to be G-decomposable.

Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 9(1994), pp.285-290

The decomposition of graphs has been and remains the focus of a great deal of research (see [1, 5, 11]). In particular,  $K_k$ -decompositions of  $K_n$  (balanced incomplete block designs, see M. Hall [4]) and C-decomposition of  $K_n$ , where C is a cycle of given length [7], have received much attention. The decomposition of  $K_n$  into complete bipartite graphs is explored in [3, 15] and into complete m-partite graphs in [6]. This problem has also been addressed for  $K_n$  in connection with trees and forests [10, 13]. The decomposition of  $K_{m,n}$  into cycles of length 2k is explored in [14].

The *d*-cube is the graph  $Q_d$  whose vertex set is the set of all binary *d*-tuples,  $V(Q_d) = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^d$ , and whose edge set consists of all pairs of vertices which differ in exactly one coordinate.  $Q_d$  has  $2^d$  vertices,  $d2^{d-1}$  edges and is bipartite.

In 1966, Rosa [12] introduced the notion of  $\alpha$ -valuation of a graph G as follows: Let |E(G)| = n and let  $\psi$  be a one-to-one mapping of V(G) into  $N = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ . Then  $\psi$  is called an  $\alpha$ -valuation of G if (i) the set  $\{|\psi(u) - \psi(v)| : uv \in E(G)\}$  equals  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  and (ii) there exists a number  $\lambda$  such that, for every  $(u, v) \in E(G)$ ,  $\min\{\psi(u), \psi(v)\} \leq \lambda < \max\{\psi(u), \psi(v)\}$ . A graph G admitting an  $\alpha$ -valuation is necessarily bipartite.

The following theorem establishing a connection between  $\alpha$ -valuations of a graph G and G-decompositions of complete graphs was proved by Rosa [12].

**Theorem 1.1** If a graph G with n edges has an  $\alpha$ -valuation, then there exists a G-decomposition of the complete graph  $K_{2cn+1}$ , for every positive integer c.

A bipartite analogue of Theorem 1.1 was proved in [2].

**Theorem 1.2** If a graph G with n edges has an  $\alpha$ -valuation, then there exists a G-decomposition of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{nx,ny}$ , for all positive integers x and y.

In 1981, Kotzig [8] showed that  $Q_d$  has an  $\alpha$ -valuation for all positive integers d, thus establishing necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of  $Q_d$ decompositions of  $K_n$  when d is even and in the case when d is odd and n is odd. The case d odd and n even remains open. The purpose of this paper is to explore  $Q_d$ -decompositions of  $K_{m,n}$  and of  $K_n$ .

## 2 *d*-Cube Decompositions of $K_{m,n}$

In this section, we consider decompositions of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$  (where we assume  $m \leq n$ ) into copies of a *d*-cube. In such a decomposition, the degree of each vertex of the *d*-cube (which is *d*-regular) must divide the degree of each vertex of  $K_{m,n}$  and the number of edges of the *d*-cube must divide the number of edges of  $K_{m,n}$ . This implies:

- $(2.1) \qquad d \mid m \text{ and } d \mid n, \text{ and}$
- (2.2)  $d2^{d-1} \mid mn$ .

Also, since the *d*-cube is a bipartite graph with each "part" of size  $2^{d-1}$ , we need (2.3)  $2^{d-1} \le m \le n$ .

The 2-cube is simply a 4-cycle and it is shown in [14] that the necessary conditions (2.1)-(2.3) are sufficient in this case. To establish sufficiency for d = 3 and d = 4, we will present decompositions of  $K_{m,n}$  where the vertex set of  $K_{m,n}$  is  $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \{0\}) \cup (\mathbb{Z}_n \times \{1\})$  (with the obvious bipartition) and the ordered pair (x, y) of this vertex set is represented by  $x_y$ . For d = 3 we have:

Theorem 2.1 For  $m \leq n$ , a 3-cube decomposition of  $K_{m,n}$  exists if and only if  $m \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ,  $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $m \geq 4$ .

**Proof.** We get the necessary conditions from (2.1)-(2.3). Under these conditions, either

(2.4)  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$  and  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ ,

 $(2.5) \quad m \equiv 3 \pmod{6} \text{ and } n \equiv 0 \pmod{12}, \text{ or }$ 

(2.6)  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$  and  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ .

If (2.4) is satisfied, then  $K_{m,n}$  can clearly be decomposed into isomorphic copies of  $K_{6,6}$ . Since  $K_{m,n}$  and  $K_{n,m}$  are isomorphic, (2.5) and (2.6) are equivalent. In either case,  $K_{m,n}$  can be decomposed into a collection of graphs each of which is isomorphic to either  $K_{6,6}$  or  $K_{9,12}$ . So for sufficiency, we only need to give 3-cube decompositions of  $K_{6,6}$  and  $K_{9,12}$ .

We give the desired decomposition in an  $m \times n$  array whose rows are labelled  $0_0$  through  $(m-1)_0$  and whose columns are labelled  $0_1$  through  $(n-1)_1$ . The  $(i_0, j_1)$  entry of the array is  $q_k$  if edge  $(i_0, j_1)$  of  $K_{m,n}$  is an edge of cube  $q_k$  in the decomposition. For a decomposition of  $K_{6,6}$  into 3-cubes, consider:

	01	11	21	31	41	$5_{1}$
00	$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_3$
10	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_3$
20	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$
30	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$
40	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_2$
$5_0$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_3$

The set of 3-cubes  $\{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$  forms a  $Q_3$ -decomposition of  $K_{6,6}$ .

For a decomposition of  $K_{9,12}$  into 3-cubes, consider:

	01	11	21	31	41	51	61	71	81	91	$ 10_1 $	111
00	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_4$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_4$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_3$	· q4
10	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_5$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_5$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_5$	$q_3$
20	$q_1$	$q_6$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_6$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_6$	$q_3$	$q_3$
30	$q_7$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_7$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_7$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_3$
4 <sub>0</sub>	$q_4$	$q_5$	$q_5$	$q_4$	$q_8$	$q_9$	$q_5$	$q_4$	$q_8$	$q_9$	$q_8$	$q_9$
5 <sub>0</sub>	$q_4$	$q_5$	$q_5$	$q_4$	$q_8$	$q_9$	$q_8$	$q_9$	$q_8$	$q_9$	$q_5$	$q_4$
60	$q_4$	$q_5$	$q_6$	$q_7$	$q_7$	$q_6$	$q_5$	$q_4$	$q_7$	$q_6$	$q_5$	$q_4$
7 <sub>0</sub>	$q_7$	$q_6$	$q_6$	$q_7$	$q_7$	$q_6$	$q_8$	$q_9$	$q_8$	$q_9$	$q_8$	$q_9$
8 <sub>0</sub>	$q_7$	$q_6$	$q_6$	$q_7$	$q_8$	$q_9$	$q_8$	$q_9$	$q_7$	$q_6$	$q_8$	$q_9$

The set of 3-cubes  $\{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_9\}$  forms a  $Q_3$ -decomposition of  $K_{9,12}$ .

287

For d = 4 we have:

**Theorem 2.2** A 4-cube decomposition of  $K_{m,n}$  (where  $m \leq n$ ) exists if and only if  $m \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{32}$  and  $n \geq m \geq 8$ .

**Proof.** Again, (2.1)-(2.3) give the necessary conditions. As in Theorem 2.1, we find under these necessary conditions, that  $K_{m,n}$  can be decomposed into a collection of graphs each of which is isomorphic to either  $K_{8,8}$  of  $K_{8,12}$ .

For a decomposition of  $K_{8,8}$  into 4-cubes, consider:

	01	$1_{1}$	21	31	41	$5_{1}$	61	71
00	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$
_1 <sub>0</sub>	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	q2
_2 <sub>0</sub>	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_1$
_3 <sub>0</sub>	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_1$
4 <sub>0</sub>	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_1$
50	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_2$
60	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$
7 <sub>0</sub>	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$

The set of 4-cubes  $\{q_1, q_2\}$  forms a  $Q_4$ -decomposition of  $K_{8,8}$ . For a decomposition of  $K_{8,12}$  into 4-cubes, consider:

	01	11	21	31	41	$5_{1}$	61	71	81	91	101	111
_0 <sub>0</sub>	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_3$
10	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_2$
20	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_2$	$q_2$
30	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_3$
40	$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_2$
_5 <sub>0</sub>	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_2$	$q_3$
60	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_2$
70	$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_2$	$q_2$	$q_3$

The set of 4-cubes  $\{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$  forms a  $Q_4$ -decomposition of  $K_{8,12}$ .

## 3 *d*-Cube Decompositions of $K_n$

In this section we examine  $Q_d$ -decompositions of the complete graph  $K_n$ . Kotzig [8] proved the following results concerning  $Q_d$ -decompositions of  $K_n$ :

(3.1) If d is even and there is a  $Q_d$ -decomposition of  $K_n$ , then  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d2^d}$ .

(3.2) If d is odd and there is a  $Q_d$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  then either (a)  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d2^d}$  or

(b) 
$$n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^a}$$
 and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ .

(3.3) There is a  $Q_d$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d2^d}$ .

The consequence of result (3.3) is that the necessary conditions described in (3.1) and (3.2) are sufficient whenever n is odd (and hence, whenever d is even). However, if d is odd and n is even, then (3.2) tells us that

 $(3.4) \quad n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^d} \text{ and }$ 

 $(3.5) \quad n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}.$ 

But Kotzig's results do not tell us if these conditions are sufficient. For a given d (odd), equations (3.4) and (3.5) have solution

$$n \equiv a_d \pmod{d2^d} \tag{(*)}$$

for a unique  $a_d$  with  $0 \le a_d < d2^d$ .

We now consider the question of whether or not (\*) is sufficient for the existence of a  $Q_d$ -decomposition of  $K_n$ . This problem had been mentioned previously in the literature, see [5, 9]. It is known that for sufficiently large n,  $K_n$  can be decomposed into *d*-cubes whenever (\*) is satisfied (see [16]) and it is known that there is a  $Q_3$ decomposition of  $K_{16}$  (see [9]).

**Theorem 3.1** Let r, a and d be any positive integers with  $d \ge 2$  and let  $n = rd2^d + a$ . Then there is a  $Q_d$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  if there are  $Q_d$ -decompositions of  $K_a$  and  $K_{d2^d,a-1}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_a\}$  and let  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_r$  be mutually disjoint sets of size  $d2^d$  such that  $V_i \cap A = \emptyset$  for all *i*. For each  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$  with i < j, let  $C_{i,j}$  be a  $Q_d$ -decomposition of  $K_{d2^d,d2^d}$  where the bipartition is  $(V_i, V_j)$  (which exists for all positive integers *d* by Theorem 1.2). For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ , let  $C_i$  be a  $Q_d$ -decomposition of  $K_{d2^d+1}$  (which exists for all  $d \ge 2$ , see [8]) where the vertex set of  $K_{d2^d+1}$  is  $V_i \cup \{x_1\}$  and let  $D_i$  be a  $Q_d$ -decomposition of  $K_{d2^d,a-1}$  where the bipartition is  $(V_i, \{x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_a\})$ . Let *B* be a  $Q_d$ -decomposition of  $K_a$  where the vertex set of  $K_a$  is *A*.

Then, C is a  $Q_d$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  where

$$C = \left(\bigcup_{i,j \in \{1,2,\dots,r\}, i < j} C_{i,j}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} C_{i}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} D_{i}\right) \cup B$$

and the vertex set of  $K_n$  is V with  $V = (\bigcup_{i=1}^r V_i) \cup A$ .

For d = 3, the conditions of the theorem are satisfied since there is a  $Q_3$ -decomposition of  $K_{16}$  (see [9]) and of  $K_{15,24}$  by Theorem 2.1. Therefore:

Corollary 3.1 There is a  $Q_3$ -decomposition of  $K_n$  if and only if  $n \equiv 1$  or 16 (mod 24).

### References

 J. -C. Bermond and D. Sotteau, Graph decompositions and G-designs. Congr. Numer. 15 (1975) 53-72.

- [2] S. I. El-Zanati, M. J. Plantholt, S. K. Tipnis and C. L. Vanden Eynden, On graceful graphs, preprint.
- [3] R. L. Graham and H. O. Pollak, On embedding graphs in squashed cubes. Graph Theory and Applications, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 303, Springer New York (1973) 99-110.
- [4] M. Hall Jr., Combinatorial Theory, Blaisdell Waltham MA (1967).
- [5] F. Harary and R. W. Robinson, Isomorphic facorizations X: Unsolved problems. J. Graph Theory 9 (1985) 67-86.
- [6] Q. Huang, On the decomposition of K<sub>n</sub> into complete m-partite graphs. J. Graph Theory 15 (1991) 1-6.
- [7] C. C. Lindner and C. A. Rodger, Decompositions into cycles II: Cycle systems. Contemporary Design Theory (J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson, eds.) John Wiley New York (1992) 325-369.
- [8] A. Kotzig, Decompositions of complete graphs into isomorphic cubes. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 31 (1981) 292-296.
- [9] A. Kotzig, Selected open problems in graph theory, Graph Theory and Related Topics, Academic Press New York (1979) 258-267.
- [10] G. Ringel, Problem 25, Theory of Graphs and its Applications (M. Fiedler, ed.), Academic Press, New York (1964) 162.
- [11] C. A. Rodger, Graph decompositions, Le Matematiche 45 (1990) 119-140.
- [12] A. Rosa, On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, Théorie des graphes: Journées internationales d'étude, Rome, 1966 Dunod Paris (1967) 258-267.
- [13] S. Ruiz, Isomorphic decompositions of complete graphs into linear forests. J. Graph Theory 9 (1985) 189-191.
- [14] D. Sotteau, Decomposition of  $K_{m,n}$  ( $K_{m,n}^*$ ) into cycles (circuits) of length 2k. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 30 (1981) 75-81.
- [15] H. Tverberg, On the decomposition of  $K_n$  into complete bipartite graphs. J. Graph Theory 6 (1982) 493-494.
- [16] R. Wilson, Decompositions of complete graphs into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph. Congr. Numer. 15 (1976) 647-659.

(Received 1/6/93)