

Some conditions for n -extendable graphs

Dingjun Lou

Department of Computer Science
Zhongshan University
Guangzhou
People's Republic of China

Abstract

A Tutte style necessary and sufficient condition for n -extendable graphs is proposed. Let G be a connected graph and let u and v be two vertices of G such that $d_G(u, v) = 2$. We define divergence $\alpha^*(u, v)$ as follows: $I_{u, v}(w) = \max\{|S| \mid w \in N_G(u) \cap N_G(v), S \text{ is an independent set containing } u \text{ and } v \text{ in } G[\langle w \rangle \cup N_G(w)]\}$ and $\alpha^*(u, v) = \max_w\{I_{u, v}(w) \mid w \in N_G(u) \cap N_G(v)\}$. It is proved that if for any two vertices u and v of G such that $d_G(u, v) = 2$, $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \geq \alpha^*(u, v) + 2n - 1$ and if G has even order, then G is n -extendable. It is also proved that if for each v in $V(G)$, $G' = G[\langle v \rangle \cup N_G(v)]$ and $\kappa(G') \geq \alpha(G') + 2n - 1$ and if G has even order, then G is n -extendable.

1. Introduction and terminology

All graphs in this paper are finite, undirected, connected and simple.

Plummer [6] introduced the concept of n -extendable graphs. Let G be a graph on ν vertices with a perfect matching. Let n be a positive integer such that $n \leq (\nu-2)/2$. G is said to be n -extendable if there are n independent edges in G and any n independent edges of G are contained in a perfect matching of G .

Let G be a connected graph. For a pair of vertices u and v of G , we use $N(u,v)$ to denote $N_G(u) \cap N_G(v)$ and $n(u,v)$ to denote $|N(u,v)|$. Let u and v be two vertices of G such that $d_G(u,v) = 2$. We define divergence $\alpha^*(u,v)$ as following:

$$I_{u,v}(w) = \max\{ |S| \mid w \in N(u,v), S \text{ is an independent set containing } u \text{ and } v \text{ in } G[\langle w \rangle \cup N_G(w)] \}$$
$$\text{and } \alpha^*(u,v) = \max_w \{ I_{u,v}(w) \mid w \in N(u,v) \}.$$

We use $\omega(G)$ to denote the number of components of G . And we use $\alpha(G)$ to denote the number of odd components of G .

All terminology and notation not defined in this paper are from [1].

In [2], [3] and [4], Holton and Lou showed that when the connectivity is large, line graphs, power graphs and total graphs are n -extendable. This shows that a locally

dense graph is n -extendable under some conditions. In [5], Lou showed that a locally dense graph is Hamiltonian (and furthermore, pancyclic). Ronghua Shi [7] introduced a local condition for Hamiltonian graphs by using the concept of divergence. However, n -extendable graphs and Hamiltonian graphs have many similar properties. In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for n -extendable graphs which is a Shi's type condition. This condition implies a result of Plummer that for a connected graph G with even order, if $\delta(G) \geq \nu/2 + n$, then G is n -extendable.

First, we introduce a Tutte style necessary and sufficient condition for n -extendable graphs which is an immediate corollary of a result of Plummer (see [6]).

2. A necessary and sufficient condition for n -extendable graphs

In this section, we give a Tutte style necessary and sufficient condition for n -extendable graphs. It is an immediate corollary of the following lemma.

Lemma 1 Let ν and n be integers with $n \geq 2$, ν even and $\nu \geq 2n+2$. Let G be a graph with ν vertices. Then if G is n -extendable, it is also $(n-1)$ -extendable.

Proof See [6]. □

Theorem 2 A graph G is n -extendable if and only if for any $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\alpha(G-S) \leq |S| - 2d$, where $d = \min \langle \text{ind}(S), n \rangle$ and $\text{ind}(S)$ is the maximum number of independent edges in $G[S]$.

Proof Suppose G is n -extendable. Let S be any subset of $V(G)$. Let $d = \min \langle \text{ind}(S), n \rangle$. By Lemma 1, G is d -extendable. Let $e_i = u_i v_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, d$) be d independent edges in $G[S]$. Let $G' = G - \langle u_i, v_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, d \rangle$. By the d -extendability of G , G' has a perfect matching. Let $S' = S - \langle u_i, v_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, d \rangle$. Then $\alpha(G'-S') = \alpha(G-S) \leq |S'| = |S| - 2d$, as required.

Conversely, suppose that for any $S \subseteq V(G)$, $\alpha(G-S) \leq |S| - 2d$, where $d = \min \langle \text{ind}(S), n \rangle$. Let $e_i = u_i v_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be any n independent edges of G . Let $G' = G - \langle u_i, v_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$. For any $S' \subseteq V(G')$, let $S = S' \cup \langle u_i, v_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$, then $\alpha(G'-S') = \alpha(G-S) \leq |S| - 2n = |S'|$. So G' has a perfect matching. Hence G is n -extendable. \square

3. Local conditions for n -extendable graphs

In this section, we introduce some sufficient local conditions for n -extendable graphs. This type of condition was first introduced by Ronghua Shi for Hamiltonian graphs. We first give a connectivity result.

Lemma 3 Let G be a connected graph. If $n(u,v) \geq \alpha^*(u,v) + k - 1$ for any two vertices u and v of G such that $d_G(u,v) = 2$, then G is $(k+1)$ -connected ($k \geq 1$).

Proof Suppose that G is not $(k+1)$ -connected. Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ be a minimum vertex cutset such that $|S| \leq k$. Assume C_1 and C_2 are two components of $G-S$. Let $w \in S$. By the minimality of $|S|$, w is adjacent to a vertex u in C_1 and a vertex v in C_2 . Then $d_G(u,v) = 2$. So $n(u,v) \geq \alpha^*(u,v) + k - 1$. But $N(u,v) \subseteq S$ and $\alpha^*(u,v) \geq 2$. Hence $|S| \geq \alpha^*(u,v) + k - 1 \geq k+1$, a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4 Let G be a connected graph and let $k \geq 0$. If $n(u,v) \geq \alpha^*(u,v) + k$ for any two vertices u and v of G such that $d_G(u,v) = 2$, then $\omega(G-S) \leq |S| - k$ for all cutsets $S \subseteq V(G)$.

Proof Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ be a vertex cutset of G . By Lemma 3, $|S| \geq k+2$. Let $|S| = s$, $\omega(G-S) = t$ and C_1, C_2, \dots, C_t be the components of $G-S$. Let $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s\}$ and k_i be the number of components in $G-S$ which are adjacent to v_i . Without loss of generality, assume that $k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \leq k_s$.

Let $k_{m_j} = \max \{k_i \mid v_i \text{ is adjacent to } C_j \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq s\}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, t$). Without loss of generality, assume $k_{m_1} \leq k_{m_2} \leq \dots \leq k_{m_t}$.

We choose $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $|S| - k - \omega(G-S)$ is as small

as possible.

Claim 1 There is no k_i such that $k_i \leq 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$).

Suppose this is not the case and $k_i \leq 1$ for some i . We use $S' = S - \langle v_i \rangle$ to replace S . Then $\omega(G-S') \geq \omega(G-S)$. But $|S'| = |S| - 1$. So $|S'| - k - \omega(G-S') < |S| - k - \omega(G-S)$, a contradiction to the choice of S .

If v_j is adjacent to a vertex u in component C and a vertex v in component C' , by the hypothesis of the theorem, u and v have at least $k_j + k$ common neighbours in S . Therefore, if v_j is adjacent to at least two components of $G-S$, then all components adjacent to v_j each have at least $k_j + k$ neighbours in S . Consider all vertices in S adjacent to a component C_i , then C_i has at least $k_{m_i} + k$ neighbours in S by the definition of k_{m_i} . For the convenience of explanation, if a vertex in S is adjacent to k components of $G-S$, then we say that it sends k edges to the components. If a component C of $G-S$ has k neighbours in S , then we say that C sends k edges to S . Now the vertices in S send $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_s$ edges to the components of $G-S$. And the components of $G-S$ send at least $(k_{m_1} + k) + (k_{m_2} + k) + \dots + (k_{m_t} + k)$ edges to S . So we have

$$k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_s \geq (k_{m_1} + k) + (k_{m_2} + k) + \dots + (k_{m_t} + k) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{and so } \sum_{i=1}^t k_i + \sum_{j=t+1}^s k_j \geq \sum_{i=1}^t k_{m_i} + tk \quad (2)$$

Claim 2 $\sum_{i=1}^t k_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^t k_{m_i}$

By induction, we shall prove that $k_{m_i} \geq k_i$ ($i = 1, 2,$

\dots, t). Then the claim holds. By the definition of k_{m_i} ,

$$k_{m_1} \geq k_1.$$

Assume that $k_{m_i} \geq k_i$ for all $i < j$. Now $i = j$. If

there is a component $C_p \in \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_j\}$ such that C_p is adjacent to v_q for some $q \geq j$, then $k_{m_j} \geq k_{m_p} \geq k_q \geq k_j$.

Otherwise, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_j are only adjacent to v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{j-1} . Then $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_{j-1} \geq (k_{m_1} + k) + (k_{m_2} + k) +$

$\dots + (k_{m_j} + k)$. By the induction hypothesis, $k_{m_i} \geq k_i$ ($i =$

$1, 2, \dots, j-1$) and $k_{m_j} \geq 1$. So $k_{m_1} + k_{m_2} + \dots + k_{m_{j-1}} + k_{m_j}$

$> k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_{j-1}$, a contradiction.

Hence we have that $k_{m_j} \geq k_j$.

By (2) and Claim 2, $\sum_{j=t+1}^s k_j \geq tk$ (3)

But there are at most t components adjacent to v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s respectively. Hence

$$k_i \leq t \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, s) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{By (3) and (4), } (s-t)t \geq \sum_{j=t+1}^s k_j \geq tk \quad (5)$$

By (5), we have $s-t \geq k$. Therefore $t \leq s-k$. So

$$\omega(G-S) \leq |S|-k. \quad \square$$

Corollary 5 Let G be a connected graph with even order.

If $n(u, v) \geq \alpha^*(u, v) + 2n - 1$ for any two vertices u and v of G such that $d_G(u, v) = 2$, then G is n -extendable.

Proof Suppose G is not n -extendable. There are n independent edges $e_i = u_i v_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) such that $G - \{u_i, v_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ has no perfect matching. Let $G' = G - \{u_i, v_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. By Tutte's Theorem, there is a set $S' \subseteq V(G')$ such that $o(G'-S') > |S'|$. In fact, $o(G'-S') \geq |S'| + 2$. Let $S = S' \cup \{u_i, v_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $\omega(G-S) = \omega(G'-S') \geq o(G'-S') \geq |S'| + 2 = |S| - 2n + 2$. But by Theorem 4, $\omega(G-S) \leq |S| - (2n-1) = |S| - 2n + 1$, a contradiction. \square

Next we shall give another kind of local density condition for n -extendable graphs which concerns the induced subgraph on each vertex of G and its neighbours.

Theorem 6 Let G be a connected graph. For any $v \in V(G)$, let $G' = G[\langle v \rangle \cup N_G(v)]$ and suppose that for any

two nonadjacent vertices $u, w \in V(G')$, $d_G(u) + d_G(w) \geq d_G(v) + 1 + k$. Then for any two vertices u and v at distance 2 in G apart, $n(u, v) \geq \alpha^*(u, v) + k$ ($k \geq 0$).

Proof Let u and v be two vertices in $V(G)$ such that $d_G(u, v) = 2$, and $w \in N(u, v)$. Then $u, v \in N(w)$. So u and v are two nonadjacent vertices in $G' = G[\langle w \rangle \cup N_G(w)]$. By the hypothesis of this theorem, $d_G(u) + d_G(v) \geq d_G(w) + 1 + k$.

Let $n'(u, v) = |N_G(u) \cap N_G(v)|$. Let $\alpha'(u, v)$ be the order of the maximum independent vertex set in G' which contains u and v .

There are at most $(d_G(w) + 1 - 2) - (\alpha'(u, v) - 2)$ vertices adjacent to either u or v in G' . So $n(u, v) \geq n'(u, v) \geq [d_G(w) + 1 + k] - [(d_G(w) + 1 - 2) - (\alpha'(u, v) - 2)] = \alpha'(u, v) + k$.

But w can be any vertex in $N(u, v)$. Hence $n(u, v) \geq \alpha^*(u, v) + k$. □

Corollary 7 Let G be a connected graph with even order. For any vertex v in $V(G)$, let $G' = G[\langle v \rangle \cup N_G(v)]$ and suppose that for any two nonadjacent vertices u and w in $V(G')$,

$$d_G(u) + d_G(w) \geq d_G(v) + 2n.$$

Then G is n -extendable.

Proof By Theorem 6, for any two vertices u and v in $V(G)$ of distance 2, $n(u, v) \geq \alpha^*(u, v) + 2n - 1$. By Coro-

llary 5, G is n -extendable. \square

Corollary 5 also implies a result of Plummer. The following corollary is due to Plummer [6].

Corollary 8 Let G be a connected graph of even order. If $\delta(G) \geq \nu/2 + n$, then G is n -extendable.

Proof Let $u, v \in V(G)$ such that $d_G(u, v) = 2$. Let w be a vertex in $N(u, v)$. By the hypothesis, $d_G(u) + d_G(v) \geq \nu + 2n$. Let $\alpha(u, v)$ be the order of the maximum independent vertex set in G which contains u and v . Then there are at most $(\nu - 2) - (\alpha(u, v) - 2)$ vertices adjacent to u or v in G . So

$$\begin{aligned} n(u, v) &\geq [\nu + 2n] - [(\nu - 2) - (\alpha(u, v) - 2)] \\ &= \alpha(u, v) + 2n \\ &\geq \alpha^*(u, v) + 2n \\ &> \alpha^*(u, v) + 2n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 5, G is n -extendable. \square

Now we introduce another kind of local condition for n -extendable graphs concerning the local connectivity and local independence number. The proof of the following theorem is the same as in that of Theorem 4. We use $\kappa(G)$ to denote the connectivity of G and $\alpha(G)$ to denote the independence number of G .

Theorem 9 Let G be a connected graph and let $k \geq 0$. For any $v \in V(G)$, let $G' = G[\langle v \rangle \cup N_G(v)]$ and suppose that $\kappa(G') \geq \alpha(G') + k$. Then $\omega(G-S) \leq |S| - k$ for any cutset $S \subseteq V(G)$.

Corollary 10 Let G be a connected graph. For any $v \in V(G)$, let $G' = G[\langle v \rangle \cup N_G(v)]$ and suppose that $\kappa(G') \geq \alpha(G') + 2n - 1$. Then G is n -extendable.

Proof By Theorem 9 and the same argument as in Corollary 5, the result follows. \square

4. Concluding remarks

Now we give some remarks to show the sharpness of the results in this paper.

Remark 1 The bound of $n(u,v)$ in Lemma 3 is sharp. Let $H = K_k$ and let u and v be two vertices not in $V(H)$. We construct G by joining each of u and v to all vertices of H . Then $n(u,v) \geq \alpha^*(u,v) + k - 2$. But G is only k -connected.

Remark 2 The bound of $n(u,v)$ in Corollary 5 is sharp.

Let $H = K_{2n}$ and let u and v be two vertices not in VCH . We construct G by joining each of u and v to all vertices of H . Then we have only two vertices of distance 2 and $n(u,v) \geq \alpha^*(u,v) + 2n - 2$. Let $VCH = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{2n}\}$. Then $e_i = u_{2i-1}u_{2i}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) do not lie in any perfect matching of G . The above graph also shows the sharpness of the bound of the degree sum in Corollary 7. And it also shows the sharpness of the bound on connectivity in Corollary 10.

Remark 3 Corollary 5 and Corollary 10 include many n -extendable graphs which Corollary 8 cannot describe. Let $H_i = K_{2n+1}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and m is a positive even integer not less than 2). Let $G = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_m \cup \{uv \mid u \in VCH_i \text{ and } v \in VCH_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$. Then G satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 5 and Corollary 10 and G is n -extendable. But no vertex in G has degree at least $\nu/2 + n$ when m is sufficiently large.

Remark 4 The local conditions in Corollary 5 and Corollary 10 cannot imply each other.

Let $H = (U, V)$ be a $K_{m,m}$, where $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ and $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$. Let H_1 be a K_{2n} with vertex set $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2n}\}$ and H_2 be a K_{2n} with vertex set $\{x_1, x_2,$

\dots, x_{2n} . Let $G = H \cup H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \{u_i w_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq 2n\} \cup \{v_i x_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq 2n\} \cup \{w_i x_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2n, 1 \leq j \leq 2n\}$. Then G satisfies that $n(u, v) \geq \alpha^*(u, v) + 2n - 1$ for any two vertices u and v of G such that $d_G(u, v) = 2$. But consider $G' = G[\langle v_1 \rangle \cup N_G(v_1)]$. $\kappa(G') \geq \alpha(G') + 2n - 1$ doesn't hold.

Let H be a K_{4n+1} with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{4n+1}\}$. Let u_1 and u_2 be two vertices not in $V(H)$. Let $G = H \cup \{u_1, u_2\} \cup \{u_1 v_1, u_2 v_1\} \cup \{u_1 v_i \mid i = 2, 3, \dots, 2n+1\} \cup \{u_2 v_j \mid j = 2n+2, 2n+3, \dots, 4n+1\}$. Then G satisfies that for any $v \in V(G)$, $\kappa(G[\langle v \rangle \cup N_G(v)]) \geq \alpha(G[\langle v \rangle \cup N_G(v)]) + 2n - 1$. But $d_G(u_1, u_2) = 2$ and u_1 and u_2 have only one common neighbour.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work in this paper was supported by Bridging Grant DFO-860 of University of Otago and the Research Foundation of the State Education Commission of China for Scholars Who Studied Abroad.

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph theory with applications, Macmillan Press, London (1976).

- [2] D. A. Holton and Dingjun Lou, N -extendability of line graphs, 1990, (submitted).
- [3] D. A. Holton and Dingjun Lou, Matching extension of power graphs, 1990, (submitted).
- [4] D. A. Holton and Dingjun Lou, N -extendability of total graphs, 1990, (submitted).
- [5] Dingjun Lou, Local density condition for cycles, 1991, (submitted).
- [6] M. D. Plummer, On n -extendable graphs, *Discrete Math.* 31 (1980), 201-210.
- [7] Ronghua Shi, Divergence and Hamiltonian graphs, 1991, (submitted).

(Received 6/1/93)