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#### Abstract

Let $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ be the strong product of two paths $P_{m}$ and $P_{n}$. In 2013, Klešč et al. conjectured that the crossing number of $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ is equal to $(m-1)(n-1)-4$ for $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 4$. In this paper we show that the above conjecture is true except when $m=4$ and $n=4$, and that the crossing number of $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{4}$ is four.


## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ and $H$ be two disjoint graphs. The strong product $G \boxtimes H$ of $G$ and $H$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and edge set $\{(u, v)(x, y): u=x$ and $v y \in$ $E(H)$, or $v=y$ and $u x \in E(G)$, or $u x \in E(G)$ and $v y \in E(H)\}$.

Suppose that $j$ is a positive integer. Let $P_{j}$ be a path with $j$ vertices. In 2013, Klešč et al. [1] firstly studied the crossing number of the strong product of two graphs. They showed that the crossing number of $P_{3} \boxtimes P_{n}$ is equal to $n-3$ if $n \geq 3$, and they established

Lemma 1.1[1] The crossing number of $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ is at most $(m-1)(n-1)-4$ for $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 4$.

Subsequently, Klešč et al. conjectured that the crossing number of $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ is equal to $(m-1)(n-1)-4$ for $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 4$. In this paper we shall show that the above conjecture is true except for $m=4$ and $n=4$, and that the crossing number of $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{4}$ is four.

The arrangement of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give some lemmas and show that the crossing number of a subgraph of $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{5}$ is at least eight. In

[^0]Section 3, we first show using induction on $n$ that the crossing number of a subgraph $W_{4, n}$ of $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{n}$ is equal to $3(n-1)-4$ for $n \geq 5$. Then we prove using induction on $m$ that the crossing number of a subgraph $W_{m, n}$ of $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ is equal to $(m-1)(n-1)-4$ for $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 4$ and $(m, n) \neq(4,4)$. Subsequently, we determine the crossing number of $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ when $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 4$ and $(m, n) \neq(4,4)$. In Section 4 we show that the crossing number of $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{4}$ is four.

The rest of this section is contributed to some terminology for crossing numbers and graph theory.

Let $G$ be a graph. By a drawing of $G$, we mean a drawing of $G$ in the plane in which: no edge has a vertex as an interior point; no two adjacent edges cross each other; no two edges cross each other more than once; and no three edges cross in a common point.

Suppose that $\Phi$ is a drawing of a graph. The number of edge crossings in $\Phi$ is denoted by $\operatorname{cr}(\Phi)$. The crossing number of a graph $G$, denoted by $\operatorname{cr}(G)$, is the minimum number of edges crossings over all drawings of $G$. A drawing $\Psi$ of $G$ is optimal if $\operatorname{cr}(\Psi)=\operatorname{cr}(G)$.

A graph $G^{\prime}$ is a subdivision of $G$ if $G^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $G$ or $G^{\prime}$ can be obtained from $G$ by inserting vertices of degree two in some edges. Obviously, $\operatorname{cr}\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{cr}(G)$ if $G^{\prime}$ is a subdivision of $G$. A graph $H$ is a minor of $G$ if $H$ is isomorphic to a graph obtained from a subgraph $F$ of $G$ by contracting some edges in $E(F)$. A vertex of $G$ is called a branch vertex if its degree is at least three in $G$. The complete graph with $n$ vertices is denoted by $K_{n}$.

By Kuratowski's theorem [2], a graph is planar if and only if it contains no subdivision of either the complete graph $K_{5}$ or the complete bipartite graph $K_{3,3}$. Hence, if a graph $G$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $K_{5}$ or $K_{3,3}$, then $\operatorname{cr}(G) \geq 1$.

## 2 Basic lemmas and the crossing number of the graph in Figure 10

Let $P_{m}=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{m}$ and $P_{n}=z_{1} z_{2} \ldots z_{n}$ be two paths, where $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 4$. For brevity, the vertex $\left(u_{i}, z_{j}\right)$ in $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ is labeled by $w_{i, j}$. It is easy to find that there are many induced subgraphs in $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ such that each is isomorphic to $K_{4}$. For example, the graph $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{5}$ has twelve induced subgraphs in which each is isomorphic to $K_{4}$. But $K_{4}$ is a planar graph. In order to give a lower bound for the crossing number of $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$, we need a nonplanar graph which contains $K_{4}$ as subgraph. We shall define this graph in next paragraph. The drawing of $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{5}$ shown in Figure 1 can be generalized to obtain a drawing of $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ with $(m-1)(n-1)-4$ crossings.

Let $T_{6}$ be the graph shown in Figure 2. Obviously, $T_{6}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$. So $\operatorname{cr}\left(T_{6}\right) \geq 1$. It is easy to find that there are many subgraphs in $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ such that each is isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$.


Figure $1 \quad$ The graph $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{5}$


Figure 2 The graph $T_{6}$
Lemma 2.1 Let $F$ be a graph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$. Let $Q$ be a subgraph of $F$ which is isomorphic to a subdivision of $K_{4}$. Then at least one edge of $Q$ is crossed in any drawing of $F$.

Proof Suppose that $\Phi$ is a drawing of $F$. Since $F$ is isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}, \Phi$ has at least one crossing.

Suppose that $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ and $v_{4}$ are the four branch vertices of $Q$. If some edge of $Q$ is crossed by some other edge of $Q$, then we have the desired result. Otherwise, the drawing of $\Phi$ restricted in $Q$ divides the plane into four regions in which one is unbounded and its closure contains three branch vertices of $Q$. Also, the boundary of each of the other three regions contains three branch vertices of $Q$. Let $F^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $F$ by deleting all edges in $E(Q)$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is a connected graph. Suppose that $v_{5}$ and $v_{6}$ are the other two branch vertices of $F$. By the Jordan Curve Theorem, no matter which regions $v_{5}$ and $v_{6}$ are in, at least one edge of $Q$ is crossed by some edge in $F^{\prime}$.


Figure 3 The graph $H_{1}$

Lemma 2.2 Let $H_{1}$ be the graph shown in Figure 3. Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{1}\right)=2$.
Proof Let $Q$ be the subgraph of $H_{1}$ induced by the four vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$. Then $Q$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$. It is easy to find that $H_{1}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to $T_{6}$ which contains $Q$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge in $Q$ has at least one crossing in any drawing of $H_{1}$. For any edge $e$ in $Q$, it can be checked that $H_{1}-e$ has a minor isomorphic to $K_{5}$. So $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{1}\right) \geq \operatorname{cr}\left(K_{5}\right)+1 \geq 2$. Also, Figure 3 exhibits a drawing of $H_{1}$ with two crossings. Hence $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{1}\right)=2$.


Figure 4 Three graphs $H_{2}, H_{3}$ and $H_{4}$
Lemma 2.3 Let $H_{2}$ be the graph shown in Figure 4(1). Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{2}\right) \geq 3$.
Proof Suppose that $\Phi$ is an optimal drawing of $H_{2}$. Let $Q$ be the subgraph of $H_{2}$ induced by the four vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, and $x_{4}$. Clearly, $Q$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$, and $H_{2}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge $e$ in $Q$ has at least one crossing in $\Phi$. If $e$ is some edge in $E(Q) \backslash\left\{x_{2} x_{3}, x_{2} x_{4}, x_{3} x_{4}\right\}$, it is easy to find that $H_{2}-e$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{1}$. If $e$ is $x_{2} x_{3}$ or $x_{2} x_{4}$, then $H_{2}-e$ contains the path $x_{1} x_{2} x_{8}$ and the path $x_{3} x_{1} x_{4}$. So $H_{2}-e$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $H_{1}$. If $e$ is the edge $x_{3} x_{4}$, then $H_{2}-e$ contains the path $x_{3} x_{1} x_{4}$ and the path $x_{3} x_{2} x_{4}$. Hence $H_{2}-e$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $H_{1}$. So $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{2}\right) \geq \operatorname{cr}\left(H_{1}\right)+1 \geq 3$.

Lemma 2.4 Let $H_{3}$ be the graph shown in Figure 4(2). Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{3}\right) \geq 3$.
Proof Let $Q$ be the subgraph of $H_{3}$ induced by the four vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, and $x_{4}$. Proceeding the similar argument to that in the proof of Lemma 2.3, one can show that $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{3}\right) \geq 3$.

Lemma 2.5 Let $H_{4}$ be the graph shown in Figure 4(3). Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{4}\right) \geq 3$.
Proof Suppose that $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{4}\right)=k$, and that $\Phi$ is an optimal drawing of $H_{4}$.
For $i=1,2,3$, let $Q_{i}$ be the subgraph of $H_{4}$ induced by the four vertices $x_{2 i-1}$, $x_{2 i}, x_{2 i+1}$ and $x_{2 i+2}$. Then each $Q_{i}$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$. It is easy to find that $H_{4}$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q_{1}$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge $e_{1}$ in $Q_{1}$ has at least one crossing in $\Phi$. Let $\Phi_{1}^{\prime}$ be the drawing obtained from $\Phi$ by deleting $e_{1}$. Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(\Phi_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq k-1$. It is easy to find that $H_{4}-e_{1}$ contains a subgraph which is isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q_{3}$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge $e_{2}$ in $Q_{3}$ has at least one crossing in $\Phi_{1}^{\prime}$. Let $\Phi_{2}^{\prime}$ be the drawing obtained from $\Phi_{1}^{\prime}$ by deleting $e_{2}$. Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(\Phi_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq k-2$.

We observe that $H_{4}-e_{1}-e_{2}$ has the following properties.
(1) It contains the path $x_{3} x_{1} x_{4}$ or $x_{3} x_{2} x_{4}$.
(2) It contains the path $x_{5} x_{7} x_{6}$ or $x_{5} x_{8} x_{6}$.

Without loss of generality, suppose that $H_{4}-e_{1}-e_{2}$ contains the path $x_{3} x_{1} x_{4}$. If $H_{4}-e_{1}-e_{2}$ contains $x_{5} x_{7} x_{6}$, then $H_{4}-e_{1}-e_{2}$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$ if the cycle $x_{3} x_{5} x_{4} x_{6} x_{3}$ is considered. Otherwise, $H_{4}-e_{1}-e_{2}$ contains $x_{5} x_{8} x_{6}$. Moreover, $H_{4}-e_{1}-e_{2}$ contains $x_{7} x_{8}$. In this case $H_{4}-e_{1}-e_{2}$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $K_{3,3}$ if the cycle $x_{3} x_{5} x_{4} x_{6} x_{3}$ is considered. So $H_{4}-e_{1}-e_{2}$ is nonplanar. Thus, $k \geq 3$.


Figure 5 The graph $H_{5}$

Lemma 2.6 Let $H_{5}$ be the graph shown in Figure 5. Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{5}\right)=4$.
Proof Suppose that $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{5}\right)=k$, and suppose that $\Phi$ is an optimal drawing of $H_{5}$.
Let $Q$ be the induced subgraph of $H_{5}$ by the four vertices $y_{1}, y_{2}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$. Obviously, $Q$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$, and $H_{5}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge $e$ in $Q$ has at least one crossing in $\Phi$. Let $\Phi^{\prime}$ be the drawing obtained from $\Phi$ by deleting $e$. If $e$ is some edge in $\left\{y_{1} x_{2}, y_{1} x_{3}, y_{2} x_{2}, y_{2} x_{3}\right\}$, then $H_{5}-e$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $H_{4}$ defined in Lemma 2.5. Thus, we have that $k \geq 4$ in this case. Otherwise, we consider the edge $y_{1} y_{2}$. If it has at least one crossing in $\Phi$, then we take it as $e$. So $H_{5}-e$ is isomorphic to $H_{2}$ defined in Lemma 2.3. Then $k \geq 4$. If $y_{1} y_{2}$ has not any crossing in $\Phi$, then $e$ is exactly $x_{2} x_{3}$ in $\Phi$. So $H_{5}-e$ is isomorphic to $H_{3}$ defined in Lemma 2.4. So $k \geq 4$. Also, Figure 5 exhibits a drawing of $H_{5}$ with four crossings. Hence $\operatorname{cr}\left(H_{5}\right)=4$.


Figure 6 The graph $G_{1}$

Lemma 2.7 Let $G_{1}$ be the graph shown in Figure 6. Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(G_{1}\right)=7$.
Proof Suppose that $\operatorname{cr}\left(G_{1}\right)=k$, and that $\Psi$ is an optimal drawing of $G_{1}$.
For $i=1,2,3$, let $Q_{i}$ be the induced subgraph of $G_{1}$ by the four vertices $x_{2 i-1}$, $x_{2 i}, x_{2 i+1}$ and $x_{2 i+2}$. Then each $Q_{i}$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$. Moreover, $G_{1}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q_{1}$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge in $Q_{1}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$. We now apply the following operations.
(1) If some edge in $\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{4}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{2} x_{4}\right\}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$, then it is deleted and turn to (4). Otherwise, turn to (2).
(2) Suppose that the edge $x_{1} x_{3}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$. Notice that $x_{2} x_{1}$ and $x_{2} x_{3}$ are not successive if the edges incident with $x_{2}$ are oriented in clockwise or anticlockwise in $\Psi$, otherwise, we redraw $x_{1} x_{3}$ near to the path $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$, obtaining a drawing of $G_{1}$ with at most $k-1$ crossings, a contradiction. Now $x_{1} x_{3}$ is redrawn near to $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ such that it crosses exactly $x_{2} x_{4}$. Delete $x_{2} x_{4}$ and turn to (4). If $x_{1} x_{3}$ has not any crossing in $\Psi$, turn to (3).
(3) The edge $x_{3} x_{4}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$. A similar argument as the one used in (2) shows that we may redraw $x_{3} x_{4}$ near to the path $x_{3} x_{2} x_{4}$ such that it crosses exactly $x_{1} x_{2}$. Delete $x_{1} x_{2}$ and turn to (4).
(4) Let $\Psi_{1}^{\prime}$ be the obtained drawing, and let $G_{1}^{\prime}$ the obtained graph. Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(\Psi_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $k-1$, and $G_{1}^{\prime}$ contains the edge $x_{1} x_{4}$ or the path $x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}$.

Since $G_{1}^{\prime}$ contains the edge $x_{2} x_{8}$, it is easy to find that $G_{1}^{\prime}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q_{3}$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge in $Q_{3}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{1}^{\prime}$. An argument similar to the one used for $Q_{1}$ shows that there is a drawing $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$ which is obtained from $\Psi_{1}^{\prime}$ by deleting some edge $e$ in $E\left(Q_{3}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{5} x_{6}, x_{5} x_{7}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{cr}\left(\Psi_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq k-2$. Let $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G_{1}^{\prime}$ by deleting $e$. Then $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ contains the edge $x_{7} x_{6}$ or the path $x_{7} x_{8} x_{6}$.

Since $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ contains one of $x_{1} x_{4}$ and $x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}$ and one of $x_{7} x_{6}$ and $x_{7} x_{8} x_{6}, G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q_{2}$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge in $Q_{2}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$. We consider two cases.
Case 1: $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ contains one of $x_{1} x_{2}$ and $x_{7} x_{8}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ contains $x_{1} x_{2}$. If some edge in $E\left(Q_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{3} x_{5}\right\}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$, then it is deleted. Otherwise, $x_{3} x_{5}$ must has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$. We now redraw $x_{3} x_{5}$ near to $x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}$. Notice that each of $x_{4} x_{3}$ and $x_{4} x_{5}$ has not any crossing in $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$. But $x_{3} x_{5}$ may crosses some edges in $\left\{x_{4} x_{1}, x_{4} x_{2}, x_{4} x_{6}\right\}$. If this case occur, then we delete those edges. Thus, the new drawing of $x_{3} x_{5}$ has not any crossing. Let $\Psi_{3}^{\prime}$ be the obtained drawing in the above procedure, and let $\bar{G}_{1}$ be the obtained graph. Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(\Psi_{3}^{\prime}\right) \leq k-3$, and $\bar{G}_{1}$ contains one of $x_{1} x_{2} x_{8} x_{7}$ and $x_{1} x_{2} x_{8} x_{6} x_{7}$. Thus $\bar{G}_{1}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of the graph $H_{5}$ defined in Lemma 2.6. So $k-3 \geq 4$. Thus $k \geq 7$.

Case 2: $\quad G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ contains none of $x_{1} x_{2}$ and $x_{7} x_{8}$. In this case, $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is isomorphic to the graph shown in Figure 7(1).


Figure 7 Two graphs defined in Case 2
If some edge in $E\left(Q_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{3} x_{5}\right\}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$, then it is deleted. The graph obtained has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $H_{5}$ defined in Lemma 2.6. Following a similar argument to that in Case 1, we have $k \geq 7$. If not, then $x_{3} x_{5}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$. Since each edge in $E\left(Q_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{3} x_{5}\right\}$ is not crossed, the drawing of $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$ restricted in $E\left(Q_{2}\right)$ is shown in Figure $7(2)$.

We observe that none of $x_{2}$ and $x_{8}$ is in the interior of the region whose boundary is the cycle $x_{3} x_{4} x_{6} x_{3}$. Otherwise, the existence of the path $x_{2} x_{8} x_{5}$ in $G_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, and Jordan Curve Theorem show that one edge of the cycle $x_{3} x_{4} x_{6} x_{3}$ must be crossed. Similarly, none of $x_{2}$ and $x_{8}$ is in the interior of the region whose boundary is the cycle $x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} x_{4}$. Let $F_{1}$ be the region whose boundary is the cycle $x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{3}$, let $F_{2}$ the unbounded region in Figure $7(2)$. If $x_{2}$ and $x_{8}$ are in $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, respectively, then $x_{2} x_{8}$ must intersect $x_{3} x_{5}$. In this case, $x_{2} x_{8}$ is deleted. Clearly, the obtained drawing has at most $k-3$ crossings, and the obtained graph has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $H_{5}$ defined in Lemma 2.6. So $k \geq 7$. If $x_{2}$ and $x_{8}$ are in the same region, there are two cases to consider. If they are in $F_{1}$, then $x_{6} x_{8}$ must intersect $x_{3} x_{5}$. In this case, $x_{6} x_{8}$ is deleted. Then the obtained graph has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $H_{5}$. Thus, $k \geq 7$. If they are in $F_{2}$, then $x_{2} x_{4}$ must intersect $x_{3} x_{5}$. Similarly, we have that $k \geq 7$. Notice that Figure 6 exhibits a drawing of $G_{1}$ with four crossings. Hence $\operatorname{cr}\left(G_{1}\right)=7$.

Lemma 2.8 Let $G_{2}$ be the graph shown in Figure 8. Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(G_{2}\right) \geq 7$.
Proof Suppose that $\operatorname{cr}\left(G_{2}\right)=k$, and that $\Psi$ is an optimal drawing of $G_{2}$.
Let $Q_{1}$ be the subgraph of $G_{2}$ induced by the four vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$. Clearly, $Q_{1}$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$, and $G_{2}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q_{1}$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge in $E\left(Q_{1}\right)$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$. We now apply the operations to $Q_{1}$ which are similar to those in the proof of Lemma 2.7. If some edge in $E\left(Q_{1}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{1} x_{3}, x_{3} x_{4}\right\}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$, then it is deleted. Otherwise, one of $x_{1} x_{3}$ and $x_{3} x_{4}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$. In this case the edge is redrawn and some edge in $\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{4}\right\}$ is deleted if necessary such that at least one crossing is eliminated.

Let $\Psi_{1}^{\prime}$ be the drawing so obtained, and let $G_{2}^{\prime}$ the graph. $\operatorname{Then} \operatorname{cr}\left(\Psi_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq k-1$, and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ contains one of the following three subgraphs.
(a) The path $x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}$.
(b) The path $x_{1} x_{4} x_{2}$.
(c) $\left\{x_{3} x_{2}\right\} \cup\left\{x_{1} x_{4}\right\}$.


Figure 8 The graph $G_{2}$
Let $Q_{2}$ be the induced subgraph of $G_{2}^{\prime}$ by the four vertices $x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{7}$ and $x_{8}$. Obviously, $Q_{2}$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$, and $G_{2}^{\prime}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q_{2}$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge in $E\left(Q_{2}\right)$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{1}^{\prime}$. An argument similar to one used for $Q_{1}$ shows that there is a drawing $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$ obtained form $\Psi_{1}^{\prime}$ by deleting some edge $e$ in $E\left(Q_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{5} x_{6}, x_{5} x_{7}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{cr}\left(\Psi_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq k-2$. Let $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ be the graph obtained. We observe that $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ contains one of the following subgraphs.
(a) The path $x_{7} x_{8} x_{6}$.
(b) The path $x_{7} x_{6} x_{8}$.
(c) $\left\{x_{5} x_{8}\right\} \cup\left\{x_{7} x_{6}\right\}$.

Let $Q_{3}$ be the induced subgraph of $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ by the four vertices $x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}$ and $x_{6}$. Then $Q_{3}$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$, and $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q_{3}$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge in $E\left(Q_{3}\right)$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$. We consider two cases.
Case 1: $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ contains one of $x_{1} x_{2}$ and $x_{7} x_{8}$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ contains the edge $x_{1} x_{2}$. If some edge $e_{1}$ in $E\left(Q_{3}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{3} x_{5}\right\}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$, then the drawing obtained from $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$ by deleting $e_{1}$ has at most $k-3$ crossings. Moreover, $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}-e_{1}$ contains a subdivision of the graph $H_{5}$ defined in Lemma 2.6, since there is a path $x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} x_{6} x_{7}$ or $x_{1} x_{2} y_{1} x_{6} x_{8} x_{7}$ in $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}-e_{1}$. So $k \geq 7$. If not, then $x_{3} x_{5}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$. We now delete edges $x_{4} y_{1}$ and $x_{4} y_{2}$. If $x_{2} x_{4}$ was not removed, then it is deleted. Next, $x_{3} x_{5}$ can be drawn near to the path $x_{3} x_{4} x_{5}$ such that it has at most one crossing. If $x_{3} x_{5}$ has one crossing, then it can be drawn such that it crosses exactly $x_{4} x_{6}$. Thus, the drawing obtained from $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$ by deleting $x_{4} x_{6}$ has at most $k-3$ crossings, and the obtained graph has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of the graph $H_{5}$ defined in Lemma 2.6. So $k \geq 7$. If $x_{3} x_{5}$ has not any crossing, we also let $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$ be the obtained drawing. Clearly, $\operatorname{cr}\left(\Psi_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq k-3$. So $k \geq 7$.

Case 2: $G_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ contains none of $x_{1} x_{2}$ and $x_{7} x_{8}$. In this case, $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ is the graph shown in Figure 9.

If some edge in $E\left(Q_{3}\right) \backslash\left\{x_{3} x_{5}\right\}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$, then it is deleted. The obtained graph has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of the graph $H_{5}$ defined in Lemma 2.6. Hence, $k \geq 7$. Otherwise, $x_{3} x_{5}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$. The drawing of $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$ restricted in $Q_{3}$ is as in Figure 7(2). Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be the regions whose boundaries are $x_{3} x_{4} x_{6} x_{3}$ and $x_{4} x_{5} x_{6} x_{4}$, respectively. Proceeding the similar argument as $x_{2}$ and $x_{8}$ in Case (2) in the proof of Lemma 2.7, none of $x_{1}$ and
$x_{7}$ is in $F_{1}$ or $F_{2}$.


Figure 9 The graph defined in Case 2
Let $J$ be the graph obtained from $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ by deleting $x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{6}, x_{8}, y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$. Then $J$ is connected graph. It is easy to find that there are two internally disjoint paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ from $x_{1}$ to $x_{7}$ in $J$. Let $F_{3}$ be the region whose boundary is the cycle $x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{3}$, and let $F_{4}$ the unbounded region in Figure 7(2).

If $x_{1}$ and $x_{7}$ are in $F_{3}$ and $F_{4}$, respectively, it can be found that $x_{3} x_{5}$ has at least two crossings if $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are considered. We now delete $x_{3} x_{5}$. Then the obtained graph has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of the graph $H_{3}$ defined in Lemma 2.4. So $k \geq 7$.

If $x_{1}$ and $x_{7}$ are in the same region, we consider two cases.
(a) Both $x_{1}$ and $x_{7}$ are in $F_{3}$. Then $x_{6} x_{7}$ must intersect $x_{3} x_{5}$ by Jordan Curve Theorem. If $x_{3} x_{5}$ has at least two crossings, then it is deleted. Considering that the obtained graph has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $H_{3}$, we have that $k \geq 7$. If $x_{3} x_{5}$ has exactly one crossing, then it is produced by $x_{3} x_{5}$ and $x_{6} x_{7}$. We now consider the vertex $x_{8}$. We claim that $x_{8}$ must be in $F_{2}$. For, if $x_{8}$ is in $F_{1}$, then $x_{8} x_{5}$ must cross some edge in the cycle $x_{3} x_{4} x_{6} x_{3}$ by Jordan Curve Theorem. If $x_{8}$ is in $F_{4}$, then the path $x_{8} y_{2} x_{4}$ must cross some edge in the cycle $x_{3} x_{5} x_{6} x_{3}$. If $x_{8}$ is in $F_{3}$, then the edge $x_{8} x_{6}$ must cross some edge in the cycle $x_{3} x_{4} x_{5} x_{3}$. So $x_{8}$ is in $F_{2}$.

We now discuss how many crossings are eliminated after $x_{7} x_{8}$ has been deleted to obtain $G_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. If there are at least two crossings being eliminated, then $\Psi_{2}^{\prime}$ has at most $k-3$ crossings. In this case, we delete $x_{3} x_{5}$. Considering that the obtained graph has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $H_{3}$ defined in Lemma 2.4, we have that $k \geq 7$. If there is exactly one crossing being eliminated, then the crossing must be produced by $x_{7} x_{8}$ and $x_{4} x_{5}$. Now $x_{7} x_{8}$ is added back in the primitive way. Next, $x_{7} x_{6}$ is newly drawn such that it is near to the path $x_{6} x_{8} x_{7}$. We now delete all edges incident with $x_{8}$ other than $x_{8} x_{6}$ and $x_{8} x_{7}$ in the interior of the region $F_{2}$. Then $x_{6} x_{7}$ has exactly one crossing which is produced by $x_{6} x_{7}$ and $x_{4} x_{5}$. Notice that $x_{4} x_{5}$ has at least two crossings in this case. Next, $x_{4} x_{5}$ is deleted. The obtained drawing has at most $k-3$ crossings, and the obtained graph has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $H_{5}$ defined in Lemma 2.6. Hence, we have $k \geq 7$.
(b) Both $x_{1}$ and $x_{7}$ are in $F_{4}$. Then $x_{1} x_{4}$ must intersect $x_{3} x_{5}$. Next, we proceed the similar argument as $x_{6} x_{7}$. The difference are that $x_{8}$ is replaced by $x_{2}$, that $x_{7} x_{8}$ is replaced by $x_{1} x_{2}$, and that $F_{2}$ is replaced by $F_{1}$. So $k \geq 7$.

Lemma 2.9 Let $G_{3}$ be the graph shown in Figure 10. Then $\operatorname{cr}(G) \geq 8$.
Proof Suppose that $\operatorname{cr}\left(G_{3}\right)=k$, and that $\Psi$ is an optimal drawing of $G_{3}$.
Let $Q$ be the subgraph of $G_{3}$ induced by the four vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$. Obviously, $Q$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$, and $G_{3}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge in $E(Q)$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$.


Figure 10 The graph $G_{3}$

If some edge in $\left\{x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{3}, x_{2} x_{4}, x_{3} x_{4}\right\}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$, then the edge is deleted. The obtained graph has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $G_{1}$ defined in Lemma 2.7. So $k \geq 8$. Otherwise, we consider $x_{2} x_{3}$. If $x_{2} x_{3}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$, then it is deleted. The obtained graph is isomorphic to $G_{2}$ defined in Lemma 2.8. Thus, we have that $k \geq 8$. If not, then $x_{1} x_{4}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$. If we can redraw $x_{1} x_{4}$ so that it is not crossed, then we obtain a drawing of $G_{3}$ with less crossings that in $\Psi$, a contradiction. Otherwise, $x_{1} x_{4}$ can be redrawn such that it crosses exactly $x_{2} x_{3}$. Since the graph obtained from $G_{3}$ by deleting $x_{2} x_{3}$ is isomorphic to $G_{2}$, we have $k \geq 8$.

## 3 The crossing number of $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ for $m \geq 4, n \geq 4$ and $(m, n) \neq(4,4)$

Let $W_{m, n}$ be the graph obtained from $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ by deleting the four vertices $w_{1,1}$, $w_{1, n}, w_{m, 1}$ and $w_{m, n}$.

Lemma $3.1 \quad c r\left(W_{4, n}\right) \geq 3(n-1)-4$ for $n \geq 5$.
Proof We use the induction on $n$. If $n=5$, then $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{4, n}\right) \geq 8$, since $W_{4, n}$ is isomorphic to the graph defined in Lemma 2.9.

Assume that $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{4, t}\right) \geq 3(t-1)-4$, where $t \geq 5$. Suppose that $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{4, t+1}\right)=k$, and that $\Pi$ is an optimal drawing of $W_{4, t+1}$. Let $Q_{1}$ be the subgraph of $W_{4, t+1}$ induced by the four vertices $w_{1, t-1}, w_{2, t-1}, w_{1, t}$ and $w_{2, t}$. Obviously, $Q_{1}$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$, and $W_{4, t+1}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q_{1}$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge in $Q_{1}$ has at least one crossing in $\Pi$. We now apply the following operations which are similar to that in the proof of Lemma 2.7.
(1) If some edge in $E\left(Q_{1}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{1, t-1} w_{2, t-1}, w_{2, t-1} w_{2, t}\right\}$ has at least one crossing in $\Pi$,
then it is deleted and turn to (4). Otherwise, turn to (2).
(2) Suppose that the edge $w_{1, t-1} w_{2, t-1}$ has at least one crossing in $\Pi$. We can redraw $w_{1, t-1} w_{2, t-1}$ near to the path $w_{1, t-1} w_{1, t} w_{2, t-1}$ such that it has at most one crossing. If the new drawing of $w_{1, t-1} w_{2, t-1}$ has no crossing, then a drawing of $W_{4, t+1}$ with at most $k-1$ crossings is obtained, a contradiction. Otherwise, $w_{1, t-1} w_{2, t-1}$ can be redrawn near to $w_{1, t-1} w_{2, t-1}$ such that it crosses exactly $w_{1, t} w_{2, t}$. Delete $w_{1, t} w_{2, t}$ and turn to (4). If $w_{1, t-1} w_{2, t-1}$ has not any crossing in $\Pi$, turn to (3).
(3) The edge $w_{2, t-1} w_{2, t}$ has at least one crossing in $\Psi$. A similar argument as the one used in (2) shows that we may redraw $w_{2, t-1} w_{2, t}$ near to the path $w_{2, t-1} w_{1, t} w_{2, t}$ such that it crosses exactly $w_{1, t-1} w_{1, t}$. Delete $w_{1, t-1} w_{1, t}$ and turn to (4).
(4) Let $\Pi_{1}^{\prime}$ be the obtained drawing, and let $W_{4, t+1}^{(1)}$ the obtained graph.

Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(\Pi_{1}^{\prime}\right) \leq k-1$, and $W_{4, t+1}^{(1)}$ contains the edge $w_{1, t-1} w_{2, t}$ or the path $w_{1, t-1} w_{1, t} w_{2, t}$.

Let $Q_{2}$ be the subgraph of $W_{4, t+1}^{(1)}$ induced by the four vertices $w_{3, t-1}, w_{3, t}, w_{4, t-1}$ and $w_{4, t}$. Next, we proceed the similar argument as $Q_{1}$. Let $\Pi_{2}^{\prime}$ be the drawing so obtained, and let $W_{4, t+1}^{(2)}$ be the graph corresponding to $\Pi_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(\Pi_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq$ $\operatorname{cr}\left(\Pi_{1}^{\prime}\right)-1 \leq k-2$ and $W_{4, t+1}^{(2)}$ contains the edge $w_{4, t-1} w_{3, t}$ or the path $w_{4, t-1} w_{4, t} w_{3, t}$.

Let $Q_{3}$ be the induced subgraph of $W_{4, t+1}^{(2)}$ by the four vertices $w_{2, t}, w_{2, t+1}, w_{3, t}$ and $w_{3, t+1}$. Obviously, $Q_{3}$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$, and $W_{4, t+1}^{(2)}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q_{3}$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge in $E\left(Q_{3}\right)$ has at least one crossing in $\Pi_{2}^{\prime}$. We now apply the following operations.
(a) If some edge in $E\left(Q_{3}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{2, t} w_{3, t}\right\}$ has at least one crossing in $\Pi_{2}^{\prime}$, then it is deleted and turn to (c). Otherwise, turn to (b).
(b) The edge $w_{2, t} w_{3, t}$ is crossed in $\Pi_{2}^{\prime}$. We redraw this edge near to the path $w_{2, t} w_{2, t+1} w_{3, t}$. If in such new drawing $w_{2, t} w_{3, t}$ is not crossed, then turn to (c). Otherwise, $w_{2, t} w_{3, t}$ can be drawn such that it crosses exactly the edge $w_{2, t+1} w_{3, t+1}$. Now the edge $w_{2, t+1} w_{3, t+1}$ is deleted, and turn to (c).
(c) Let $\Pi_{3}^{\prime}$ be the obtained drawing, and let $W_{4, t+1}^{(3)}$ be the obtained graph.

Then $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{4, t+1}^{(3)}\right) \leq \operatorname{cr}\left(W_{4, t+1}^{(2)}\right)-1 \leq k-3$, and $W_{4, t+1}^{(3)}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $W_{4, t}$. By the inductive assumption, $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{4, t}\right) \geq 3(t-1)-4$. This implies that $k \geq 3 t-4$. So $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{4, t+1}\right) \geq 3 t-4$. Therefore, $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{4, n}\right) \geq 3(n-1)-4$. $\square$

Lemma $3.2 c r\left(W_{m, n}\right) \geq(m-1)(n-1)-4$ for $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 5$.
Proof We use the induction on $m$. By Lemma 3.1, $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{m, n}\right) \geq(m-1)(n-1)-4$ if $m=4$. Assume that $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{m, n}\right) \geq(m-1)(n-1)-4$ if $m=q$. Suppose that $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{q+1, n}\right)=k$, and that $\Pi$ is an optimal drawing of $W_{q+1, n}$.

Let $Q_{1}^{\prime}\left(Q_{n-1}^{\prime}\right.$, respectively) be the induced subgraph of $W_{q+1, n}$ by the four vertices $w_{q-1,1}, w_{q-1,2}, w_{q, 1}$ and $w_{q, 2}\left(w_{q-1, n-1}, w_{q-1, n}, w_{q, n-1}\right.$ and $w_{q, n}$, respectively). For $i=1,2, \ldots, n-3$, let $Q_{i+1}^{\prime}$ be the induced subgraph of $W_{q+1, n}$ by the four vertices
$w_{q, i+1}, w_{q, i+2}, w_{q+1, i+1}$ and $w_{q+1, i+2}$. It is obvious that $Q_{j}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $K_{4}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, n-1$.

We start with $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ and deal with it as $Q_{1}$ in the proof of Lemma 3.1. If some edge in $E\left(Q_{1}^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{q-1,1} w_{q-1,2}, w_{q-1,2} w_{q, 2}\right\}$ has at least one crossing in $\Pi$, then it is deleted. Otherwise, one of $w_{q-1,1} w_{q-1,2}$ and $w_{q-1,2} w_{q, 2}$ has at least one crossing. In this case the edge is redrawn and some edge in $\left\{w_{q-1,1} w_{q, 1}, w_{q, 1} w_{q, 2}\right\}$ is deleted if necessary such that at least one crossing is eliminated.

After $Q_{1}^{\prime}$ has been dealt with, the obtained graph has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $T_{6}$ which contains $Q_{2}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 2.1, some edge in $Q_{2}^{\prime}$ has at least one crossing in the present drawing. We now deal with $Q_{2}^{\prime}$ in the similar way to that of $Q_{1}$ in the proof of Lemma 3.1. If some edge in $E\left(Q_{2}^{\prime}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{q, 2} w_{q, 3}, w_{q, 3} w_{q+1,3}\right\}$ has at least one crossing in the present drawing, then it is deleted. Otherwise, one of $w_{q, 2} w_{q, 3}$ and $w_{q, 3} w_{q+1,3}$ has at least one crossing in the present drawing. In this case the edge is redrawn and some edge in $\left\{w_{q, 2} w_{q+1,2}, w_{q+1,2} w_{q+1,3}\right\}$ is deleted if necessary such that at least one crossing is eliminated.

For $i=3, \ldots, n-2, Q_{i}^{\prime}$ is dealt with as $Q_{2}^{\prime}$. At last, $Q_{n-1}^{\prime}$ is dealt with in the similar way to $Q_{3}$ in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Let $G$ be the obtained graph after removing at least one crossing for each of $Q_{i}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then $G$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of the graph $W_{q, n}$. Thus, $\operatorname{cr}(G) \leq k-(n-1)$. By the inductive assumption, $\operatorname{cr}(G) \geq(q-1)(n-1)-4$. This implies that $k \geq q(n-1)-4$. So $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{q+1, n}\right) \geq q(n-1)-4$. Therefore, $\operatorname{cr}\left(W_{m, n}\right) \geq(m-1)(n-1)-4$.


Figure $11 \quad$ A drawing of $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{4}$
Since $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ is isomorphic to $P_{n} \boxtimes P_{m}$, we have that $\operatorname{cr}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}\right)=\operatorname{cr}\left(P_{n} \boxtimes P_{m}\right)$.

Theorem 3.3 $c r\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}\right)=(m-1)(n-1)-4$ for $m \geq 4, n \geq 4$ and $(m, n) \neq$ $(4,4)$.

Proof The theorem follows from Lemmas 1.1 and 3.2.

## 4 The crossing number of $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{4}$

Theorem 4.1 $\quad \operatorname{cr}\left(P_{4} \boxtimes P_{4}\right)=4$.
Proof The drawing of $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{4}$ shown in Figure 11 implies that $\operatorname{cr}\left(P_{4} \boxtimes P_{4}\right) \leq 4$. Since $P_{4} \boxtimes P_{4}$ has a subgraph isomorphic to a subdivision of $H_{5}$ defined in Lemma 2.6, $\operatorname{cr}\left(P_{4} \boxtimes P_{4}\right) \geq 4$. Hence $\operatorname{cr}\left(P_{4} \boxtimes P_{4}\right)=4$.
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