# Generating functions for purely crossing partitions

KENNETH J. DYKEMA\*

Department of Mathematics Texas A&M University College Station, TX 77843-3368 U.S.A. kdykema@math.tamu.edu

#### Abstract

The generating function for the number of purely crossing partitions of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  is found in terms of the generating function for Bell numbers. Further results about generating functions for asymptotic moments of certain random Vandermonde matrices are derived.

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  denote the lattice of all set partitions of  $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . The elements of  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  are called blocks of the partition  $\pi$ ; we write  $i \sim j$  if and only if i and j belong to the same block of  $\pi$  and we write  $i \nsim j$  otherwise.

Recall that a partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  is said to be noncrossing whenever there do not exist  $i, j, k, l \in [n]$  with  $i < j < k < l, i \sim k$  and  $j \sim l$ , but  $i \not\sim j$ . We let NC(n) denote the set of all noncrossing partitions of [n].

**Definition 1.1** We say that a subset  $S \subseteq [n]$  splits a partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  if S is the union of some of the blocks of  $\pi$ . In other words, S splits  $\pi$  if and only if  $B \in \pi$  and  $B \cap S \neq \emptyset$  implies  $B \subseteq S$ .

**Definition 1.2** For  $n \ge 1$ , a partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  is said to be *purely crossing* if:

- (a) no proper subinterval  $\{p+1, p+2, \ldots, p+q\}$  of [n] splits  $\pi$  (by proper subinterval we mean with  $0 \le p < p+q \le n$  and q < n);
- (b) no block of  $\pi$  contains neighbors, namely,  $k \not\sim k+1$  for all  $k \in [n-1]$ ;
- (c)  $1 \not\sim^{\pi} n$ .

We let PC(n) denote the set of all purely crossing partitions of [n] and we let  $PC = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} PC(n)$ .

<sup>\*</sup> Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1202660.

Note that condition (a) implies that  $\pi$  has no singleton blocks. Moreover, if  $\pi \in PC(n)$ , then every partition obtained from  $\pi$  by cyclic permutation of [n] is also purely crossing.

After an early version of this paper, we learned (from an anonymous referee) that Definition 1.1 and Definition 1.2(a) appear in the work of Beissinger [1] as instances of a treatment of a more general framework. However, parts (b) and (c) of 1.2 seem not to fit into her framework. See also [7].

The purely crossing partitions were introduced in [3] in connection with certain random Vandermonde matrices. Is it easy to see that PC(n) is empty for  $n \in$  $\{1, 2, 3, 5\}$  and that the only purely crossing partitions of sizes  $n \in \{4, 6, 7\}$  are those found in Table 1 and their orbits under cyclic permutations of [n].

n	picture	blocks	# in orbit
4		$\{1,3\},\{2,4\}$	1
6		$\{1,3,5\},\{2,4,6\}$	1
		$\{1,3\},\{2,5\},\{4,6\}$	3
		$\{1,4\},\{2,5\},\{3,6\}$	1
7		$\{1,3,6\},\{2,4\},\{5,7\}$	7
		$\{1,3,6\},\{2,5\},\{4,7\}$	7

Table 1: Purely crossing partitions of sizes 4, 6 and 7

In this note, we study PC(n) and describe how arbitrary partitions can be realized in terms of purely crossing ones and noncrossing partitions. Thereby, we find an algebraic description of the generating function for |PC(n)| in terms of the generating function for Bell numbers.

More generally, in Section 2 we study generating functions based on certain weighted sums over partitions. An intermediate stage is to consider connected partitions, which have been enumerated by F. Lehner [5], who proved that their cardinalities form the free cumulants of a Poisson distribution and found a generating series for them. We will make an equivalent derivation of the generating series, thereby reproving Lehner's result, but with an eye toward the generalization that follows. A motivation for and a possible application of this study in random matrices are described in Section 3. This includes more complicated results about algebra-valued generating functions that are similar to those in Section 2.

**Notation.** We will refer to the elements of [n] as the atoms of the partition  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ . We let  $1_n = \{[n]\}$  be the partition of [n] into one block and  $0_n = \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \ldots, \{n\}\}$  be the partition of [n] into n blocks (all singletons).

If  $\phi : A \to B$  is a bijective mapping and if  $\pi$  is a set partition of A, then (by abuse of notation) we let  $\phi(\pi)$  denote the set partition of B that results from applying  $\phi$ 

to every block of  $\pi$ .

The usual order  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  is  $\sigma \leq \pi$  if and only if every block of  $\pi$  splits  $\sigma$ .

If  $\pi$  is a partition of a set X, then the restriction of  $\pi$  to a subset  $Y \subseteq X$  is  $\{Y \cap B \mid B \in \pi\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}.$ 

## 2 Generating functions and connected partitions

For each  $n \ge 1$  and  $\pi \in PC(n)$ , let  $a(\pi) \in \mathbb{C}$ . Consider the formal power series

$$A(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x^n = a_4 x^4 + a_6 x^6 + a_7 x^7 + \dots, \quad \text{where} \quad a_n = \sum_{\pi \in \text{PC}(n)} a(\pi).$$

Note that, if  $a(\pi) = 1$  for all  $\pi \in PC(n)$ , then

$$A(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mathrm{PC}(n)| x^n$$

is the generating series for |PC(n)|. This will be the principal case of interest in this paper; however, we prove results in the greater generality of arbitrary coefficients  $a(\pi)$ .

**Definition 2.1** Let  $PC^+(n)$  be the set of all  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  such that (a) and (b) of Definition 1.2 hold.

The following lemma is straightforward and we omit a proof.

**Lemma 2.2**  $PC^+(1) = \{0_1\}$  and, for  $n \ge 2$ , we have the disjoint union

$$PC^+(n) = PC(n) \cup \{\tilde{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in PC(n-1)\},\$$

where  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is obtained from  $\sigma$  by adjoining n to the block of  $\sigma$  that contains 1.

Let  $b(0_1) = 1$  and for  $\pi \in PC^+(n)$ ,  $n \ge 2$ , let

$$b(\pi) = \begin{cases} a(\pi) & \pi \in \mathrm{PC}(n), \\ a(\sigma) & \pi = \tilde{\sigma}, \ \sigma \in \mathrm{PC}(n-1). \end{cases}$$

Consider the formal power series

$$B(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x^n = x + b_4 x^4 + b_5 x^5 + \dots, \quad \text{where} \quad b_n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathrm{PC}^+(n)} b(\pi).$$

Thus, if  $a(\pi) = 1$  for all  $\pi \in PC(n)$  and all n, then

$$B(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mathrm{PC}^+(n)| x^n.$$

**Lemma 2.3** We have  $b_1 = 1$  and for every  $n \ge 2$ ,  $b_n = a_n + a_{n-1}$ . Thus, we have

$$B(x) = x + (1+x)A(x).$$

*Proof:* By definition of  $PC^+(1)$ ,  $b_1 = 1$ . For  $n \ge 2$ , by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$b_n = \sum_{\pi \in PC(n)} a(\pi) + \sum_{\sigma \in PC(n-1)} a(\sigma) = a_n + a_{n-1}.$$

The desired equality follows immediately.

**Definition 2.4** For  $n \ge 1$ , let CO(n) be the set of all connected partitions  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , namely, such that (a) of Definition 1.2 holds.

**Definition 2.5** Given  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , let  $\hat{\pi}$  denote the smallest noncrossing partition so that  $\pi \leq \hat{\pi}$ , in the usual order on  $\mathcal{P}(n)$ . The is called the *noncrossing closure* of  $\pi$ .

**Lemma 2.6** Let  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ . Then  $\pi \in CO(n)$  if and only if  $\hat{\pi} = 1_n$ .

Proof: If  $\pi \notin CO(n)$ , then there is a proper subinterval I of [n] that splits  $\pi$ . The partition  $\{I, [n] \setminus I\}$  of [n] is noncrossing and lies above  $\pi$  in the usual order on  $\mathcal{P}(n)$ . Thus,  $\hat{\pi} \leq \{I, [n] \setminus I\}$  and  $\hat{\pi} \neq 1_n$ .

Now suppose  $\hat{\pi} \neq 1_n$ . Then at least one block of  $\hat{\pi}$  is a proper subinterval of [n], and this block necessarily splits  $\pi$ . So  $\pi \notin CO(n)$ .

**Lemma 2.7** Let  $n \ge 1$ . Then there is a bijection

$$\Phi_n: \bigcup_{1 \le \ell \le n} \left\{ (\sigma, k_1, \dots, k_\ell \big| \sigma \in \mathrm{PC}^+(\ell), \, k_1, \dots, k_\ell \ge 1, \, k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = n \right\} \to \mathrm{CO}(n),$$

defined by  $\pi = \Phi_n(\sigma, k_1, \dots, k_\ell)$  is the partition obtained from  $\sigma$  by replacing the *j*-th atom of  $\sigma$  with an interval of length  $k_j$ . More precisely, for the intervals

$$I_{j} = \{k_{1} + \dots + k_{j-1} + 1, k_{1} + \dots + k_{j-1} + 2, \dots, k_{1} + \dots + k_{j-1} + k_{j}\}$$

we have

$$\pi = \{ \cup_{i \in B} I_i \mid B \in \sigma \}.$$

Proof: Let  $\pi = \Phi_n(\sigma, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell)$ . Suppose  $K \subseteq [n]$  is a nonempty interval of [n] that splits  $\pi$ . Since each block of  $\pi$  is a union of some of the intervals  $(I_j)_{j \in [\ell]}$ , we have  $K = \bigcup_{j \in X} I_j$  for some subset X of  $[\ell]$ . Since K is a nonempty interval, X must be a nonempty interval of  $[\ell]$ . Since K splits  $\pi$ , we see that X splits  $\sigma$ . Since  $\sigma \in \mathrm{PC}^+(\ell) \subseteq \mathrm{CO}(\ell)$ , we have  $X = [\ell]$ , so K = [n]. This shows that  $\Phi_n$  maps into  $\mathrm{CO}(n)$ .

We now describe the inverse map of  $\Phi_n$ . Given  $\pi \in CO(n)$ , consider the collection of interval subsets of [n] that are maximal with respect to the property of being

contained in some block of  $\pi$ . The collection of these is an interval partition of [n], and we may arrange them in increasing order  $(I_j)_{1 \leq j \leq \ell}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the partition of  $[\ell]$  given by  $j_1 \stackrel{\sigma}{\sim} j_2$  if and only if  $I_{j_1}$  and  $I_{j_2}$  belong to the same block of  $\pi$ . We have  $\sigma \in \mathrm{PC}^+(\ell)$  because if  $j \stackrel{\sigma}{\sim} j + 1$ , then  $I_j \cup I_{j+1}$  would be the subset of a single block of  $\pi$ , contradicting maximality of  $I_j$ . Letting  $k_j = |I_j|$ , we have  $\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} = n$ . Let  $\widetilde{\Phi}_n(\pi) = (\sigma, k_1, \ldots, k_\ell)$ . Then

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_n: \mathrm{CO}(n) \to \bigcup_{1 \le \ell \le n} \left\{ (\sigma, k_1, \dots, k_\ell) \middle| \sigma \in \mathrm{PC}^+(\ell), \, k_1, \dots, k_\ell \ge 1, \, k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = n \right\}$$

and  $\Phi_n \circ \widetilde{\Phi}_n$  is the identity map on CO(n). We easily verify that  $\widetilde{\Phi}_n \circ \Phi_n$  is the identity map on the domain of  $\Phi_n$ .

For  $\pi \in CO(n)$ , let  $c(\pi) = b(\sigma)$ , where  $\pi = \Phi_n(\sigma, k_1, \dots, k_\ell)$ , with  $\sigma \in PC^+(\ell)$ . Let

$$C(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n$$
, where  $c_n = \sum_{\pi \in CO(n)} c(\pi)$ .

Note that, if  $a(\pi) = 1$  for all  $\pi \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{PC}(n)$ , then

$$C(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mathrm{CO}(n)| x^n$$

is the generating series for |CO(n)|.

Lemma 2.8 As formal power series, we have

$$C(x) = B\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right).$$

*Proof:* From the bijection described in Lemma 2.7 and the definition of  $c(\pi)$ , we have

$$c_n x^n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathrm{CO}(n)} c(\pi) x^n = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \mathrm{PC}^+(\ell)} b(\sigma) \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_\ell \ge 1\\k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = n}} x^n = \sum_{\ell=1}^n b_\ell \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_\ell \ge 1\\k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = n}} \prod_{j=1}^\ell x^{k_j},$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$C(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_\ell \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^k\right)^\ell = B\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right).$$

**Lemma 2.9** For each  $n \ge 1$ , there is a bijection

$$\Psi_n: \left\{ (\tau, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{|\tau|}) \mid \tau = \{ B_1, \dots, B_{|\tau|} \} \in \mathrm{NC}(n), \, \sigma_j \in \mathrm{CO}(|B_j|) \right\} \to \mathcal{P}(n),$$

defined as follows. The idea is to replace each block  $B_j$  of  $\tau$  with the corresponding partition of  $B_j$  determined by  $\sigma_j$ . For specificity, the blocks  $B_1, \ldots, B_{|\tau|}$  above are written in order of increasing minimal elements. Given  $B \subseteq [n]$ , let  $\psi_B : [|B|] \to B$ be the unique order-preserving bijection. Given  $(\tau, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{|\tau|})$  in the domain of  $\Psi_n$ and writing  $\tau = \{B_1, \ldots, B_{|\tau|}\}$  as above, we consider the partition  $\psi_{B_j}(\sigma_j)$  of  $B_j$  for each j. Then

$$\Psi(\tau,\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_{|\tau|}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{|\tau|} \psi_{B_j}(\sigma_j).$$

Proof: Given  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , let  $\hat{\pi}$  be as in Definition 2.5 be the noncrossing cover of  $\pi$ . For each block B of  $\hat{\pi}$ , the restriction of  $\pi$  to B yields a partition that, after applying the order-preserving bijection of B onto  $\{1, \ldots, |B|\}$ , yields a partition  $\sigma_B \in \mathcal{P}(|B|)$  with  $\hat{\sigma}_B = 1_{|B|}$ , since otherwise B would not be a block of  $\hat{\pi}$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.6,  $\sigma_B \in CO(|B|)$ . Consequently, if we number the blocks  $\hat{\pi}$  in conventional order,  $\hat{\pi} = \{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{|\hat{\pi}|}\}$ , then we immediately see  $\pi = \Psi_n(\hat{\pi}, \sigma_{B_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{B_{|\hat{\pi}|}})$ . We define

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_n: \mathcal{P}(n) \to \left\{ (\tau, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{|\tau|}) \mid \tau = \{ B_1, \dots, B_{|\tau|} \} \in \mathrm{NC}(n), \, \sigma_j \in \mathrm{CO}(|B_j|) \right\}$$

by  $\widetilde{\Psi}_n(\pi) = (\widehat{\pi}, \sigma_{B_1}, \dots, \sigma_{B_{|\widehat{\pi}}})$  as given above. Thus, the composition  $\Psi_n \circ \widetilde{\Psi}_n$  is the identity on  $\mathcal{P}(n)$ . Similarly, it is not difficult to see that  $\widetilde{\Psi}_n \circ \Psi_n$  is the identity on the domain of  $\Psi_n$ .

For 
$$\pi = \Psi_n(\tau, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{|\tau|}) \in \mathcal{P}(n)$$
, let

$$d(\pi) = \prod_{j=1}^{|\tau|} c(\sigma_j).$$
(1)

Let

$$D(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n x^n$$
, where  $d_n = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} d(\pi)$ .

For convenience and consistency, we are using the convention  $\mathcal{P}(0) = \{\emptyset\}$  and we set  $d(\emptyset) = 1$ . Note that if  $a(\pi) = 1$  for all  $\pi \in \text{PC}$ , then  $d(\pi) = 1$  for all  $\pi \in \bigcup_{n \ge 1} \mathcal{P}(n)$ . In this case,  $d_n = |\mathcal{P}(n)|$  is the *n*-th Bell number and D(x) is the generating function for the Bell numbers.

**Lemma 2.10** For each  $n \ge 1$ , there is a bijection

$$\Theta_n: \mathcal{P}(n) \to \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le n \\ k(1), \dots, k(\ell) \ge 0 \\ k(1) + \dots + k(\ell) = n - \ell}} \left\{ (\sigma, \pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_\ell) \mid \sigma \in \mathrm{CO}(\ell), \, \pi_j \in \mathcal{P}(k(j)) \right\},$$

defined as follows. Given  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , let  $\hat{\pi}$  be as in Definition 2.5 and let  $B_1$  be the block of  $\hat{\pi}$  containing 1. Let  $\ell = |B_1|$  and write  $B_1 = \{s(1), s(2), \ldots, s(\ell)\}$  with s(j-1) < s(j). Let  $\phi$  be the order-preserving bijection from  $B_1$  onto  $[\ell]$  and let  $\sigma$ be the result of  $\phi$  applied to the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $B_1$ . For  $1 \le j \le \ell - 1$ , let  $\pi_j$  be the result of applying the mapping  $i \mapsto i - s(j)$  to the restriction of  $\pi$  to the interval  $\{s(j)+1,\ldots,s(j+1)-1\}$ . Let  $\pi_\ell$  be the result of applying the mapping  $i \mapsto i - s(\ell)$ to the interval  $\{s(\ell)+1,\ldots,n\}$ . Then  $\Theta_n(\pi) = (\sigma,\pi_1,\ldots,\pi_\ell)$ .

Proof: Take k(j) = s(j+1) - s(j) - 1 if  $1 \le j \le \ell - 1$  and let  $k(l) = n - s(\ell)$ . We have  $\hat{\sigma} = 1_{|B_1|}$ , so by Lemma 2.6,  $\sigma \in CO(|B_1|)$  and  $\Theta_n$  takes values in the indicated range.

We define

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_{n}: \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le \ell \le n \\ k(1), \dots, k(\ell) \ge 0 \\ k(1) + \dots + k(\ell) = n - \ell}} \{(\sigma, \pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \dots, \pi_{\ell}) \mid \sigma \in \operatorname{CO}(\ell), \, \pi_{j} \in \mathcal{P}(k(j))\} \to \mathcal{P}(n)$$

as follows. Given  $(\sigma, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_\ell)$  in the indicated domain of  $\Theta_n$ , let  $s(j) = k(1) + k(2) + \cdots + k(j-1) + j$ , with s(1) = 1, and let

$$L = \{s(1), s(2), \dots, s(\ell)\};\$$

let  $\phi : [\ell] \to L$  be the order-preserving bijection and consider the partition  $\phi(\sigma)$  of L. For each j, let  $\tilde{\pi}_j$  be the partition of the interval  $\{s(j) + 1, \ldots, s(j) + k(j)\}$  obtained by applying the mapping  $i \mapsto i + s(j)$  to  $\pi_j$ . Let

$$\Theta_n(\sigma, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_\ell) = \tilde{\phi}(\sigma) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^\ell \tilde{\pi}_j.$$

It is not difficult to show that  $\Theta_n$  and  $\Theta_n$  are inverses of each other.

**Lemma 2.11** Suppose  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  and  $\Theta_n(\pi) = (\sigma, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_\ell)$ . Then, with d as defined in (1), we have

$$d(\pi) = c(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} d(\pi_j).$$

*Proof:* Let  $\pi = \Psi_n(\tau, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{|\tau|})$ . Then  $\sigma = \sigma_1$  and we draw the first block  $B_1$  of  $\tau$  in Figure 1, with the gaps between elements of  $B_1$  of length  $k(1), \ldots, k(\ell-1)$ 

Figure 1: The first block of a noncrossing partition.



and with  $k(\ell)$  elements of [n] to the right of  $B_1$ . The other blocks of  $\tau$  all lie in the gaps depicted by the ovals, either between the adjacent elements of  $B_1$  or to the right of  $B_1$ . In particular, each  $\pi_j$  is formed from those in the list  $\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{|\tau|}$  whose corresponding blocks of  $\tau$  lie in the oval labeled k(j). Thus, we get

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} d(\pi_j) = \prod_{i=2}^{|\tau|} c(\sigma_j)$$

By the definition (1) of  $d(\pi)$ , this proves the lemma.

Lemma 2.12 As formal power series, we have

$$D(x) = 1 + C(xD(x)).$$
 (2)

Letting F(x) = xD(x) and letting  $F^{\langle -1 \rangle}$  denote the inverse with respect to composition of F, we have

$$F^{\langle -1\rangle}(w) = \frac{w}{1 + C(w)}.$$
(3)

*Proof:* Using the bijection from Lemma 2.10 and using Lemma 2.11, we have

$$D(x) = 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in CO(\ell)} c(\sigma) x^{\ell} \right) \sum_{\substack{k(1), \dots, k(\ell) \ge 0 \\ \pi_1 \in \mathcal{P}(k(1)), \dots \\ \pi_\ell \in \mathcal{P}(k(\ell))}} \sum_{q=1}^{\ell} d(\pi_q) x^{k(q)}$$
$$= 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} c_\ell x^{\ell} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k x^k \right)^{\ell} = 1 + C(xD(x)).$$

Multiplying both sides of (2) by x, we get F(x) = x(1 + C(F(x))), and letting w = F(x) we get  $w = F^{\langle -1 \rangle}(w)(1 + C(w))$ , from which (3) follows.  $\Box$ 

Taking now  $a(\pi) = 1$  for all  $\pi$ , as we observed:

- D(x) is the generating function for the Bell numbers,  $|\mathcal{P}(n)|$ .
- C(x) is the generating function for |CO(n)|, and can be found from D using Lemma 2.12.
- B(x) is the generating function for  $|PC^+(n)|$ , and can be found from C using Lemma 2.8.
- A(x) is the generating function for |PC(n)|, and can be found from B using Lemma 2.3.

The Bell numbers  $|\mathcal{P}(n)|$  are well known. Using Mathematica [8], we calculated the first several terms of each generating series and we obtained the values displayed in Table 2.

n	$ \mathrm{PC}(n) $	$ \mathrm{PC}^+(n) $	$ \mathrm{CO}(n) $	$ \mathcal{P}(n) $
1	0	1	1	1
2	0	0	1	2
3	0	0	1	5
4	1	1	2	15
5	0	1	6	52
6	5	5	21	203
7	14	19	85	877
8	62	76	385	4 1 4 0
9	298	360	1907	21147
10	1 4 9 4	1792	10205	115975
11	8 1 4 0	9634	58455	678570
12	47 146	55286	355884	4213597
13	289250	336396	2290536	27644437
14	1873304	2162554	15518391	190 899 322
15	12756416	14629720	110283179	1382958545

Table 2: Cardinalities of sets of partitions.

## **3** Connection with random Vandermonde matrices

Purely crossing partitions arose in [3], appearing in the study of asymptotic moments of certain random Vandermonde matrices  $X_N$ . In particular, by Theorem 3.28 of [3], for the *n*-th asymptotic \*-moment, we have

$$m_n := \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \circ \operatorname{tr} \left( (X_N X_N^*)^n \right) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} w_\pi \tag{4}$$

with weight

$$w_{\pi} = \tau \left( \Lambda_{\pi} (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n-1 \text{ times}}) \right),$$

where  $\Lambda_{\pi}$  is the multilinear function from n-1 copies of C[0, 1] into C[0, 1] described in Section 2 of [3] and where  $\tau$  is the trace on C[0, 1] obtained by integrating with respect to Lebesgue measure. These  $w_{\pi}$  are precisely the volumes of certain polytopes first described by Ryan and Debbah in [6], who had also obtained the formula (4). In Section 4 of [3], we show how, for arbitrary  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ ,  $\Lambda_{\pi}$  and, thus  $w_{\pi}$ , can be computed via a reduction procedure in terms of the  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$  for  $\sigma \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} PC(k)$ . This procedure is akin to that used in Section 2, but more complicated, involving nested evaluations of various  $\Lambda_{\rho}$ . In this section, we carry out this analysis. Let us also mention that noncrossing C[0, 1]-valued cumulants for the asymptotic \*-moments of  $X_N$  are expressed in terms of purely crossing partitions, at least for shorter lengths. See Proposition 4.14 of [3]. Let  $\tau$  be the trace on C[0, 1] given by integration with respect to Lebesgue measure. Consider the following formal power series in variable  $g \in C[0, 1]$ , with each *n*-th term being an *n*-fold  $\mathbb{C}$ -multilinear map of  $C[0, 1] \times \cdots \times C[0, 1]$  into C[0, 1] evaluated in the variable repeated *n* times:

$$A(g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(g), \qquad a_n(g) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathrm{PC}(n)} \Lambda_{\pi}(g, \dots, g)g$$
$$B(g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(g), \qquad b_n(g) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathrm{PC}^+(n)} \Lambda_{\pi}(g, \dots, g)g$$
$$C(g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(g), \qquad c_n(g) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathrm{CO}(n)} \Lambda_{\pi}(g, \dots, g)g$$
$$D(g) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n(g), \qquad d_n(g) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \Lambda_{\pi}(g, \dots, g)g.$$

(A more general and formal treatment of such formal power series, in terms of the multilinear function series of [4], can be found in Section 4 of [2].) From the remarks above (see [3] and [6]) if follows that if  $m_n$  is the asymptotic moment found in (4), then the moment generating function of  $m_n$  is, for variable  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n x^n = \tau(D(x1)),$$

where  $x1 \in C[0, 1]$  is the constant function x.

The next result is analogous to the combination of Lemmas 2.3, 2.8 and 2.12.

### Proposition 3.1 We have

$$B(g) = g + \tau(A(g))g + A(g)$$
(5)

$$C(g) = B(g/(1 - \tau(g)))$$
(6)

$$D(g) = 1 + C(gD(g)) \tag{7}$$

Thus, letting F(g) = gD(g) and letting  $F^{\langle -1 \rangle}$  be its inverse with respect to composition, we have

$$F^{\langle -1 \rangle}(h) = h (1 + C(h))^{-1}.$$
 (8)

*Proof:* For the partition  $0_1 \in PC(1)$ , we have  $\Lambda_{0_1}() = 1$ , so  $b_1(g) = g$ . If a partition  $\pi = \tilde{\sigma} \in PC^+(n)$  for  $\sigma \in PC(n-1)$  as in Lemma 2.2, then by Lemma 4.5 of [3] we have

$$\Lambda_{\pi}(\underbrace{g,\ldots,g}_{n-1 \text{ times}}) = \tau(\Lambda_{\sigma}(\underbrace{g,\ldots,g}_{n-2 \text{ times}})g).$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.2, for  $n \ge 2$  we get

$$b_n(g) = \tau(a_{n-1}(g))g + a_n(g),$$

which yields (5).

If  $\pi = \Phi_n(\sigma, k_1, \dots, k_\ell) \in CO(n)$  for  $\sigma \in PC^+(\ell)$  and  $k_j \ge 1, k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = n$  as in Lemma 2.7, then by Lemma 4.4 of [3], we have

$$\Lambda_{\pi}(\underbrace{g,\ldots,g}_{n-1 \text{ times}}) = \Lambda_{\sigma}(\underbrace{g,\ldots,g}_{\ell-1 \text{ times}})\tau(g)^{n-\ell}.$$

Thus, using Lemma 2.7,

$$c_n(g) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n b_\ell(g) \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_\ell \ge 1\\k_1 + \dots + k_\ell = n}} \prod_{j=1}^\ell \tau(g)^{k_j - 1}$$

and we get

$$C(g) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_{\ell}(g) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tau(g)^{k-1}\right)^{\ell} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_{\ell}(g) / (1 - \tau(g))^{\ell}.$$

By multilinearity, we have  $b_{\ell}(gx) = b_{\ell}(g)x^{\ell}$  for all scalars x. Thus, we get (6).

Keep in mind we use the convention  $PC(0) = \{\emptyset\}$ . Suppose  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and  $\pi = \Theta_n(\sigma, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_\ell)$  for  $\sigma \in CO(\ell)$  and  $\pi_j \in \mathcal{P}(k(j))$  where  $k(j) \ge 0$  and  $k(1) + \cdots + k(\ell) = n - \ell$ , as in Lemma 2.10. Using Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 of [3], we get

$$\Lambda_{\pi}(\underbrace{g,\ldots,g}_{n-1 \text{ times}}) = \Lambda_{\sigma}(e_1g,\ldots,e_{\ell-1}g)e_{\ell},$$

where

$$e_j = \begin{cases} g\Lambda_{\pi_j}(\underbrace{g,\ldots,g}_{k(j)-1 \text{ times}}), & k(j) > 0\\ 1, & k(j) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, using the convention  $d_0(g) = 1$ , using Lemma 2.10 and using multilinearity of  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ , we have

$$D(g) = 1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in CO(\ell)} \sum_{k(1),\dots,k(\ell) \ge 0} \Lambda_{\sigma} (gd_{k(1)}(g),\dots,gd_{k(\ell-1)}(g)) gd_{k(\ell)}(g)$$
  
=  $1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\sigma \in CO(\ell)} \Lambda_{\sigma} (gD(g),\dots,gD(g)) gD(g) = 1 + C(gD(g)).$ 

This proves (7). The final equality (8) follows as in the proof of Lemma 2.12.  $\Box$ 

## Acknowledgements

The author thanks Catherine Yan for helpful discussions about generating functions and anonymous referees for helpful comments.

# References

- J. S. Beissinger, The enumeration of irreducible combinatorial objects, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 38 (1985), 143–169.
- [2] M. Boedihardjo and K. J. Dykema, On algebra-valued R-diagonal elements, *Houston J. Math.* (to appear).
- [3] M. Boedihardjo and K. J. Dykema, Asymptotic \*-moments of some random Vandermonde matrices, (preprint), arXiv:1602.02815.
- [4] K. J. Dykema, Multilinear function series and transforms in free probability theory, Adv. Math. 208 (2007), 351–407.
- [5] F. Lehner, Free cumulants and enumeration of connected partitions, European J. Combin. 23 (2002), 1025–1031.
- [6] Ø. Ryan and M. Debbah, Asymptotic behavior of random Vandermonde matrices with entries on the unit circle, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 55 (2009), 3115–3147.
- [7] J. Touchard, Sur un problème de configurations et sur les fractions continues, Canadian J. Math. 4 (1952), 2–25.
- [8] Wofram Research Inc., *Mathematica*, Version 10.3, Champaign, IL, 2015.

(Received 10 Mar 2016)