## A note on signed k-matching in graphs

S. Akbari M. Dalirrooyfard\*

Department of Mathematical Sciences Sharif University of Technology Tehran Iran s\_akbari@sharif.edu mdalirrooyfard@ce.sharif.edu

K. Ehsani R. Sherkati

Department of Computer Engineering Sharif University of Technology Tehran Iran

kehsani@ce.sharif.edu sherkati@ce.sharif.edu

## Abstract

Let G be a graph of order n. For every  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $E_G(v)$  denote the set of all edges incident with v. A signed k-matching of G is a function  $f: E(G) \longrightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , satisfying  $f(E_G(v)) \leq 1$  for at least k vertices, where  $f(S) = \sum_{e \in S} f(e)$ , for each  $S \subseteq E(G)$ . The maximum of the values of f(E(G)), taken over all signed k-matchings f of G, is called the signed k-matching number and is denoted by  $\beta_S^k(G)$ . In this paper, we prove that for every graph G of order n and for any positive integer  $k \leq n, \beta_S^k(G) \geq n - k - \omega(G)$ , where w(G) is the number of components of G. This settles a conjecture proposed by Wang. Also, we present a formula for the computation of  $\beta_S^n(G)$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let G be a simple graph with the vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). For every  $v \in V(G)$ , let N(v) and  $E_G(v)$  denote the set of all neighbors of v and the set of all edges incident with v, respectively. A signed k-matching of a graph G is a

<sup>\*</sup> Also at Department of Computer Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Iran.

function  $f: E(G) \longrightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , satisfying  $f(E_G(v)) \leq 1$  for at least k vertices, where  $f(S) = \sum_{e \in S} f(e)$ , for each  $S \subseteq E(G)$ . The maximum value of f(E(G)), taken over all signed k-matching f, is called the *signed k-matching number* of G and is denoted by  $\beta_S^k(G)$ . We refer to a signed n-matching as a *signed matching*. The concept of signed matching has been studied by several authors; for instance see [1], [2], [4] and [5].

Throughout this paper, changing f(e) to -f(e) for an edge e is called *switching* the value of e. Let T be a trail with the edges  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  and  $f: E(G) \longrightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  be a function. Call T a good trail, if  $f(e_i) = -f(e_{i+1})$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$ . If  $f(e_1) = a$ and  $f(e_m) = b$ , then we call T a good (a, b)-trail. Define  $O_f(G) = \{v \in V(G) \mid d(v) \equiv$  $1 \pmod{2}, f(E_G(v)) < 1\}$ . A vertex is called odd if its degree is odd. The following conjecture was proposed in [3].

**Conjecture.** Let G be a graph without isolated vertices. Then for any positive integer k,

$$\beta_S^k(G) \ge n - k - \omega(G),$$

where  $\omega(G)$  denotes the number of components of G.

In this note we prove this conjecture. Before stating the proof, we need the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a connected graph of order n. Then for any positive integer  $k \leq n, \beta_S^k(G) \geq n-k-1.$ 

**Proof.** If G is a cycle, then by Theorem 2 of [3] the assertion is obvious. Thus assume that G is not a cycle. Now, we apply induction on |E(G)| - |V(G)|. Since G is connected,  $|E(G)| - |V(G)| \ge -1$ . If |E(G)| - |V(G)| = -1, then G is a tree and so by Theorem 6 of [3], we are done. Now, suppose that the assertion holds for every graph H with  $|E(H)| - |V(H)| \le t$  ( $t \ge -1$ ) and G be a connected graph such that |E(G)| - |V(G)| = t + 1. Since  $|E(G)| - |V(G)| \ge 0$ , G contains a cycle C and there exists a vertex v such that  $v \in V(C)$  and  $d(v) \ge 3$ . Assume that  $u, w \in N(v) \cap V(C)$ . Let  $x \in N(v) \setminus \{u, w\}$ . Remove two edges vw and xv and add a new vertex v'. Join v' to both x and w. Call the new graph G'. Clearly, G' is connected and |E(G')| - |V(G')| = t. By the induction hypothesis,  $\beta_S^{k+1}(G') \ge$ |V(G')| - k - 2 = n - k - 1. We claim that  $\beta_S^k(G) \ge \beta_S^{k+1}(G')$ . Let f be a signed (k + 1)-matching of G' such that  $f(E(G')) = \beta_S^{k+1}(G')$ . Define a function g on E(G)as follows:

For every  $e \in E(G) \setminus \{vx, vw\}$ , let g(e) = f(e). Moreover, define g(xv) = f(xv')and g(vw) = f(v'w). It is not hard to see that g is a k-matching of G. So  $\beta_S^k(G) \ge g(E(G)) = \beta_S^{k+1}(G')$ . Thus  $\beta_S^k(G) \ge n - k - 1$ , and the claim is proved. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

Now, using the previous theorem we show that the conjecture holds.

**Theorem 2.** Let G be a graph of order n without isolated vertices. Then for any positive integer  $k \leq n$ ,

$$\beta_S^k(G) \ge n - k - \omega(G),$$

where  $\omega(G)$  denotes the number of components of G.

**Proof.** For the abbreviation let  $\omega = \omega(G)$ . If  $\omega = 1$ , then by Theorem 1 the assertion holds. Now, suppose that  $\omega > 1$  and  $G_1, \ldots, G_{\omega}$  are all components of G. Let  $f : E(G) \longrightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , be a signed k-matching function such that  $f(E(G)) = \beta_S^k(G)$ . Suppose that  $A \subset \{v \in V(G) \mid f(E_G(v)) \leq 1\}$  and |A| = k. Let  $k_i = |\{v \in V(G_i) \cap A \mid f(E_G(v)) \leq 1\}|$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, \omega$ . Obviously,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\omega} k_i = k$ . By Theorem 1,  $\beta_S^{k_i}(G_i) \geq |V(G_i)| - k_i - 1$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, \omega$ . Now, we show that  $\beta_S^{k_i}(G_i) = f(E(G_i))$ . By contradiction, suppose that  $\beta_S^{k_i}(G_i) > f(E(G_i))$ , for some  $i, i = 1, \ldots, \omega$ . Let  $g : E(G) \longrightarrow \{-1, +1\}$  be a function such that g(e) = f(e), for every  $e \in E(G) \setminus E(G_i)$  and the restriction of g on  $E(G_i)$  is a signed  $k_i$ -matching with  $g(E(G_i)) = \beta_S^{k_i}(G_i)$ . So we conclude that  $g(E(G)) > \beta_S^k(G)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\beta_S^k(G) = f(E(G)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\omega} f(E(G_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\omega} \beta_S^{k_i}(G_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\omega} (|V(G_i)| - k_i - 1) = |V(G)| - k - \omega$ .

Now, suppose that G is a connected graph containing exactly 2k odd vertices. Let P be a partition of the edge set into m trails, say  $T_1, \ldots, T_m$ , for some m. Call P a complete partition if m = k. By Theorem 1.2.33 of [6], for every connected graph with 2k odd vertices there exists at least one complete partition. Note that for every odd vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , there exists i such that v is an endpoint of  $T_i$ , where  $P: T_1, \ldots, T_k$  is a complete partition of G. So we obtain that the end vertices of  $T_i$  are odd and they are mutually disjoint, for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Now, define  $\tau(P) =$  $|\{i \mid |E(T_i)| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}|$ . Let  $\eta(G) = \max \tau(P)$ , taken over all complete partitions of G. In the next theorem we provide an explicit formula for the signed n-matching number of a graph.

**Theorem 3.** For every non-Eulerian connected graph G of order n,  $\beta_S^n(G) = \eta(G)$ .

**Proof.** For the simplicity, let  $O_f = O_f(G)$ . Let f be a signed matching such that  $|O_f| = max(|O_g|)$  taken over all signed matching g with  $g(E(G)) = \beta_S^n(G)$ . We prove that  $f(E_G(v)) \ge -1$ , for every  $v \in V(G)$ .

By contradiction suppose that there is a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  such that  $f(E_G(v)) \leq -2$ . Let W be a longest good  $(-1, \pm 1)$ -trail starting at v. Suppose that W ends at u. There are two cases:

**Case 1.** Assume that  $u \neq v$ . If W is a good (-1, -1)-trail, then  $f(E_G(u)) \leq -1$ , since otherwise there exists  $e \in E_G(u) \setminus E(W)$  such that f(e) = 1, therefore W can be extended and it contradicts the maximality of |E(W)|. Now, switch the values of all edges of W to obtain a function g on E(G), where  $g(E_G(x)) = f(E_G(x))$  for every  $x \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}$ , and  $g(E_G(x)) = f(E_G(x)) + 2$  for  $x \in \{u, v\}$ . Thus g is a signed matching of G such that  $g(E(G)) = \beta_S^n(G) + 2$ , a contradiction.

If W is a good (-1, 1)-trail, then  $f(E_G(u)) = 1$ , since otherwise there exists  $e \in$ 

 $E_G(u) \setminus E(W)$ , where f(e) = -1, a contradiction. Now, switch the values of all the edges of W to obtain a function g on E(G), where  $g(E_G(x)) = f(E_G(x))$  for  $x \in V(G) \setminus \{u, v\}, g(E_G(u)) = -1$  and  $g(E_G(v)) < 1$ . So g is a signed matching of G such that  $g(E(G)) = \beta_S^n(G)$  and  $|O_g| = |O_f| + 1$ , a contradiction.

**Case 2.** Now, let u = v. Note that W is a good (-1, -1)-trail, since otherwise  $\sum_{e \in E(W) \cap E_G(v)} f(e) = 0$  and using the inequality  $f(E_G(v)) \leq -2$ , we conclude that there exists  $e \in E_G(v) \setminus E(W)$ , such that f(e) = -1. Therefore W can be extended, a contradiction.

If  $f(E_G(v)) \leq -3$ , then switch the values of all edges of W to obtain a signed matching g such that  $g(E(G)) = \beta_S^n(G) + 2$ , a contradiction. Now, assume that  $f(E_G(v)) = -2$ . We show that  $f(E_G(t)) = 0$ , for every  $t \in V(W) \setminus \{v\}$ . By contradiction, suppose that there exists  $x \in V(W) \setminus \{v\}$ , such that  $f(E_G(x)) \neq 0$ . Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  be all edges of W. Assume that  $e_i$  and  $e_{i+1}$  are two consecutive edges of W which are incident with x. With no loss of generality, assume that  $f(e_i) = -1$ . First, suppose that  $f(E_G(x)) \leq -1$ . Call the sub-trail induced on the edges  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_i$  by  $W_1$ . Clearly,  $W_1$  is a good (-1, -1)-trail. Switch the values of all edges of  $W_1$  to obtain a signed matching g such that  $g(E(G)) = \beta_S^n(G) + 2$ , a contradiction. Next, suppose that  $f(E_G(x)) = 1$ . Call the sub-trail induced on the edges  $e_{i+1}, \ldots, e_m$  by  $W_2$ . Clearly,  $W_2$  is a good (1, -1)-trail. Switch the values of all edges of  $W_2$  to obtain a signed matching g such that  $g(E_G(x)) = -1$ ,  $g(E_G(v)) = 0$ and  $g(E_G(z)) = f(E_G(z))$ , for every  $z \in E(G) \setminus \{x, v\}$ . So  $g(E(G)) = \beta_S^n(G)$  and  $|O_g| = |O_f| + 1$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $f(E_G(t)) = 0$ , for every  $t \in V(W) \setminus \{v\}$ .

Now, we show that  $E_G(v) \subseteq E(W)$ . By contradiction assume that there exists  $e \in E_G(v) \setminus E(W)$ . If f(e) = 1, then W can be extended, a contradiction. If f(e) = -1, then  $f(E_G(v)) \leq -3$  which contradicts  $f(E_G(v)) = -2$ . Thus  $E_G(v) \subseteq E(W)$ . Since G is non-Eulerian, there are  $x \in V(W) \setminus \{v\}$  and  $y \in V(G)$  such that  $xy \notin E(W)$ . Let W' be a longest good trail in  $G \setminus E(W)$  whose first vertex and first edge are x and xy, respectively. Suppose that W' ends at y' and the last edge of W' is e. We have two possibilities:

If y' = x, then we show that W' is a good (1, -1) or (-1, 1)-trail. To see this, since  $f(E_G(x)) = 0$ , we obtain that  $f(E_G(x) \setminus E(W)) = 0$ . If f(e) = f(xy), then there exists  $e' \in E_G(x) \setminus (E(W) \cup E(W'))$  such that f(e') = -f(xy). So W' can be extended, a contradiction. Thus  $f(e) \neq f(xy)$ . It is not hard to see that the trail with the edges  $E(W) \cup E(W')$  is a good (-1, -1)-trail starting at v, a contradiction.

Now, suppose that  $y' \neq x$ . Assume that x is the common endpoint of  $e_j$  and  $e_{j+1}$ , for some  $j, 1 \leq j \leq m-1$ . With no loss of generality assume that  $f(e_j) = -f(xy)$ . Consider the trail  $W'': e_1, \ldots, e_j, W'$ . Since  $E_G(v) \subseteq E(W), y' \neq v$ . If  $y' \in V(W)$ , then  $f(E_G(y')) = 0$  and  $\sum_{z \in (E_G(W) \cup E_G(W')) \cap E_G(y')} f(z) = f(e)$ . Hence there exists  $e' \in E_G(y') \setminus (E_G(W) \cup E_G(W'))$  such that f(e') = -f(e), which contradicts the maximality of |E(W')|. Thus  $y' \notin V(W)$  and so W'' is a maximal good trail in G. So we reach to Case 1 which we discussed before (Note that in Case 1 we used just the maximality of the length of W). So far we have proved that  $f(E_G(z)) \ge -1$ , for every  $z \in V(G)$ . In the sequel assume that G has exactly 2k odd vertices. We would like to partition G into k good trails.

Let  $T: e_1, \ldots, e_m$  be a longest good trail in G. Suppose that T starts at  $u_1$  and ends at  $u_2$ , where  $u_1, u_2 \in V(G)$ . First, we show that  $u_1 \neq u_2$ . By contradiction assume that  $u_1 = u_2$ . Suppose that  $f(e_1) \neq f(e_m)$ . Since G is non-Eulerian, there exists  $e \in E(G) \setminus E(T)$  and e is adjacent to the common endpoint of  $e_i$  and  $e_{i+1}$  for some  $i, i = 1, \ldots, m$  ( $e_{m+1} = e_1$ ). With no loss of generality assume that  $f(e) \neq f(e_i)$ , so  $T': e, e_i, e_{i-1}, \ldots, e_1, e_m, \ldots, e_{i+1}$  is a good trail with m + 1 edges, a contradiction. Now, suppose that  $f(e_1) = f(e_m)$ . Since  $\sum_{z \in E_G(u_1) \cap E(T)} f(z) = 2f(e_1)$ and  $|f(E_G(u_1))| \leq 1$ , we obtain that there exists  $a \in E_G(u_1) \setminus E(T)$  such that  $f(a) \neq f(e_1)$ . So T can be extended, a contradiction.

Hence  $u_1 \neq u_2$ . Since  $f(E_G(v)) = 0$ , for every  $v \in V(G)$  of even degree, we obtain that  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  have odd degrees. Indeed, if  $u_1$  has even degree, then  $f(E_G(u_1)) = 0$  and so T can be extended, a contradiction. Now, we show that  $E_G(u_1) \cup E_G(u_2) \subseteq E(T)$ . By contradiction, suppose that there is an edge  $e \in E_G(u_1) \setminus E(T)$ . Clearly,  $f(e) = f(e_1)$ . Since  $\sum_{a \in E_G(u_1) \cap E(T)} f(a) = f(e_1)$ , it is not hard to see that  $|f(E_G(u_1))| \geq 2$ , a contradiction. Hence  $E_G(u_1) \cup E_G(u_2) \subseteq E(T)$ .

Let  $G' = G \setminus (E(T) \cup \{u_1, u_2\})$ . First, we prove that G' has no Eulerian component. By contradiction suppose that H is an Eulerian component of G'. Since  $|f(E_G(v))| \leq 1$ , for every  $v \in V(G)$ , we have  $f(E_G(v)) = 0$ , for every  $v \in V(H)$ . It is straight forward to see that there is an Eulerian circuit  $C : t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{|E(H)|}$  of H such that  $f(t_i) = -f(t_{i+1})$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, |E(H)| - 1$ . Clearly,

$$|E(C)| \equiv \sum_{e \in E(C)} f(e) \equiv \frac{\sum_{v \in V(C)} f(E_H(v))}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

Hence,  $f(t_1) = -f(t_{|E(H)|})$ . Since G is connected and all of the edges of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ belong to E(T), there exists  $v \in V(H) \cap V(T)$ . It is not hard to see that we have a good trail with the edge set  $E(T) \cup E(C)$  which is longer than T, a contradiction. So if k = 2, then  $E(G') = \emptyset$ , and E(G) forms a good trail. Now, apply induction on k. Suppose that k > 2. Let  $H_1, \ldots, H_r$  be all components of G', where  $H_i$  has  $k_i$ odd vertices  $(k_i \ge 2)$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . It is clear that f is a signed matching of  $H_i$ such that  $f(E(H_i)) = \beta_S^{|V(H_i)|}(H_i)$  and  $O_f(H_i) = \max O_g(H_i)$  taken over all signed matching g with  $g(E(H_i)) = \beta_S^{|V(H_i)|}(H_i)$ . So  $E(H_i)$  can be decomposed into  $k_i$  good trails, for  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . Hence, G has a complete partition, say P, into k good trails. Obviously,  $f(E(G)) \le \tau(P) \le \eta(G)$ . Thus,  $\beta_S^n(G) \le \eta(G)$ . Now, we give a signed matching f such that  $f(E(G)) = \eta(G)$ .

Consider a complete partition P of the edge set of G, where  $\tau(P) = \eta(G)$ . For each trail  $T_i$  assign +1 and -1 to the edges of  $T_i$ , alternatively, to obtain a signed matching f where  $f(E(G)) = \eta(G)$ . So the proof is complete.

**Remark.** For every Eulerian graph G of size m,  $\beta_S^n(G) = 0$  if m is even and  $\beta_S^n(G) = -1$  if m is odd. To see this, let f be a signed matching of G such that

 $f(E(G)) = \beta_S^n(G)$ . Since the degree of each vertex of G is even,  $f(E_G(v)) \leq 0$ , for every  $v \in V(G)$ . Thus  $f(E(G)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(E_G(v)) \leq 0$ . Therefore,  $\beta_S^n(G) \leq 0$ , if m is even and  $\beta_S^n(G) \leq -1$ , if m is odd. Now, consider an Eulerian circuit of G. Assign -1 and +1 to the edges of this Eulerian circuit, alternatingly to obtain a signed matching g with the desired property.

## References

- R. P. Anstee, A polynomial algorithm for b-matchings an alternative approach, Inform. Process. Lett. 24(3) (1987), 153–157.
- [2] A. N. Ghameshlou, A. Khodkar, R. Saei and S. M. Sheikholeslami, Signed (b, k)-Edge Covers in Graphs, *Intelligent Information Management* 2 (2010), 143–148.
- [3] C. Wang, The signed k-submatching in graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 29(6) (2013), 1961–1971.
- [4] C. Wang, Signed b-matchings and b-edge covers of strong product graphs, Contrib. Discrete Math. 5(2) (2010), 1–10.
- [5] C. Wang, The signed matchings in graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 28(3) (2008), 477–486.
- [6] D. B. West, Introduction To Graph Theory, Second Ed., Prentice Hall (2007).

(Received 16 Mar 2015; revised 30 Oct 2015)