

Decycling of Fibonacci cubes

JOANNA A. ELLIS-MONAGHAN

*Department of Mathematics
Saint Michael's College
Winooski Park, Colchester
VT 05439
U.S.A.*

DAVID A. PIKE* YUBO ZOU†

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Memorial University of Newfoundland
St. John's, Newfoundland A1C 5S7
Canada*

Abstract

The decycling number $\nabla(G)$ of a graph G is the smallest number of vertices that can be deleted from G so that the resultant graph contains no cycle. Here we study the decycling number for the Fibonacci cubes, which are a family of graphs with applications in interconnection topologies. We present lower and upper bounds of the decycling number for the Fibonacci cubes.

1 Introduction

In 1986, Erdős, Saks and Sós presented the problem of finding, for a given graph G , the size $t(G)$ of a maximum subset T of $V(G)$ that would induce a tree [5]. They also studied the problem of finding the path number, i.e. the maximum size of a vertex subset that would induce a path. Meanwhile, the more general problem of finding the size of maximum subset F of $V(G)$ that induces a forest was beginning to receive attention for various types of graphs, such as cubic graphs [4, 12] and planar graphs [1, 2].

Finding a maximum subset F of $V(G)$ that induces a forest can be expressed as the problem of determining a minimum subset S of $V(G)$ for which $G - S$ is acyclic.

* Research supported by NSERC

† Corresponding author

Any vertex subset S for which $G - S$ contains no cycles is a *decycling set*. The size of a minimum decycling set S in a graph is the *decycling number* of G and will be denoted by $\nabla(G)$. A decycling set of size $\nabla(G)$ is called a ∇ -*set*. Finding a minimum decycling set of a graph is quite difficult even for some simple graphs, and has been proved to be NP-complete in general [8].

In [3], various introductory decycling results were presented, followed by investigation into hypercube and 2-dimensional grid graphs. The hypercube of dimension n , denoted by Q_n , consists of 2^n vertices labelled with distinct n -bit (0,1) strings (or binary strings); two vertices are adjacent if and only if their labels differ in exactly one bit. Further results concerning the decycling number of the n -th order hypercube were presented in [6, 11].

The hypercube is a popular interconnection topology for parallel processing since it has many appealing properties. However, the number of vertices in the hypercube must be a power of 2, which restricts the permissible size of the hypercube. In [7], Hsu introduced a new interconnection topology — Fibonacci cubes, which have a slower growth rate than hypercubes and can be embedded in hypercubes.

Recall that the Fibonacci numbers form a sequence of positive integers $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ where $f_0 = 1$, $f_1 = 2$ and $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$. Given that i is a non-negative integer such that $i \leq f_{n-1} - 1$, then i can be uniquely represented as a sum of distinct non-consecutive Fibonacci numbers (*Zeckendorf's Theorem* [14]) in the form $i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j f_j$ where b_j is either 0 or 1, for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ with the condition $b_j b_{j+1} = 0$ for $0 \leq j < n-1$. The sequence $[b_{n-1}, \dots, b_1, b_0]$ is called the order- n Fibonacci code of i , and uniquely determines i . For example, $i = 12 = f_5 - 1$ has Fibonacci code 10101.

The Fibonacci cube Γ_n of order n is the graph (V_n, E_n) where $V_n = \{0, 1, \dots, f_n - 1\}$ and two vertices i and j are adjacent if and only if their Fibonacci codes differ in exactly one bit. The Fibonacci cubes for the first few values of n are depicted in Figure 1. A number of properties of Fibonacci cubes are described in [7, 9, 10, 13]. In this paper, we study the decycling number of the Fibonacci cubes. To simplify notation, we write ∇_n for $\nabla(\Gamma_n)$.




Figure 1. The n -dimensional Fibonacci cubes Γ_n for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

In Section 2 of this paper, we will discuss lower bounds on ∇_n as well as, for small values of n , the exact value of ∇_n . In Section 3, we present upper bounds on ∇_n . Our results, as they apply to Γ_n for $1 \leq n \leq 14$, are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1. Decycling number of Γ_n .

n	V_n	E_n	∇_n
1	2	1	0
2	3	2	0
3	5	5	1
4	8	10	1
5	13	20	3
6	21	38	6
7	34	71	11
8	55	130	19
9	89	235	33
10	144	420	53-55
11	233	744	86-94
12	377	1308	139-158
13	610	2285	225-264
14	987	3970	364-439

2 Lower Bound

To establish our first lower bound, we will take advantage of some properties of Γ_n that we now review.

LEMMA 2.1 [7] (*Decomposition of Fibonacci cube*) Let $\Gamma_n = (V_n, E_n)$ denote the Fibonacci cube of order n where $n \geq 2$. Let $\text{LOW}(n)$ (resp. $\text{HIGH}(n)$) denote the subgraph induced by the set of vertices $\{0, 1, \dots, f_{n-1} - 1\}$ (resp. $\{f_{n-1}, f_{n-1} + 1, \dots, f_n - 1\}$). Then

1. $\text{LOW}(n) \cong \Gamma_{n-1}$;
2. $\text{HIGH}(n) \cong \Gamma_{n-2}$.

Moreover, if we let $\text{LINK}(n) = \{\{i, j\} : |i - j| = f_{n-1}, \{i, j\} \in E_n\}$, then the two disjoint subgraphs $\text{LOW}(n)$ and $\text{HIGH}(n)$ are connected exactly by the set of edges $\text{LINK}(n)$.

By Lemma 2.1, the Fibonacci cube Γ_n of order n can be decomposed into two disjoint subgraphs Γ_{n-1} and Γ_{n-2} . Therefore, to decycle Γ_n , we need to decycle each of the two subgraphs, yielding the following lower bound for the decycling number of Γ_n .

COROLLARY 2.2 $\nabla_n \geq \nabla_{n-1} + \nabla_{n-2}$.

In [7], Hsu gave a formula to calculate the number of edges in Γ_n just in terms of the Fibonacci numbers $\left(|E_n| = \frac{2(n+1)f_n - (n+2)f_{n-1}}{5}\right)$. In order to establish

lower bounds and exact values of ∇_n for small n , we will also want to know about the degree sequence of Γ_n . If we let $d_n(i)$ denote the degree of vertex i in Γ_n , then we have the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.3 For $n \geq 2$,

$$d_n(i) = \begin{cases} d_{n-1}(i) + 1, & 0 \leq i < f_{n-2}, \\ d_{n-1}(i), & f_{n-2} \leq i < f_{n-1}, \\ d_{n-2}(i - f_{n-1}) + 1, & f_{n-1} \leq i < f_n, \end{cases}$$

where $d_0(0) = 0$, $d_1(0) = 1$, and $d_1(1) = 1$. The maximum degree $\Delta(\Gamma_n) = n$, and vertex 0 is the only vertex of degree n . For $n \geq 4$, vertices 1 and f_{n-1} are the only vertices of degree $n - 1$.

Proof. For $d_n(i)$, the proof follows immediately from Lemma 2.1. The remaining statements easily follow by induction, again using Lemma 2.1. \square

We next prove a sequence of lemmas that will provide the decycling number of the n -dimensional Fibonacci cubes for $n \leq 7$.

LEMMA 2.4 $\nabla_4 = 1$, and there is only one ∇ -set (for which the corresponding maximum induced forest is isomorphic to the path P_7).

Proof. The value of ∇_4 follows from Corollary 2.2 and Figure 2 in which the vertex surrounded by \square is in S . It is easy to see $S = \{0\}$ is the unique ∇ -set for Γ_4 , and the left-over graph $\Gamma_4 - S$ is a path of order 7, so we also find the path number of Γ_4 . \square




Figure 2. Decycling set of Γ_4 .

LEMMA 2.5 $\nabla_5 = 3$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, $\nabla_5 \geq 2$. To decycle Γ_5 , we need to decycle the two disjoint subgraphs $\text{LOW}(5)$ and $\text{HIGH}(5)$. If $\nabla_5 = 2$, then we need to remove the unique ∇ -set in $\text{LOW}(5)$, and one more vertex in $\text{HIGH}(5)$ to decycle $\text{HIGH}(5)$, i.e. vertex 8, 9, 11 or 12. In each case it is a simple exercise to see that Γ_5 contains a cycle even after deleting both vertex 0 and vertex i , for $i \in \{8, 9, 11, 12\}$. Hence $\nabla_5 \geq 3$. Figure 3 shows that there exists a decycling set of size 3 in Γ_5 . Note in Figure 3, the maximum induced forest is a path of order 10, so we also get the path number for Γ_5 . \square




Figure 3. A decycling set of Γ_5 .

By an exhaustive search in Γ_5 , we found 6 decycling sets of size 3. Two of them ($\{0, 4, 9\}$ and $\{0, 9, 11\}$) are independent; the other four are $\{0, 1, 11\}$, $\{0, 1, 12\}$, $\{0, 4, 8\}$, and $\{0, 8, 12\}$.

LEMMA 2.6 $\nabla_6 = 6$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, $\nabla_6 \geq 4$. If $\nabla_6 = 4$, then by Lemma 2.3 at most $\Delta(\Gamma_6) + 2(\Delta(\Gamma_6) - 1) + (\Delta(\Gamma_6) - 2) = 20$ edges are removed, and at least 18 edges remain, which is too many for a forest on 17 vertices. Hence $\nabla_6 \geq 5$.

If $\nabla_6 = 5$, we count the number of vertices and edges in the left-over graph. Let S be a putative decycling set of size 5 in Γ_6 . If the degree sequence of S is 6, 5, 5, 4, 4, then observe that all the vertices of degree 5 have a neighbour of degree 6. Also it is easy to verify that each vertex of degree 4 in Γ_6 has at least one neighbour of degree 5 or 6. So $\varepsilon(S) \geq 4$ (where $\varepsilon(S)$ denotes the number of edges in the induced subgraph $\Gamma_n[S]$), and at least 18 edges are left in $\Gamma_6 - S$, which is too many for a forest on 16 vertices. If the degree sequence is 6, 5, 4, 4, 4, we have $\varepsilon(S) \geq 1$, and at least 16 edges remaining, which is still too many for a forest on 16 vertices. Any other degree sequence will also result in $\Gamma_6 - S$ having at least 16 edges. So we have $\nabla_6 \geq 6$. However $\{0, 4, 7, 8, 14, 18\}$ is a decycling set of size 6 for Γ_6 . \square

By computer search, we found 19 decycling sets of size 6 for Γ_6 (of which 5 are independent decycling sets). More details are available online at www.math.mun.ca/~yubo/research/fib_cube/.

LEMMA 2.7 $\nabla_7 = 11$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, we have $\nabla_7 \geq 3 + 6 = 9$. By using techniques similar to those described in Lemma 2.6, we can easily improve the lower bound to get $\nabla_7 \geq 10$.

Assume that $\nabla_7 = 10$ and S is a decycling set of size 10 in Γ_7 . Since $|V_7| = 34$, $|E_7| = 71$, and $\Gamma_7 - S$ has 24 vertices, that means at most 23 edges are left in $\Gamma_7 - S$. Define $E' = E_7 - E(\Gamma_7 - S)$. In order for $\Gamma_7 - S$ to be a forest, it is necessary that $|E'| \geq 71 - 23 = 48$. By observation, we also have the following useful information in Γ_7 : all the neighbours of vertex 0 have degree 6 or degree 5; there are 8 vertices of degree 5; each of vertices 1 and 21 has 2 neighbours of degree 5; $\{3, 4\}, \{8, 29\} \in E_7$. There are the following 5 cases:

1. All the vertices of degree 7 and 6 are in S . There are two subcases:
 - (a) There exists no degree 4 vertex in S . Notice that each vertex of degree 5 has a neighbour of degree 6 or 7, then $\varepsilon(S) = |E(\Gamma_7[S])| > 6$. Hence $|E'| \leq 47$.
 - (b) There exists at least one degree 4 vertex in S . We have $\varepsilon(S) \geq 6$. Hence $|E'| \leq 47$.
2. Vertex 0 is in S , exactly one of vertices 1 or 21 is not in S .
 - (a) All vertices of degree 5 are in S . Then $\varepsilon(S) \geq 6$ and $|E'| \leq 47$.
 - (b) At least one of degree 5 vertex is not in S . Then $|E'| \leq 47$.
3. Vertex 0 and exactly one of vertices 1 or 21 are not in S .
 - (a) All vertices of degree 5 are in S .
 - (b) At least one vertex of degree 5 is not in S .

For above two subcases, it is easy to verify that $|E'| \leq 47$.

4. Vertices 1 and 21 are in S , vertex 0 is not in S . There are several subcases:
 - (a) All vertices of degree 5 are in S , which means that $\Gamma_7 - S$ has at least 2 components, and $\varepsilon(S) \geq 4$. Hence $|E'| \leq 48$, and at least 23 edges remain in $\Gamma_7 - S$, which is too many for a forest on 24 vertices with 2 components.
 - (b) Exactly one vertex of degree 5 is not in S . Then $\varepsilon(S) \geq 4$. Hence $|E'| \leq 47$.
 - (c) Exactly two vertices of degree 5 are not in S . Then either $\Gamma_7 - S$ has at least two components and $|E'| \leq 48$, or $\varepsilon(S) \geq 4$ which means $|E'| \leq 46$.
 - (d) Exactly three vertices of degree 5 are not in S . Either $\varepsilon(S) \geq 2$ which means $|E'| \leq 47$, or $\Gamma_7 - S$ has at least 3 components and $|E'| \leq 49$. Hence 22 edges remain which is too many for a forest with 3 components and 24 vertices.
 - (e) Exactly four vertices of degree 5 are not in S . Either $\Gamma_7[S]$ has an edge, so $|E'| \leq 47$, or this forces using vertices 12, 25, 30, and 32 (all of degree 4) to avoid any edges in $\Gamma_7[S]$, but then this isolates, for example, vertex 9 or 24, and hence increases the number of components.
 - (f) More than 5 vertices of degree 5 are not in S . In this case, $|E'| \leq \sum_{v \in S} d_7(v) \leq 47$.
5. Vertices 0, 1 and 21 are not in S . The only possibility is all the vertices of degree 5 are in S . Hence $\varepsilon(S) = 2$ and $|E'| \leq 46$.

In all of the above cases, there are not enough edges removed for decycling, and therefore $\nabla_7 \geq 11$. By a computer search, we find 192 decycling sets of size 11 in Γ_7 (of which 18 are independent). These decycling sets are listed online at www.math.mun.ca/~yubo/research/fib_cube/. □

Computer Search Heuristics. For $n \leq 7$, an exhaustive computer search to find all the decycling sets of size ∇_n in Γ_n is possible. For $n \geq 8$, Lemma 2.1 suggests the following heuristic to find $\nabla(\Gamma_n)$

Step 1. Find all the decycling sets of size $\nabla_{n-2} + i$ in Γ_{n-2} without any sub-decycling set, where $i = 0, 1, \dots$. Do the same thing in Γ_{n-1} , obtaining all the decycling sets of size $\nabla_{n-1} + j$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots$) without any sub-decycling set.

Step 2. By the Decomposition Lemma(2.1), if S is a decycling set in Γ_n , then $S \cap V(\text{LOW}(n))$ must be a decycling set in Γ_{n-1} , and $S \cap V(\text{HIGH}(n))$ must be a decycling set in Γ_{n-2} . Therefore, to find a decycling set of size $\nabla_{n-1} + \nabla_{n-2} + k$ in Γ_n , we test all the combinations of a decycling set of size $\nabla_{n-2} + i$ in Γ_{n-2} and a decycling set of size $\nabla_{n-1} + j$ in Γ_{n-1} together with x more vertices in the left-over graph of Γ_n , where $i + j + x = k$, and i, j, x are non-negative integers.

Such a computer search shows that there is no decycling set of size less than 19 and 33 for Γ_8 and Γ_9 respectively, so $\nabla_8 = 19$ and $\nabla_9 = 33$. The details of the results are listed in Table 2, for $n \leq 9$. Blank entries in the table correspond to computational tasks that are prohibitively time consuming.

Table 2. Computer search results of decycling sets for Γ_n

Γ_n	$ V_n $	∇_n	Number of decycling sets, without sub-decycling sets, of size $\nabla_n + i$							
			$i = 0$	$i = 1$	$i = 2$	$i = 3$	$i = 4$	$i = 5$	$i = 6$	$i = 7$
5	13	3	6	58	36	4	0	0	0	0
6	21	6	19	704	1933	639	65	0	0	0
7	34	11	192	8528	113175	454916	453985	112185	7996	96
8	55	19	33	10649	778540	21836552				
9	89	33	58							

Based on the computer search, we found that $\nabla_n = \nabla_{n-1} + \nabla_{n-2} + \mu(n)$ where $\mu(n)$ is small integer value (for $n = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$ it would be $0, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3$ respectively). This observation leads to the following conjecture:

CONJECTURE 2.8 $\nabla_n = \nabla_{n-1} + \nabla_{n-2} + \mu(n)$, where $\mu(n)$ is a non-decreasing function of n .

From Table 2, we found that there are still too many choices to test to feasibly find the decycling number of Γ_n if $n \geq 10$. In order to decrease the number of choices, we then consider the independent decycling sets, denoting the independent decycling number of Γ_n by ∇_n^0 . We have already noted that $\nabla_5^0 = 3$, $\nabla_6^0 = 6$ and $\nabla_7^0 = 11$.

By Corollary 2.2, we know that $\nabla_8^0 \geq 6 + 11 = 17$, and from the results summarized in Table 2, $\nabla_8^0 \geq 19$. However, it can also be argued theoretically that $\nabla_8^0 = 19$ by considering a line of reasoning similar to that presented in the proof of Lemma 2.7.

As with ∇_n , determining exact values of ∇_n^0 becomes increasingly difficult as n increases. We can, however, make some progress by using the same method described earlier, and noting that if S is an independent decycling set of Γ_n , then $S \cap \text{LOW}(n)$ (resp. $S \cap \text{HIGH}(n)$) must be an independent decycling set for Γ_{n-1} (resp. Γ_{n-2}). By such an exhaustive search, there is no independent decycling set of size less than 55 and 94 for Γ_{10} and Γ_{11} respectively, so $\nabla_{10}^0 = 55$ and $\nabla_{11}^0 = 94$. The details of the results for the independent decycling sets for Γ_n are presented in Table 3 and the corresponding decycling sets are listed online at www.math.mun.ca/~yubo/research/fib_cube/.

Table 3. Computer search results of independent decycling set for Γ_n

Γ_n	$ V_n $	∇_n^0	Number of independent decycling sets, without sub-decycling sets, of size $\nabla_n^0 + i$								
			$i = 0$	$i = 1$	$i = 2$	$i = 3$	$i = 4$	$i = 5$	$i = 6$	$i = 7$	$i = 8$
5	13	3	2	11	7	1	0	0	0	0	0
6	21	6	5	16	7	0	1	0	0	0	0
7	34	11	18	53	34	4	1	0	0	0	0
8	55	19	6	162	586	392	92	4	0	0	0
9	89	33	6	818	6325	10437	7358	2455	394	13	0
10	144	55	1	135	9100	102334	444544	899721	273817		
11	233	94	166								

Based on Table 3, we found that the decycling number and the independent decycling number of Γ_n are equal for $n \leq 9$, which suggests this conjecture:

CONJECTURE 2.9 For the n -dimensional Fibonacci cube $\Gamma_n, \nabla_n = \nabla_n^0$.

3 Upper Bound

In Section 2, we studied the lower bound of the decycling number of Fibonacci cubes, and got the exact decycling number of Γ_n when n is small. In this section, we will discuss the upper bound of the decycling number of Γ_n .

By the Decomposition Lemma, we have the following upper bound:

LEMMA 3.1 $\nabla_n \leq \nabla_{n-1} + \left\lfloor \frac{f_{n-2}}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Proof. Γ_n can be decomposed into two disjoint subgraphs which are isomorphic to Γ_{n-1} and Γ_{n-2} respectively. And these two subgraphs are connected by $\text{LINK}(n)$ (Lemma 2.1). Consider the subgraph induced by a maximum induced forest in $\text{LOW}(n)$ and a maximum independent set in $\text{HIGH}(n)$; such a graph is clearly acyclic. Since Γ_n is a bipartite graph, it contains a maximum independent set of size at least

$\left\lceil \frac{f_n}{2} \right\rceil$, and in [10] it was proved that Γ_n has independence number $\alpha(\Gamma_n) = \left\lceil \frac{f_n}{2} \right\rceil$. So $\nabla_n \leq \nabla_{n-1} + f_{n-2} - \left\lceil \frac{f_{n-2}}{2} \right\rceil$, and the upper bound follows. \square

Furthermore, we found several independent decycling sets of size 94 in Γ_{11} . After analyzing these 166 decycling sets, we observed that there always exist 19 components in the left-over graph $\Gamma_{11} - S$. This may be used to decrease the upper bound of ∇_n when $n \geq 12$. Here is the idea:

Consider the induced forest F formed by the method described in Lemma 3.1. The number of components in F cannot be less than the number of components in the induced forest in $\text{LOW}(n)$. Let (X, Y) be the bipartition of $\text{HIGH}(n)$, in which one of X or Y consists of n -bit strings (each beginning with 10) having an odd number of 1's (i.e. having odd Hamming weight), and the other set consists of n -bit strings having an even number of 1's. Let $Z \in \{X, Y\}$, such that each vertex of Z is in F and let $Z' = V(\text{HIGH}(n)) - Z$ be the other set in $\{X, Y\}$. For each vertex $v \in Z'$, if no two of the undeleted neighbours of v in Γ_n are located in the same component of F , then we can undelete v , and the resultant graph clearly remains acyclic. This decreases the upper bound of ∇_n by one. The vertices in Z' whose neighbours are in different components of F form a vertex subset we denote by A . Let C denote the components of F and then form a bipartite graph B with bipartition (A, C) , where each component in F and each vertex in A will be a vertex in B , and $E(B) = \{(i, C_j) : i \in A, C_j \in C, |N_{\Gamma_n}(i) \cap V(C_j)| = 1\}$. Here $N_G(v)$ denotes the neighbours of vertex v in G . We then find a minimum decycling set S_A of B with the property that $S_A \subseteq A$. Then in Γ_n , remove the vertices in $A - S_A$ from S ; the resultant graph is acyclic.

Since B has fewer vertices than Γ_n , we can easily find a minimum decycling set of B by choosing vertices in A . Also we noticed that the size of A is relatively smaller than the size of C in $B = (A, C)$.

By pursuing this idea we were able to reduce the upper bound on ∇_{12} to 158 from the value of 166 obtained from Lemma 3.1; this new upper bound is reflected in Table 1. Examples of decycling sets matching the presented upper bound for Γ_{12} , Γ_{13} and Γ_{14} are archived online at www.math.mun.ca/~yubo/research/fib_cube/.

References

- [1] J. Akiyama and M. Watanabe. Maximum induced forests of planar graphs. *Graphs Combin.* 3 (1987), 201–202.
- [2] M. O. Albertson and D. Berman. A conjecture on planar graphs. In J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, editors, *Graph theory and related topics*, p. 357, New York, 1979. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers].

- [3] L. W. Beineke and R. C. Vandell. Decycling graphs. *J. Graph Theory* 25(1) (1997), 59–77.
- [4] J. A. Bondy, G. Hopkins and W. Staton. Lower bounds for induced forests in cubic graphs. *Canad. Math. Bull.* 30(2) (1987), 193–199.
- [5] P. Erdős, M. Saks and V. T. Sós. Maximum induced trees in graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 41(1) (1986), 61–79.
- [6] R. Focardi, F. L. Luccio and D. Peleg. Feedback vertex set in hypercubes. *Inform. Process. Lett.* 76(1-2) (2000), 1–5.
- [7] W.-J. Hsu. Fibonacci cubes—a new interconnection topology. *IEEE Trans. Parallel Distributed Systems* 4 (1993), 3–12.
- [8] R. M. Karp. Reducibility among combinatorial problems. In *Complexity of computer computations* (Proc. Sympos., IBM Thomas J. Watson Res. Center, Yorktown Heights, N.Y., 1972), pp. 85–103. Plenum, New York, 1972.
- [9] J. Liu, W.-J. Hsu and M. J. Chung. Generalized Fibonacci cubes are mostly Hamiltonian. *J. Graph Theory* 18(8) (1994), 817–829.
- [10] E. Munarini and N. Zagaglia Salvi. Structural and enumerative properties of the Fibonacci cubes. *Discrete Math.* 255(1-3) (2002), 317–324. Combinatorics '98 (Palermo).
- [11] D. A. Pike. Decycling hypercubes. *Graphs Combin.* 19(4) (2003), 547–550.
- [12] E. Speckenmeyer. Bounds on feedback vertex sets of undirected cubic graphs. In *Algebra, combinatorics and logic in computer science*, Vol. I,II (Győr, 1983), vol. 42 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pp. 719–729. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [13] N. Zagaglia Salvi. On the existence of cycles of every even length on generalized Fibonacci cubes. *Matematiche (Catania)* 51(suppl.) (1997), 241–251, 1996.
- [14] E. Zeckendorf. Représentation des nombres naturels par une somme de nombres de Fibonacci ou de nombres de Lucas. *Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège* 41 (1972), 179–182.

(Received 25 Sep 2004)