# 2-restricted edge connectivity of vertex-transitive graphs\*

JUN-MING XU QI LIU

Department of Mathematics University of Science and Technology of China Hefei, Anhui, 230026 P.R. CHINA xujm@ustc.edu.cn

#### Abstract

The 2-restricted edge-connectivity  $\lambda''$  of a graph G is defined to be the minimum cardinality |S| of a set S of edges such that G-S is disconnected and is of minimum degree at least two. It is known that  $\lambda'' \leq g(k-2)$  for any connected k-regular graph G of girth g other than  $K_4$ ,  $K_5$  and  $K_{3,3}$ , where  $k \geq 3$ . In this paper, we prove the following result: For a connected vertex-transitive graph of order  $n \geq 7$ , degree  $k \geq 6$  and girth  $g \geq 5$ , we have  $\lambda'' = g(k-2)$ . Moreover, if  $k \geq 6$  and  $\lambda'' < g(k-2)$ , then  $\lambda''|n$  or  $\lambda''|2n$ .

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, a graph G = (V, E) always means a simple undirected graph (without loops and multiple edges) with vertex-set V and edge-set E. We follow Bondy and Murty [1] or Xu [18] for graph-theoretical terminology and notation not defined here.

It is well-known that when the underlying topology of an interconnection network is modelled by a graph G, the connectivity of G is an important measure for faulttolerance of the network [17]. However, this measure has many deficiencies (see [2]). Motivated by the shortcomings of the traditional connectivity, Harary [5] introduced the concept of conditional connectivity by requiring some specific conditions to be satisfied by every connected component of G - S, where S is a minimum cut of G. Certain properties of connected components are particularly important for applications in which parallel algorithms can run on subnetworks with a given topological structure [2, 6]. In [2, 3], Esfahanian and Hakim proposed the concept of restricted connectivity by requiring that very connected component must contain no isolated

<sup>\*</sup> The work was supported by ANSF (No. 01046102) and NNSF of China (No. 10271114).

vertex. The restricted connectivity can provide a more accurate fault-tolerance measure of networks and have received much attention recently. (For example, see [2, 3], [6]-[10], [14]-[19].) For regular graphs Latifi *et al* [6] generalized the restricted connectivity to *h*-restricted connectivity for the case of vertices by requiring that every connected component contains no vertex of degree less than *h*. In this paper we are interested in similar kind of connectivity for the case of edges.

Let h be a nonnegative integer. Let G be a connected graph with minimum degree  $k \ge h + 1$ . A set S of edges of G is called an *h*-restricted edge-cut if G - Sis disconnected and is of minimum degree at least h. If such an edge-cut exists, then the *h*-restricted edge-connectivity of G, denoted by  $\lambda^{(h)}(G)$ , is defined to be the minimum cardinality over all *h*-restricted edge-cuts of G. From this definition, it is clear that if  $\lambda^{(h)}$  exists, then for any l with  $0 \le l \le h$ ,  $\lambda^{(l)}$  exists and

$$\lambda^{(0)} \leq \lambda^{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda^{(l)} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda^{(h)}.$$

It is clear that  $\lambda^{(0)}$  is the traditional edge-connectivity and  $\lambda^{(1)}$  is the restricted edge-connectivity defined in [2, 3]. In this paper, we restrict ourselves to h = 2. For the sake of convenience, we write  $\lambda''$  for  $\lambda^{(2)}$ . We use g = g(G) to denote the girth of G, that is, the length of a shortest cycle in G. The following result ensures the existence of  $\lambda''(G)$  if G is regular.

**Theorem 1** (Xu [15]) Let G be a connected k-regular graph with girth g other than  $K_4$ ,  $K_5$  and  $K_{3,3}$ , where  $k \ge 3$ . Then  $\lambda''(G)$  exists and  $\lambda''(G) \le g(k-2)$ .

A graph G is called *vertex-transitive* if there is an element  $\pi$  of the automorphism group  $\Gamma(G)$  of G such that  $\pi(x) = y$  for any two vertices x and y of G. It is wellknown [12, 13] that the edge-connectivity of a vertex-transitive graph is equal to its degree. The restricted edge-connectivity of vertex-transitive graphs has been studied in [16, 19].

For a special class of vertex-transitive graphs, circulant graphs, its 2-restricted edge-connectivity has been determined by Li [9]. In [14] Xu proved that  $\lambda''(G) = g(k-2)$  for a vertex-transitive graph  $G (\neq K_5)$  with even degree k and girth  $g \geq 5$ . In this paper, we prove the following result by making good use of the technique proposed by Mader [12] and Watkins [13], independently.

**Theorem 2** For a connected vertex-transitive graph of order  $n \ge 7$ , girth g and degree  $k (\ge 4 \text{ and } \ne 5)$ , if  $g \ge 5$  we have  $\lambda'' = g(k-2)$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda'' < g(k-2)$ , then  $\lambda'' | n \text{ or } \lambda'' | 2n$ .

Note that in this theorem k is not required to be even. The proof of Theorem 2 will be given in Section 3, and this follows the proof of two lemmas in the next section.

### 2 Notation and Lemmas

Let G be a k-regular graph, where  $k \ge 2$ . Then G contains a cycle and hence its girth is finite. It is known (see [11, Problem 10.11]) that

$$|V(G)| \ge f(k,g) = \begin{cases} 1+k+k(k-1)+\dots+k(k-1)^{(g-3)/2}, & \text{if } g \text{ is odd};\\ 2[1+(k-1)+\dots+(k-1)^{(g-2)/2}], & \text{if } g \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$
(1)

A vertex x of G is called *singular* if it is of degree zero or one. Let X and Y be two distinct nonempty proper subsets of V. The symbol (X, Y) denotes the set of edges between X and Y in G. If  $Y = \overline{X} = V \setminus X$ , then we write  $\partial(X)$  for  $(X, \overline{X})$  and d(X) for  $|\partial(X)|$ . The following inequality is well-known (see [11, Problem 6.48]).

$$d(X \cap Y) + d(X \cup Y) \le d(X) + d(Y).$$

$$\tag{2}$$

A 2-restricted edge-cut S of G is called a  $\lambda''$ -cut if  $|S| = \lambda''(G) > 0$ . Let X be a proper subset of V. If  $\partial(X)$  is a  $\lambda''$ -cut of G, then X is called a  $\lambda''$ -fragment of G. It is clear that if X is a  $\lambda''$ -fragment of G, then so is  $\overline{X}$  and both G[X] and  $G[\overline{X}]$ are connected. A  $\lambda''$ -fragment X is called a  $\lambda''$ -atom of G if it has the minimum cardinality. It is clear that G certainly contains  $\lambda''$ -atoms if  $\lambda''(G)$  exists. For a given  $\lambda''$ -atom X of G, since G[X] is connected and contains no singular vertices, it contains a cycle. Thus  $g(G) \leq |X| \leq |V(G)|/2$ .

**Lemma 3** Let G be a connected k-regular graph, where  $k \ge 3$ . Let R be a proper subset of V(G) and U be the set of singular vertices in  $G - \partial(R)$ . If  $\lambda''(G)$  exists and  $U \subseteq R$ , then |R| < g(G) provided that one of the following three conditions is satisfied:

(a)  $d(R) \leq \lambda''(G);$ 

(b)  $d(R) \le \lambda''(G) + 1$  and  $|U| \ge 2$  or  $k \ge 4$ ;

(c)  $d(R) \leq \lambda''(G) + 1$  and |U| = 1, k = 3, and R contains no  $\lambda''$ -fragments of G.

*Proof* Let g = g(G). Since  $\lambda''(G)$  exists,  $\lambda''(G) \leq g(k-2)$  by Theorem 1. Suppose to the contrary that  $|R| \geq g$ . We will derive contradictions.

If G[R] contains no cycles, then  $|E(G[R])| \leq |R| - 1$  and

$$g(k-2) + 1 \geq \lambda''(G) + 1 \geq d(R) = |R|k - 2|E(G[R])|$$
  
 
$$\geq |R|k - 2(|R| - 1) = |R|(k-2) + 2$$
  
 
$$\geq g(k-2) + 2,$$

which is a contradiction.

In the following we assume that G[R] contains cycles. Let R' be the vertex-set of the union of all maximal 2-connected subgraphs of G[R]. Then  $U \subseteq R \setminus R'$ . Note that for any two distinct vertices u and v in R', any neighbor of u and any neighbor of v in  $R \setminus R'$  are not joined by a path. This implies that  $G - \partial(R')$  contains no singular vertices. So  $\partial(R')$  is a  $\lambda''$ -restricted edge-cut of G for which  $d(R') \geq \lambda''(G)$ . Also note that for any edge  $e \in (R', R \setminus R')$ , either e is incident with some vertex  $z \in U$  or there is a path in  $G[R \setminus R']$  connecting e to some vertex  $z \in U$ . Furthermore, if two edges  $e, e' \in (R', R \setminus R')$  are distinct, then the corresponding two vertices  $z, z' \in U$  are distinct too. Thus  $|(R', R \setminus R')| \leq |U|$ , and  $|(R \setminus R', \overline{R})| \geq |U|(k-1)$  since  $U \subseteq R \setminus R'$ . It follows that

$$d(R') = d(R) - |(R \setminus R', \overline{R})| + |(R', R \setminus R')|$$
  

$$\leq d(R) - |U|(k-1) + |U|$$
  

$$= d(R) - |U|(k-2),$$

from which we have

$$\lambda''(G) \le d(R') \le d(R) - |U|(k-2).$$
(3)

If  $d(R) \leq \lambda''(G)$ , then from (3) we have  $\lambda''(G) \leq d(R) - 1 \leq \lambda''(G) - 1$ , which is a contradiction.

If  $d(R) \leq \lambda''(G) + 1$  and  $|U| \geq 2$  or  $k \geq 4$ , then from (3) we have  $\lambda''(G) \leq d(R) - 2 = \lambda''(G) - 1$ , again a contradiction.

If  $d(R) \leq \lambda''(G) + 1$ , |U| = 1, k = 3, then from (3), we have  $d(R') = \lambda''(G)$ . Thus R' is a  $\lambda''$ -fragment of G contained in R, which contradicts our condition (c). The proof of the lemma is complete.

**Lemma 4** Let G be a connected k-regular graph with  $\lambda''(G) < g(k-2)$ , where  $k \geq 3$ . If X and X' are two distinct  $\lambda''$ -atoms of G, then  $|X \cap X'| < g$ . Moreover,  $X \cap X' = \emptyset$  for any k with  $k \geq 4$  and  $k \neq 5$ .

*Proof* Note that  $|X| \ge g$  since X is a  $\lambda''$ -atom of G. If |X| = g, then G[X] is a cycle of length g. Thus  $g(k-2) = d(X) = \lambda''(G) < g(k-2)$ , a contradiction. So we have |X| > g. Let

$$A = X \cap X', \quad B = X \cap \overline{X'}, \quad C = \overline{X} \cap X' \quad D = \overline{X} \cap \overline{X'}.$$

Then  $|D| \ge |A|$  and  $|B| = |C| = |X| - |A| \ge 1$  since X and X' are two distinct  $\lambda''$ -atoms of G.

We first show |A| < g. In fact, if  $d(A) \leq \lambda''(G)$ , then  $G - \partial(A)$  contains singular vertices (for otherwise, A is a  $\lambda''$ -fragment whose cardinality is smaller than |X|), and all of them are contained in A. Thus, |A| < g by Lemma 3. If  $d(A) > \lambda''(G)$ , then

$$d(D) = d(X \cup X') \leq d(X) + d(X') - d(X \cap X') < \lambda''(G)$$

which implies that  $G - \partial(D)$  contains singular vertices (for otherwise, D is a 2-restricted edge-cut whose cardinality is smaller than  $\lambda''$ ), and all of them are contained in D. Thus, |D| < g by Lemma 3, and so  $|A| \leq |D| < g$ .

We now show |A| = 0 for any k with  $k \ge 4$  and  $k \ne 5$ . Suppose to the contrary that |A| > 0. Since |A| < g, G[A] contains no cycle, that is,  $G - \partial(A)$  contains at least one singular vertex. Let y be a singular vertex in  $G - \partial(A)$ . Then  $y \in A$ . Consider the set  $X \setminus \{y\}$  if |(y, C)| > |(y, B)|, and the set  $X' \setminus \{y\}$  if |(y, C)| < |(y, B)|. Then

$$d(X \setminus \{y\}) \le d(X) - |(y, D)| - |(y, C)| + |(y, B)| + 1 \le d(X) = \lambda''(G).$$
(4)

So there are singular vertices in  $G - \partial(X \setminus \{y\})$ , and all of them are in  $X \setminus \{y\}$ . By Lemma 3,  $|X \setminus \{y\}| < g$ , and so  $g < |X| = |X \setminus \{y\}| + 1 \le g$ , a contradiction. Thus,

we need only to consider the case where |(y, C)| = |(y, B)|. Note that in this case the inequality (4) does not hold only when |(y, D)| = 0 and y is a vertex of degree one in  $G - \partial(A)$ . It follows that  $k = d_G(y) = |(y, C)| + |(y, B)| + 1$ . Thus, we need only to consider the case where k is odd.

Let W be the vertex-set of the connected component of G[A] that contains y. Note that W contains at least two vertices of degree one in  $G - \partial(A)$ , and that  $W \subseteq A$ . Thus,  $2 \leq |W| < g$ . Let  $Y = X \setminus W$  if  $|(W, B)| \leq |(W, C)|$ , and  $Y = X' \setminus W$  if  $|(W, B)| \geq |(W, C)|$ . Then  $\emptyset \neq Y \subset X$ . Then

$$d(Y) = d(X) - |(W, C)| - |(W, D)| + |(W, B)| \le d(X) = \lambda''(G)$$

which implies |Y| < g by Lemma 3.

Since k is odd and is at least 7, there are at least 3 neighbors of y in B and C, respectively. We claim that no two neighbors of y are in the same component of G[Y]. Suppose to the contrary that some component of G[Y] contains at least two neighbors of y. Choose two such vertices  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  so that their distance in G[Y] is as short as possible. Let P be a shortest  $y_1y_2$ -path in G[Y]. Clearly, P does not contain any other neighbors of y except  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ . Thus the length of P satisfies  $\varepsilon(P) \leq |Y| - 2 \leq g - 3$ , and so the length of the cycle  $yy_1 + P + y_2y$  is smaller than g, a contradiction.

Thus, all neighbors of y in Y are in different components of G[Y]. Since |Y| < g, we can choose such a component H of G[Y] so that its order is at most  $\lfloor \frac{1}{3}g \rfloor$ . Let  $z \in V(H)$  be a neighbor of y. Then z is in B. Moreover, we claim that  $d_H(z) \ge 2$ . In fact, if z is a singular vertex in G[H], then  $d(X') \le \lambda''(G) + 1$  and all singular vertices of  $G - \partial(X')$  are in X', where  $X' = X \setminus \{y\}$ . By Lemma 3, |X| - 1 < g, that is,  $|X| \le g$ , a contradiction.

Let *L* be a longest path containing *z* in *H* with two distinct end-vertices *a* and *b*. Then the length of *L* is at most  $\lfloor \frac{1}{3}g \rfloor - 1$ . Noting that  $d_H(a) = d_H(b) = 1$ , it follows that there exist  $c, d \in W \setminus \{y\}$  such that they are neighbors of *a* and *b*, respectively. If c = d, then the length of the cycle ac + cb + L is equal to  $2 + \varepsilon(L) \leq 2 + \lfloor \frac{1}{3}g \rfloor - 1 < g$ , which is impossible. Therefore, we have  $c \neq d$ .

Let Q and R be the unique yc-path and yd-path in G[W] since G[W] is a tree, and let e be the last common vertex of Q and R starting with y. Note that  $e \neq y$ and

$$\varepsilon(Q) + \varepsilon(R) + \varepsilon(Q(c, e) \cup R(e, d)) = 2[\varepsilon(Q) + \varepsilon(R(e, d))] \le 2(g - 2).$$

Therefore, at least one of  $\varepsilon(Q)$ ,  $\varepsilon(R)$  and  $\varepsilon(Q(c,e) \cup R(e,d))$  is at most  $\lfloor \frac{2}{3}(g-2) \rfloor$ .

If  $\varepsilon(Q) \leq \lfloor \frac{2}{3}(g-2) \rfloor$ , then, by considering the lengths of the cycle  $C_1 = L(a, z) + yz + Q + ca$ , we have

$$g \le \varepsilon(C_1) \le \left( \left\lfloor \frac{g}{3} \right\rfloor - 2 \right) + 2 + \left\lfloor \frac{2(g-2)}{3} \right\rfloor \le g - 1,$$

a contradiction.

If  $\varepsilon(R) \leq \lfloor \frac{2}{3}(g-2) \rfloor$ , then, by considering the lengths of the cycle  $C_2 = zy + R + db + L(d, z)$ , we have

$$g \le \varepsilon(C_2) \le 2 + \left\lfloor \frac{2(g-2)}{3} \right\rfloor + \left( \left\lfloor \frac{g}{3} \right\rfloor - 2 \right) \le g - 1,$$

again a contradiction.

If each of  $\varepsilon(Q)$  and  $\varepsilon(R)$  is more than  $\lfloor \frac{2}{3}(g-2) \rfloor$ , then  $\varepsilon(Q(c,e) \cup R(e,d)) \leq \lfloor \frac{2}{3}(g-2) \rfloor$  and by considering the lengths of the cycle  $C_3 = ac + Q(c,e) \cup R(e,d) + db + L$ , we have

$$g \le \varepsilon(C_3) \le 2 + \left\lfloor \frac{2(g-2)}{3} \right\rfloor + \left( \left\lfloor \frac{g}{3} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \le g - 1,$$

a contradiction.

The proof of Lemma 4 is complete.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

Let G be a connected vertex-transitive graph with order  $n (\geq 7)$  and degree  $k (\geq 4$  and  $\neq 5$ ). Then  $\lambda''(G)$  exists and  $\lambda''(G) \leq g(k-2)$  by Theorem 1. Suppose that  $\lambda''(G) < g(k-2)$ , and let X be a  $\lambda''$ -atom of G. Under these assumptions we prove the following claims.

Claim 1 G[X] is vertex-transitive.

**Proof** Let x and y be any two vertices in X. Since G is vertex-transitive, there is  $\pi \in \Gamma(G)$  such that  $\pi(x) = y$ . Denote  $\pi(X) = \{\pi(x) : x \in X\}$ . It is clear that  $G[X] \cong G[\pi(X)]$  because  $\pi$  induces an isomorphism between G[X] and  $G[\pi(X)]$ . Hence  $\pi(X)$  is also a  $\lambda''$ -atom of G. Since  $y \in X \cap \pi(X)$ , by Lemma 4,  $X = \pi(X)$ . Thus, the setwise stabilizer

$$\Pi = \{\pi \in \Gamma(G) : \pi(X) = X\}$$

is a subgroup of  $\Gamma(G)$ , and the constituent of  $\Pi$  on X acts transitively. This shows that G[X] is vertex-transitive.

**Claim 2** There exists a partition  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m\}$  of V(G), where  $m \ge 2$ , such that  $G[X_i] \cong G[X]$  and  $X_i$  is a  $\lambda''$ -atom for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Proof Let x be a fixed vertex in X. Let u be any element in  $\overline{X}$ . Since G is vertextransitive, there exists  $\sigma \in \Gamma(G)$  such that  $\sigma(x) = u$ . Moreover,  $\sigma(X)$  is a  $\lambda''$ -atom of G. Let  $X_u = \sigma(X)$ . Then  $X \cap X_u = \emptyset$  by Lemma 4 and  $G[X] \cong G[X_u]$ . Thus there are at least two  $\lambda''$ -atoms of G. It follows that for every u in G there is a  $\lambda''$ -atom  $X_u$  that contains u such that  $G[X_u] \cong G[X]$ , and either  $X_u = X_v$  or  $X_u \cap X_v = \emptyset$ for any two distinct vertices u and v of G. These  $\lambda''$ -atoms,  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ , form a partition of V(G), and  $G[X_i] \cong G[X]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Since G has at least two distinct  $\lambda''$ -atoms, we have  $m \ge 2$ .

**Claim 3** g = 3 or 4 and  $\lambda'' | n$  or  $\lambda'' | 2n$ .

*Proof* Suppose that  $\lambda''(G) < g(k-2)$  and X is a  $\lambda''$ -atom of G. Then G[X] is vertextransitive by Claim 1 and there exists a divisor  $m (\geq 2)$  of n such that |X| = n/mby Claim 2. Let t denote the degree of G[X]. Then  $2 \leq t \leq k-1$  and

$$\lambda''(G) = d(X) = |\partial(X)| = (k-t)|X| = (k-t)n/m.$$
(5)

Since G[X] contains a cycle of length at least g, it follows from (1) and (5) that

$$g(k-2) > \lambda''(G) = (k-t)|X| \ge (k-t)f(t,g).$$
(6)

**Case 1** g is even. In this case, from (1) and (6), we have

$$0 < g(k-2) - (k-t)2[1 + (t-1) + \dots + (t-1)^{(g-2)/2}].$$
(7)

The right hand side of (7) is increasing with respect to t and is decreasing with respect to g. It is not difficult to show that the inequality (7) can hold only when g = 4 and t = k - 1. So  $\lambda''(G) = |X| = n/m$  by (5).

**Case 2** g is odd. In this case, from (1) and (6), we have

$$0 < g(k-2) - (k-t)[1+t+t(t-1)+\dots+t(t-1)^{(g-3)/2}].$$
(8)

The right hand side of (8) is increasing with respect to t and is decreasing with respect to g. It is not difficult to show that the inequality in (8) can hold only when g = 3 and t = k - 2 or t = k - 1. If t = k - 1, then  $\lambda''(G) = |X| = n/m$  by (5). If t = k - 2, then  $\lambda''(G) = 2|X| = 2n/m$  by (5).

From Claim 3, it follows that, if  $g \ge 5$ , then  $\lambda'' = g(k-2)$ . Also, if  $\lambda''(G) < g(k-2)$ , then g = 3 or 4, and hence  $\lambda''|n$  or  $\lambda''|2n$ . The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.



Figure 1: A vertex-transitive graph of degree k = 5 and  $\lambda'' = 8$ 

**Remarks** The result  $\lambda''(G) = g(k-2)$  is invalid for connected vertex-transitive graphs of degree k = 5. For example, consider the lexicographical product  $C_n[K_2]$  of  $C_n$  by  $K_2$ , where  $C_n$  is a cycle of order  $n \ge 4$ ,  $K_2$  is a complete graph of order two. The definition of lexicographical product of graphs is referred to [4, pp.21-22] and the graph shown in Figure 1 is  $C_7[K_2]$ . Since both  $C_n$  and  $K_2$  are vertex-transitive,  $C_n[K_2]$  is vertex-transitive (see, [4, the exercise 14.19]). It is easy to see that  $C_n[K_2]$  is of degree k = 5, girth g = 3 and a set of any four vertices that induce a complete graph  $K_4$  is a  $\lambda''$ -atom of  $C_n[K_2]$ , and hence  $\lambda'' = 8 < 3(5-2)$ . Two distinct  $\lambda''$ atoms X and X' corresponding two complete graphs of order four with an edge in common satisfy  $|X \cap X'| = 2 < 3 = g$ . This fact shows that the latter half of Lemma 4 is invalid for k = 5.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions in order to improve the final version of the paper.

## References

- J.A. Bondy. and U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan Press, London, 1976.
- [2] A.H. Esfahanian, Generalized measures of fault tolerance with application to N-cube networks, *IEEE Trans. Comput.* 38 (11) (1989), 1586–1591.
- [3] A.H. Esfahanian and S.L. Hakimi, On computer a conditional edge- connectivity of a graph, *Information Processing Letters* 27 (1988), 195–199.
- [4] F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc. 1969.
- [5] F. Harary, Conditional connectivity, Networks 13 (1983), 346–357.
- [6] S. Latifi, M. Hegde and M. Naraghi-Pour, Conditional connectivity measures for large multiprocessor systems, *IEEE Trans. Comput.* 43 (1994), 218–221.
- [7] Q.L. Li and Q. Li, Reliability analysis of circulant graphs, *Networks*, **31** (1998), 61–65.
- [8] Q.L. Li and Q. Li, Refined connectivity properties of abelian Cayley graphs, *Chin. Ann. of Math.* **19B** (1998), 409–414.
- [9] Q.L. Li, Graph theoretical studies on fault-tolerance and reliability of networks (Chinese), Ph.D. Thesis, University of Science and Technology of China, 1997.
- [10] Q. Li and Y. Zhang, Restricted connectivity and restricted fault diameter of some interconnection networks, *DIMACS* 21 (1995), 267–273.
- [11] L. Lovász, Combinatorial Problems and Exercises, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam/New York/Oxford, 1979.
- [12] W. Mader, Eine Eigenschft der Atome andlicher Graphen. Archives of Mathematics (Basel) 22 (1971), 333–336

- [13] A.E. Watkins, Connectivity of transitive graphs, J. Combin. Theory 8 (1970), 23–29.
- [14] J.-M. Xu, Some results of *R*-edge-connectivity of even regular graphs, *Applied Math. J. Chinese Univ.* **14B** (3) (1999), 366–370.
- [15] J.-M. Xu, On conditional edge-connectivity of graphs. Acta Math. Appl. Sinica, B 16 (4) (2000), 414–419.
- [16] J.-M. Xu, Restricted edge-connectivity of vertex-transitive graphs (Chinese), Chinese Annals of Math., 21A (5) (2000), 605-608; An English version in Chinese J. Contemporary Math., 21 (4) (2000), 369–374.
- [17] J.-M. Xu, Toplogical Structure and Analysis of Interconnection Networks, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2001.
- [18] J.-M. Xu, Theory and Application of Graphs, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2003
- [19] J.-M. Xu, and K.-L. Xu, On restricted edge-connectivity of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 243 (2002), 291–298.

(Received 29 Sep 2002; revised 20 Jan 2004)