

On the spectrum of nested G -designs, where G has four non-isolated vertices or less

Lucia Gionfriddo¹

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica
Università di Catania
viale A.Doria n.6, 95125 Catania
Italy
lucia.gionfriddo@dmf.unict.it

Abstract

The spectrum problem for G -decompositions of λK_n that have a nesting was first considered in the case $G \cong K_3$ by C.J. Colbourn and M.J. Colbourn (1983) and by D.R. Stinson (1985). For $\lambda = 1$ and $G \cong C_m$ this problem was studied in many papers (see C.C. Lindner and C.A. Rodger, Chapter 8 in Contemporary Design Theory: a collection of surveys, Wiley 1992, and D.R. Stinson, Utilitas Math. **33** (1988) for more details and references). In this paper we generalize the nesting definition given by C.J. Colbourn and M.J. Colbourn [Ars Combin. **16** (1983), 27–34] and we study the spectrum problem in the case that G has four non-isolated vertices or less.

1 Introduction

Let λK_n be the complete multigraph on n vertices, where every edge is repeated λ times. If G is a graph, the multigraph λK_n is said to be G -decomposable if it is the union of edge-disjoint subgraphs of K_n , each of them isomorphic to G . This situation is denoted by $\lambda K_n \rightarrow G$; λK_n is also said to admit a G -decomposition $\Sigma = (V, \underline{B})$, where V is the vertex-set of λK_n and \underline{B} is the edge-disjoint decomposition of λK_n into copies of G . Usually \underline{B} is called the *block-set* of the G -decomposition and any $B \in \underline{B}$ is said to be a *block*.

A G -decomposition of λK_n , $\Sigma = (V, \underline{B})$, is also called a G -design of order n , block-size $|V(G)|$ and index λ [3]. A G -design $\Sigma^* = (V^*, \underline{B}^*)$ is said to be a *subdesign* of $\Sigma = (V, \underline{B})$ if $V^* \subseteq V$ and $\underline{B}^* \subseteq \underline{B}$. More generally, it is possible to define G -decompositions of λH , instead of λK_n , where H is any graph.

¹Lavoro eseguito nell'ambito del GNSAGA/INDAM e con contributo del MURST

A *path-design* $P(n, k, \lambda)$ is a G -design of order n , block-size k , index λ , where G is a *path* on k vertices, i.e. a graph having for vertices x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k and for edges all the pairs $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\}$, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$. Such a *path* will be denoted by $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k \rangle$.

A *star-system* $S(n, m, \lambda)$ is a G -design of order n , block-size $m + 1$, index λ , where G is a *star* with m terminal vertices, i.e. $G \cong S_m$ graph having $m + 1$ vertices x' (*centre*), x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m (*terminal*) and for edges all the pairs $\{x', x_i\}$, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Such a *star* will be denoted by $\langle x'; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$.

An *m-cycle-system* $CS(n, m, \lambda)$ is a G -design of order n , block-size m , index λ , where $G \cong C_m$, the cycle with m vertices.

A *Steiner triple system* $S_\lambda(2, 3, v)$ is a C_3 -design or also a K_3 -design.

In the literature there are some definitions of *nesting* for G -designs, mainly, for $\lambda = 1$ and $G \cong C_m$.

Let $\Sigma = (V, C)$ be a C_m -design having order n and index $\lambda = 1$.

A *nesting* of the C_m -design Σ is a mapping $f : C \rightarrow V$ such that the set $\Pi = \{\{x, f(c)\} : c \in C, x \text{ vertex of } c\}$ is a partition of the edges of K_n . Observe that any nesting of a C_m -design produces an edge-disjoint decomposition of K_n into m -stars. It is clear that a nesting of an m -cycle-design of order n is equivalent to an *edge-disjoint decomposition* of $2K_n$ into *wheels* W_m having the additional property that for each pair of vertices x, y , one of the edges joining x to y is on the *rim* of a wheel and the other is the *spoke* of a wheel.

The spectrum problem for m -cycle-systems that have a *nesting* was first considered in the case where $m = 3$, i.e. for $S(2, 3, v)$. This case was studied by C.J. Colbourn and M.J. Colbourn [1] and by C.C. Lindner and C.A. Rodger [4] who left 15 possible exceptions; Stinson [13] completed the spectrum. *Nested 4-cycle-systems* were studied by Stinson [14], while *nested 5-cycle-systems* were studied by Lindner and Rodger [4]. Further, general results have been obtained by Lindner, Rodger and Stinson [5].

The same definition of *nesting* can be given for G -designs, in which $G = (V(G), E(G))$ is not necessarily a cycle. A necessary condition is that

$$|V(G)| = |E(G)|.$$

Recently, Milici and Quattrocchi [10] have given the following definition.

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph and let $\Sigma = (V, \underline{B})$ be a G -decomposition of λK_n . A *nesting* of Σ is a triple $N = \{\Sigma, \Pi, F\}$, where $\Pi = (V(K_n), S)$ is a decomposition of λK_n into m -stars S_m and $F : \underline{B} \rightarrow S$ is a 1-1 mapping such that:

- (i) for every $B \in \underline{B}$, the *centre* of the m -star $F(B)$ does not belong to $V(B)$; all the *terminal* vertices of $F(B)$ belong to $V(B)$;
- (ii) for every pair $B_1, B_2 \in \underline{B}$, the graphs $B_1 \cup F(B_1), B_2 \cup F(B_2)$ are isomorphic.

A necessary condition is that $|V(G)| \geq |E(G)|$. If $|V(G)| = |E(G)|$, this definition is equivalent to the previous.

In this paper we give the following definition of nesting of a G -design and we study the spectrum for all G -designs in which G is a graph having four non-isolated vertices, or less.

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$, $H = (V(H), E(H))$ be two graphs and let $\Sigma = (V, \underline{B})$ be a G -design of index λ_1 , briefly $\lambda_1 H \rightarrow G$. A nesting $N(G, H; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ of Σ is a triple (Σ, Π, F) , where $\Pi = (V(H), S)$ is an m -star-design of index λ_2 , briefly $\lambda_2 H \rightarrow S_m$, and $F: \underline{B} \rightarrow S$ is a bijection such that for every $B \in \underline{B}$:

- (i) the centre of the m -star $F(B)$ does not belong to $V(B)$;
- (ii) x is a terminal vertex of $F(B)$ if and only if x is a vertex of $V(B)$.

In what follows, when $H \cong K_n$, such a nesting will be denoted by $N = N(G, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. Observe that N is a G^* -design of order n , block-size $|V(G)| + 1$ and index $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$, where $G^* = G \cup S_{|V(G)|}$.

If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$, this definition is the same as given in [1], [7], [10].

Further:

- (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) will be a cycle C_n ;
- $\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ will be a path P_n ;
- $\langle y; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ will be a star S_n with centre y ;
- $[y; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ will be $P_n \cup S_n$, where $P_n = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ and $S_n = \langle y; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$;
- $(y; (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))$ will be a wheel with centre y .

Example A nesting $N(P_3, 7; 2, 3)$ is given by

- the P_3 -design $\Sigma = (V, \underline{B})$, having index $\lambda_1 = 2$ and order $v = 7$, so defined:
 $V = Z_7$ and $\underline{B} = \{ \langle i, i+1, i+2 \rangle, \langle i, i+2, i+4 \rangle, \langle i, i+3, i+6 \rangle \mid i \in Z_7 \}$;
- the S_3 -design $\Pi = (V, S)$, having index $\lambda_2 = 3$ and order $v = 7$, so defined:
 $V = Z_7$ and $S = \{ \langle i+5; i, i+1, i+2 \rangle, \langle i+3; i, i+2, i+4 \rangle, \langle i+1; i, i+3, i+6 \rangle \mid i \in Z_7 \}$;
- $F(\langle i, i+1, i+2 \rangle) = \langle i+5; i, i+1, i+2 \rangle$,
 $F(\langle i, i+2, i+4 \rangle) = \langle i+3; i, i+2, i+4 \rangle$,
 $F(\langle i, i+3, i+6 \rangle) = \langle i+1; i, i+3, i+6 \rangle$.

Result 1: Observe that in the case $G \cong K_n$ this new definition of nesting is the same as given by Kageyama and Miao [7], [8], [9].

Result 2: Note that if there exists an $N(G, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, then there exists also an $N(G, n; h\lambda_1, h\lambda_2)$. It is sufficient to repeat all the blocks h times.

Result 3: In what follows, when a G -design is defined on $Z_n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, it is understood that all the sums in Z_n must be reduced mod n .

2 Preliminary results

In this section we give some definitions and theorems useful to construct nestings of a G -design, i.e. nested G -designs. In some of them we will use pairwise balanced

designs and group divisible designs.

Let X be a finite set of *points*, \mathcal{C} a family of distinct subsets of X called *groups* which partition X , \mathcal{A} a collection of subsets of X called *blocks*. Let v and λ be positive integers and K, M sets of positive integers. The triple $(X, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{A})$ is a *group divisible design*, briefly a GDD, $\text{GD}[K, \lambda, M; v]$ if:

$$(c_1) \quad |X| = v;$$

$$(c_2) \quad \{|C| \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\} \subseteq M;$$

$$(c_3) \quad \{|B| \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\} \subseteq K;$$

$$(c_4) \quad |C \cap B| \leq 1, \text{ for every } C \in \mathcal{C}, B \in \mathcal{B};$$

$$(c_5) \quad \text{every pair } \{x, y\} \subseteq X, \text{ such that } x, y \text{ belong to distinct groups, is contained in exactly } \lambda \text{ blocks of } \mathcal{A}.$$

If C contains t_i groups of size m_i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, the GDD is said to have *group type* $m_1^{t_1} m_2^{t_2} \dots m_s^{t_s}$. When $K = \{k\}$, we will write $\text{GD}[k, \lambda, M; v]$ instead of $\text{GD}[\{k\}, \lambda, M; v]$.

A $\text{GD}[K, \lambda, \{1\}; v]$ having group type 1^v is called a *pairwise balanced design* and is denoted by (X, \mathcal{A}) or by (v, K, λ) -PBD. A (v, k, λ) -PBD is simply a K_k -design. For $\lambda = 1$, a $(v, k, 1)$ -PBD is a (v, k) -PBD.

A $\text{GD}[k, 1, \{m\}; km]$ is called a *transversal design*, denoted by $\text{TD}[k, m]$; it is also called a k -GDD.

A (v, k, λ) -BIBD (*balanced incomplete block-design*) or an $S_\lambda(2, k, v)$ (*Steiner system of index λ*) is a pair (V, \underline{B}) , where V is a finite v -set and \underline{B} is a collection of k -subsets of V , called *blocks*, such that every 2-subset of V is contained in exactly λ blocks of \underline{B} .

A *parallel class* of a (v, k, λ) -BIBD (V, \underline{B}) is a set of blocks of \underline{B} that partition V . A (v, k, λ) -BIBD is said to be *resolvable* and is denoted by (v, k, λ) -RBIBD if \underline{B} can be partitioned into parallel classes.

A *near resolvable* $(v, k, k-1)$ -BIBD, briefly a $(v, k, k-1)$ -NRB, is a $(v, k, k-1)$ -BIBD with the property that \underline{B} can be partitioned into partial parallel classes missing a single $x \in V$ and every $x \in V$ is absent from exactly one class.

Theorem 2.1 [3]: *Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph and let $\Sigma = (V, \underline{B})$ be a G -design of index λ_1 . A necessary condition for the existence of a $N(G, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is that $\lambda_1 |V(G)| = \lambda_2 |E(G)|$.*

The following two theorems are special cases of the Wilson fundamental construction for group divisible designs and other well-known theorems. So we will omit the proofs.

Theorem 2.2: *Let $\Sigma = (X, \mathcal{A})$ be a (n, K) -PBD, where $K = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t\}$, and let G be a graph. If, for every $h_i \in K$, there exists a nesting $N(G, h_i; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, then there exists a nesting $N(G, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.*

Theorem 2.3: Let $\Lambda = (X, P, A)$ be a k -GDD of order n , where $P = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_t\}$ and $|P_i| = n_i$, and let G be a graph. If, for every n_i , there exists a nesting $N(G, mn_i + w; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ containing a sub-design $N(G, w; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ (where $w = 0, 1$) and there exists a nesting $N(G, K_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k}; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ (where $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_k$), then there exists a nesting $N(G, mn + w; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.

We prove the following

Theorem 2.4: Let $G \cong P_3, P_4, S_3, K_4 - e$ and suppose that there exist a nesting design $N(G, v; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, a nesting design $N(G, w; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, two orthogonal quasi-groups of order $w - q$, where $q = 0$ or 1 . Then there exist nesting designs $N(G, v(w - q) + q; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.

Proof: At first, consider two orthogonal quasigroups of order $w - q$ (they exist for every $w - q \neq 2, 6$); let $(Z_{w-q}, \circ), (Z_{w-q}, *)$.

Let $G \cong P_3$.

If (Z_v, \underline{B}) is a nesting design $N(P_3, v; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, $T = \{\infty\}$ for $q = 1$ and $T = \emptyset$ for $q = 0$, then it is possible to define the design $N(P_3, v(w - q) + q; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ (V, \underline{D}) as follows:

- i) for every $\{x; a, b, c\} \in \underline{B}$ put in \underline{D} the blocks $[(x, i \circ j); (a, i), (b, j), (c, i)]$, $i, j \in Z_{w-q}$;
- ii) for every $x \in Z_v$, put in \underline{D} the blocks of a design $N(P_3, w; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ defined on $\{x\} \times Z_{w-q} \cup T$.

The same technique can be used in the cases $G \cong P_4, S_3$.

Let $G \cong K_4 - e$.

Using the same symbolism of the case above, it is possible to define the nesting design (V, \underline{D}) of order $v(w - q) + q$ as follows:

- i) for every $\{x; a, b, (c, d)\} \in \underline{B}$ (c, d are the non-adjacent vertices) put in \underline{D} the blocks: $\{(x, i \circ j); (a, j), (b, i * j), ((c, i), (d, i))\}$, $i, j \in Z_{w-q}$;
- ii) for every $x \in Z_v$, put in \underline{D} the blocks of a design $N(K_4 - e, w; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ defined on $\{x\} \times Z_{w-q} \cup T$.

Theorem 2.5 [3]: If there exists a nesting $N(C_m, n; 1, 1)$, then there exists a nesting $N(P_k, n; k - 1, k)$, for every integer k such that $3 \leq k < m$.

Theorem 2.6: For every $k \geq 3$ and for every $n \geq 2k + 1$, n odd, there exists a nesting $N(P_k, n; k - 1, k)$.

The statement follows from Theorem 2.5 and from the existence of a nesting-design $N(C_m, n; 1, 1)$ for all $n = 2m + 1$ and $m \geq 3$ [4].

Theorem 2.7: If there exists a $(v, k, k - 1)$ -NRB, then there exists a nesting $(v, k, k - 1)$ -BIBD.

Proof: Let $\Sigma = (V, \underline{B})$ be a $(v, k, k - 1)$ -NRB. Further, for every block $B \in \underline{B}$, if Π_B is the almost-parallel class containing B , $f(B)$ is the element of V which does not belong to its blocks. It is immediate to see that it is possible to obtain a nesting $(v, k, k - 1)$ -BIBD to associate each block B of \underline{B} with the star having $f(B)$ as centre and the elements of B as terminal vertices.

3 $N(G, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ where G has $n \leq 3$ non-isolated vertices

If G has 2 non-isolated vertices, then $G \cong K_2 \cong P_2$.

It is known that the spectrum of the nesting designs $N(P_2, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ was completely determined by Kageyama and Miao [7].

Now, we study the spectrum of a nesting $N(G, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, where G has 3 non-isolated vertices. Two cases are possible: 1) $G \cong K_3$, 2) $G \cong P_3$.

3.1 $G \cong K_3$

It is well-known that the spectrum of the nesting designs $N(K_3, n; 1, 1)$ was completely determined by Stinson [13] and the results can be extended to designs $N(K_3, n; h, h)$, where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = h \in N$, by a repetition of blocks.

3.2 $G \cong P_3$

From Theorem 2.1, necessary conditions for the existence of a nesting design $N(P_3, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ are: $n \geq 4$, $3\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_2$, i.e. $\lambda_1 = 2h$, $\lambda_2 = 3h$, $h \in N$.

Theorem 3.2.1: *If there exists a nesting $N(P_3, 4; 2h, 3h)$, then h is even.*

Proof: Suppose that (Σ, Π, F) is a nesting $N(P_3, 4; 2h, 3h)$. If x is a point of Π , T_x the number of blocks of Π containing x as a *terminal vertex* and C_x is the number of blocks of Π containing x as a *centre*, then

$$\begin{aligned} 3C_x + T_x &= 9h \\ C_x + T_x &= 6h \end{aligned}$$

From which $C_x = 3h/2$ and this implies h is even.

Theorem 3.2.2: *For every n prime, $n \geq 5$, there exists a nesting $N(P_3, n; 2, 3)$. Further, there exist $N(P_3, 6; 2, 3)$, $N(P_3, 8; 2, 3)$, $N(P_3, 10; 2, 3)$.*

Proof: Consider the following design, defined on Z_n and having the blocks:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [n + j - 2; j, j + 1, j + 2] \\
& [n + j - 4; j, j + 2, j + 4] \\
& \dots\dots\dots \\
& [n + j - 2i; j, j + i, j + 2i] \\
& \dots\dots\dots \\
& [1; j, j + (n - 1)/2, j + n - 1] \quad \text{for every } j \in Z_5.
\end{aligned}$$

It is possible to verify that it is an $N(P_3, n; 2, 3)$.

Further, the following design, defined on Z_6 and having the blocks:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [4; 5, 0, 3], \quad [1; 2, 0, 4], \quad [1; 3, 0, 5], \quad [2; 4, 0, 1], \quad [2; 0, 1, 5], \quad [3; 2, 1, 4], \\
& [5; 4, 1, 3], \quad [0; 5, 1, 3], \quad [4; 0, 2, 1], \quad [0; 5, 2, 3], \quad [5; 3, 2, 4], \quad [3; 5, 2, 4], \\
& [0; 3, 4, 5], \quad [1; 4, 5, 3], \quad [2; 5, 3, 4]
\end{aligned}$$

is a nesting $N(P_3, 6; 2, 3)$.

The following design, defined on Z_8 and having the blocks:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [2; 0, 1, 4], \quad [2; 0, 1, 5], \quad [1; 0, 2, 6] \quad [3; 2, 0, 7], \quad [4; 3, 0, 5], \quad [1; 0, 3, 6] \\
& [3; 0, 4, 5], \quad [2; 0, 4, 6], \quad [3; 0, 5, 6] \quad [4; 0, 6, 7], \quad [5; 0, 6, 7], \quad [6; 0, 7, 2] \\
& [7; 1, 2, 3], \quad [7; 1, 2, 4], \quad [6; 5, 1, 3] \quad [4; 1, 3, 6], \quad [5; 1, 4, 6], \quad [0; 7, 1, 6] \\
& [4; 7, 1, 6], \quad [6; 2, 3, 7], \quad [3; 7, 2, 5] \quad [7; 5, 2, 6], \quad [5; 2, 4, 7], \quad [1; 5, 3, 4] \\
& [2; 5, 3, 4], \quad [0; 7, 4, 5], \quad [0; 6, 5, 7], \quad [1; 5, 7, 3]
\end{aligned}$$

is a nesting $N(P_3, 8; 2, 3)$.

The following design, defined on Z_{10} and having the blocks:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [2; 0, 1, 4], \quad [2; 0, 1, 5], \quad [3; 0, 2, 1], \quad [1; 0, 2, 8], \quad [4; 0, 3, 1], \quad [4; 0, 3, 2], \\
& [3; 0, 4, 2], \quad [1; 0, 4, 3], \quad [1; 5, 0, 7], \quad [2; 5, 0, 8], \quad [3; 0, 6, 1], \quad [4; 0, 6, 2], \\
& [5; 7, 0, 8], \quad [5; 0, 9, 1], \quad [6; 0, 9, 2], \quad [6; 1, 2, 8], \quad [5; 1, 3, 2], \quad [8; 1, 7, 2], \\
& [7; 1, 6, 3], \quad [9; 1, 7, 3], \quad [9; 1, 8, 3], \quad [6; 1, 9, 3], \quad [8; 4, 1, 5], \quad [7; 1, 8, 4], \\
& [6; 2, 4, 3], \quad [8; 2, 5, 5], \quad [9; 2, 5, 4], \quad [9; 2, 6, 4], \quad [9; 2, 7, 4], \quad [7; 2, 9, 4], \\
& [8; 3, 5, 4], \quad [7; 3, 6, 5], \quad [8; 3, 7, 5], \quad [9; 3, 8, 5], \quad [8; 3, 9, 5], \quad [8; 4, 6, 5], \\
& [5; 4, 7, 6], \quad [5; 4, 8, 6], \quad [1; 4, 9, 6], \quad [4; 5, 7, 6], \quad [6; 5, 8, 7], \quad [0; 5, 9, 7], \\
& [0; 6, 8, 7], \quad [0; 6, 3, 8], \quad [0; 7, 9, 8]
\end{aligned}$$

is a nesting $N(P_3, 10; 2, 3)$.

Theorem 3.2.3: *There exists a nesting $N(P_3, K_{2,2,2}; 2, 3)$.*

Proof: Let $K_{2,2,2}$ be a 3-partite graph defined on $V = XUY \cup Z$, where $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$, $Y = \{y_0, y_1\}$, $Z = \{z_0, z_1\}$ are the three stable sets which partition V . The following blocks:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [z_0; x_0, y_0, x_1], \quad [x_0; y_0, z_0, y_1], \quad [y_0; z_0, x_0, z_1], \quad [z_1; x_0, y_0, x_1], \\
& [x_1; y_0, z_0, y_1], \quad [y_1; z_0, x_0, z_1], \quad [z_1; x_1, y_1, x_0], \quad [z_0; x_1, y_1, x_0], \\
& [x_1; y_1, z_1, y_0], \quad [x_0; y_1, z_1, y_0], \quad [y_1; z_1, x_1, z_0], \quad [y_0; z_1, x_1, z_0]
\end{aligned}$$

define a $N(P_3, K_{2,2,2}; 2, 3)$.

Theorem 3.2.4: *For every $n \geq 5$ there exists a $N(P_3, n; 2, 3)$, except possibly for $n = 12, 14, 16, 20, 22, 28, 68, 98, 124$.*

Proof: Since there exists a PBD(n) having blocks of size 5, 6, 7 ([2], p. 208), from Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 3.2.2 it follows that there exists a nesting $N(P_3, n; 2, 3)$ of order $n \geq 5$, with possible exceptions for $n = 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, 34, 68, 69, 93, 94, 98, 99, 104, 108, 109, 114, 124$.

From Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.2.2, the list of possible exceptions can be reduced to: 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 28, 32, 34, 68, 94, 98, 104, 108, 114, 124.

Since there exist 3-GDD of type $3^3, 4^3, 4^4, 5^1$ and $3^4, 3^{14}$ and $5, 9^6$ and 3 ([2], p. 189), from Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 3.2.3 it follows that the list of possible exceptions becomes: 12, 14, 16, 20, 22, 28, 68, 98, 104, 108, 124.

From Theorem 2.4, for $(v, w) = (8, 13), (6, 18)$, there exist $N(P_3, n; 2, 3)$ for $n = v.w = 104, 108$.

Now, we examine the spectrum of nesting $N(P_3, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ for $\lambda_1 = 4, \lambda_2 = 6$.

Theorem 3.2.5: *There exist $N(P_3, 4; 4, 6), N(P_3, 12; 4, 6), N(P_3, 14; 4, 6)$.*

Proof: Consider the following design, defined on Z_3 and having the blocks:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} [0; 1, 2, 3], & [0; 1, 3, 2], & [0; 2, 1, 3], & [1; 0, 2, 3], & [1; 0, 3, 2], & [1; 2, 0, 3], \\ [2; 0, 1, 3], & [2; 0, 3, 1], & [2; 1, 0, 3], & [3; 0, 1, 2], & [3; 0, 2, 1], & [3; 1, 0, 2]. \end{array}$$

It is a nesting $N(P_3, 4; 4, 6)$.

Further, since there exists a 3-GDD of type 2^3 ([2], p. 189), the existence of a nesting $N(P_3, 12; 4, 6)$ follows from Theorem 2.3.

Finally, consider the following design, defined on $Z_{13} \cup \{\infty\}$ and having the blocks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [j; j+1, j+3, j+2], & [j; j+7, j+4, j+8], & [j+1; j, j+5, j+11], \\ [j+7; \infty, j, j+5], & [j+8; \infty, j, j+6], & [j+6; j, \infty, j+1], \\ [\infty; j, j+5, j+11] & \text{for every } j \in Z_{13}. \end{array}$$

It is a nesting $N(P_3, 14; 4, 6)$.

Theorem 3.2.6: *For every $n \geq 4$ there exists a $N(P_3, n; 4, 6)$.*

Proof: From Theorem 3.2.4, by a repetition of blocks, and from Theorem 3.2.5, it follows that there exists a nesting $N(P_3, n; 4, 6)$ for every $n \geq 4$, except possibly for $n = 16, 20, 22, 28, 68, 98, 124$. Since there exists a PBD(n) having blocks of size 4, 5, 6 ([2], p. 206), from Theorem 2.2 the existence of $N(P_3, n; 4, 6)$ follows in all the other cases.

Collecting together the results obtained, we can formulate the following.

Corollary 3.2 *The necessary conditions for the existence of a nesting design $N(P_3, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ are: $3\lambda_1 = 2\lambda_2, n \geq 4$. These conditions are also sufficient except in the following cases:*

- i) $n = 4$ and $\lambda_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\lambda_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ (effective exceptions);
- ii) $n = 12, 14, 16, 20, 22, 28, 68, 98, 124$, when $\lambda_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\lambda_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ (possible exceptions).

REMARK: Note that if it is possible to delete some exception in Corollary 3.2.ii), for a pair λ_1^* , λ_2^* , giving a solution for it, then the same case can be considered solved for any $\lambda_1 = k\lambda_1^*$, $\lambda_2 = k\lambda_2^*$, $k \in N$. So, the number of exceptions in Corollary 3.2 is exactly 9 and not *infinite*.

This remark is valid also in all the following sections.

4 $N(G, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ where G has 4 non-isolated vertices

In this section we study the spectrum of a nesting G -design $N(G, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, where G is a graph with 4 non-isolated vertices. The possible cases are:

- 1) $G \cong K_4$, 2) $G \cong K_4 - e$, 3) $G \cong K_3 + e$, 4) $G \cong C_4$, 5) $G \cong P_4$, 6) $G \cong S_3$, 7) $G \cong 2P_2$.

Observe that $n \geq 5$, necessarily, and that the cases 3), 4) have already been studied.

4.1 $G \cong K_4$

For the necessary conditions we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1.1: *If there exists a nesting design $N(K_4, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, then the parameters n , λ_1 , λ_2 must satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- 1) $\lambda_1 = 3h$, $\lambda_2 = 2h$, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $n \geq 5$, for any positive odd integer h ;
- 2) $\lambda_1 = 3h$, $\lambda_2 = 2h$, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $n \geq 5$, for any positive integer $h \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$;
- 3) $\lambda_1 = 3h$, $\lambda_2 = 2h$, $n \geq 5$, for any positive integer $h \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Proof: From Theorem 2.1, it follows that $2\lambda_1 = 3\lambda_2$, $n \geq 5$. Let $N = (\Sigma, \Pi, F)$ be a nesting $N(K_4, n; 3h, 2h)$. If x is a point of N , denote by M_x the number of blocks of Σ containing x and by C_x the number of blocks of Π containing x as *centre*. It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} M_x &= h(n-1), \\ 4C_x + M_x &= 2h(n-1), \end{aligned}$$

hence $C_x = h(n-1)/4$. From this,

- 1) if h is an odd number, necessarily $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;

2) if h is an even number and $h \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, necessarily $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$;

3) if $h \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, n can be any integer, $n \geq 5$.

Theorem 4.1.2: *There exists a nesting $N(K_4, n; 3, 2)$ if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof: \Rightarrow Immediate from Theorem 4.1.1, 1).

\Leftarrow Since a $(n, k, k-1)$ -NRB exists if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ ([2], p. 88,91), the statement follows from Theorem 2.7.

Theorem 4.1.3: *For every $n \in N$, n prime, $n \geq 5$, there exists a nesting $N(K_4, n; 6, 4)$.*

Proof: Let n be a prime number, $n \geq 5$. Let $\Sigma = (Z_n, B)$ be the K_4 -design having the following blocks:

$$B_{i,j} = \{x_{i,j,1} = j, x_{i,j,2} = j + i, x_{i,j,3} = j + 2i, x_{i,j,4} = j + 3i\},$$

for every $j \in Z_n, i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$.

We can verify that Σ has index $\lambda_1 = 6$. Observe that the differences between two vertices of $B_{j,i}$ are: $i, i, i, 2i, 2i, 3i$. Further, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$, $2i$ and $3i$ cover all the possible differences, respectively. So, if x, y are two vertices of Σ , $x < y$, $y - x = i$, $\{x, y\}$ is contained in exactly six blocks of Σ .

Now, consider the S_4 -design $\Pi = (Z_n, S)$ having the following blocks:

$$S_{i,j} = \{y_{i,j} = n - 2i + j; x_{i,j,1} = j, x_{i,j,2} = j + i, x_{i,j,3} = j + 2i, x_{i,j,4} = j + 3i\},$$

for every $j \in Z_n, i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$.

Since n is prime, then $n - 2i + j \notin \{j, j + i, j + 2i, j + 3i\}$.

We can verify that Π has index $\lambda_2 = 4$. The differences between the *centre* and the other vertices of $S_{j,i}$ are: $n - 2i, n - 3i, n - 4i, n - 5i$, which are equivalent to: $2i, 3i, 4i, 5i$.

Since n is prime, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$ each of them describes the set of all the possible differences. So, if x, y are two vertices of Π , $x < y$, $y - x = i$, $\{x, y\}$ is contained in exactly four blocks of Π .

If $F : B \rightarrow S$ is a mapping such that $F(B_{i,j}) = S_{i,j}$, then $N = (\Sigma, \Pi, F)$ is a nesting $N(K_4, n; 6, 4)$.

Theorem 4.1.4: *There exists a nesting $N(K_4, n; 6, 4)$ if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, except possibly for $n = 15, 27, 39, 75, 87, 135, 183, 195$.*

Proof: \Rightarrow From Theorem 4.1.1. 2), for $h = 1$, directly.

\Leftarrow Observe that if for any n there exists a nesting $N(K_4, n; 3, 2)$, then for this n there exists also a nesting $N(K_4, n; 6, 4)$. Further, for every admissible $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, there exists a PBD(n) having blocks of size 5, 7, 9 ([2], p. 208), with some possible exceptions.

Collecting together Theorem 4.1.2, Theorem 4.1.3, Theorem 2.2, and also the possible exceptions, the existence of a nesting $N(K_4, n; 6, 4)$ is proven for $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $n \neq 15, 27, 39, 51, 75, 87, 95, 99, 111, 115, 119, 135, 143, 183, 195, 243, 411$.

From Theorem 2.4, since there exist pairs of $N(K_4, n; 6, 4)$ of order n_1, n_2 such that $(n_1, n_2) = (5, 19), (9, 11), (5, 23), (7, 17), (11, 13), (9, 27)$, existence follows for $n = n_1 \cdot n_2 = 95, 99, 115, 119, 143, 243$; further, since there exist pairs of $N(K_4, n; 6, 4)$ of order n_1, n_2 such that $(n_1, n_2) = (5, 11), (11, 11), (41, 11)$, existence follows for $n = n_1 \cdot (n_2 - 1) + 1 = 51, 111, 411$. This part of the statement is now proved.

Theorem 4.1.5: *There exists a nesting $N(K_4, 6; 12, 8)$ and a nesting $N(K_4, 8; 12, 8)$.*

Proof: Consider the following design, defined on Z_6 and having the blocks:

$$\begin{array}{lllll} \{0; 1, 2, 3, 4\}, & \{0; 1, 2, 4, 5\}, & \{0; 1, 3, 4, 5\}, & \{1; 2, 3, 4, 5\}, & \{1; 0, 3, 4, 5\}, \\ \{1; 0, 2, 3, 4\}, & \{1; 0, 2, 3, 5\}, & \{2; 0, 1, 3, 4\}, & \{2; 0, 1, 4, 5\}, & \{3; 0, 1, 2, 5\}, \\ \{3; 0, 2, 4, 5\}, & \{4; 0, 1, 2, 3\}, & \{4; 0, 1, 3, 5\}, & \{4; 1, 2, 3, 5\}, & \{5; 0, 1, 2, 4\}, \\ \{5; 0, 1, 2, 3\}, & \{3; 0, 1, 2, 4\}, & \{4; 0, 1, 2, 5\}, & \{5; 0, 1, 3, 4\}, & \{2; 0, 1, 3, 5\}, \\ \{3; 0, 1, 4, 5\}, & \{4; 0, 2, 3, 5\}, & \{5; 0, 2, 3, 4\}, & \{1; 0, 2, 4, 5\}, & \{2; 0, 3, 4, 5\}, \\ \{5; 1, 2, 3, 4\}, & \{3; 1, 2, 4, 5\}, & \{0; 1, 2, 3, 5\}, & \{2; 1, 3, 4, 5\}, & \{0; 2, 3, 4, 5\}. \end{array}$$

It is possible to verify that this is a nesting $N(K_4, 6; 12, 8)$.

Consider the following design, defined on $Z_7 \cup \{\infty\}$ and having the blocks:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{j; \infty, j+1, j+2, j+3\}, & \{j; \infty, j+1, j+3, j+5\}, \\ \{j; \infty, j+1, j+4, j+5\}, & \{j; \infty, j+1, j+2, j+4\}, \\ \{\infty; j, j+1, j+2, j+4\}, & \{j; j+1, j+2, j+3, j+5\}, \\ \{j; j+2, j+3, j+4, j+6\}, & \{j; j+4, j+5, j+6, j+1\}, \end{array}$$

for every $j \in Z_7$.

It is possible to verify that this is a nesting $N(K_4, 8; 12, 8)$.

Theorem 4.1.6: *There exists a nesting $N(K_4, n; 12, 8)$ for every $n \geq 5$, except possibly for $n = 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 27, 28, 32, 34$.*

Proof: Observe that for every admissible $n \in N$ there exists a PBD(n) having blocks of size 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 ([2], p. 209), with possible exceptions for $n = 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, 34$.

From Theorem 2.2, Theorem 4.1.4, Theorem 4.1.5, there exists a nesting $N(K_4, n; 12, 8)$ of order $n \geq 5$, except possibly for $n = 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 27, 28, 32, 34$.

Collecting together the results obtained, we can formulate the following.

Corollary 4.1 *The necessary conditions for the existence of a nesting design $\bar{N}(K_4, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ [Theorem 4.1.1] are also sufficient with the possible exceptions of $n = 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 27, 28, 32, 34$, when $\lambda_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ and $\lambda_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.*

4.2 $G \cong K_4 - e$

From Theorem 2.1, necessary conditions for the existence of a nesting design $N(K_4 - e, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ are: $n \geq 5$, $4\lambda_1 = 5\lambda_2$, i.e. $\lambda_1 = 5h$, $\lambda_2 = 4h$, $h \in N$.

Many results can be obtained from 4.1), by deleting an edge in the blocks of Σ .

Recall that we indicate the graph $K_4 - e$ by $\{a, b, (c, d)\}$ where c, d are the non-adjacent vertices, and $S_4 \cup (K_4 - e)$ by $\{x; a, b, (c, d)\}$, where x is the centre of the star.

Theorem 4.2.1: *There exists a nesting $N(K_4 - e, n; 5, 4)$ for every prime integer $n \in N$, $n \geq 5$.*

Proof: Let $\Sigma' = (Z_n, B')$ be the $(K_4 - e)$ -design obtained from $\Sigma = (Z_n, B)$, the K_4 -design of index $\lambda_1 = 6$ defined in Theorem 4.1.3, by deleting in every block $B_{i,j} \in B$ the edge $\{x_{i,j,1}, x_{i,j,4}\}$. So, Σ' has the following blocks:

$$B'_{i,j} = B_{i,j} - \{x_{i,j,1}, x_{i,j,4}\}, B_{i,j} \in B.$$

Since the difference between the endpoints of the deleted edge is $3i$ (see Theorem 4.1.3) and n is prime, then for $i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$ the value $3i$ covers all the possible differences $1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$ between two vertices of Z_n . So, Σ' has index $\lambda'_1 = 5$.

If $\Pi = (Z_n, S)$ is the same S_4 -design defined in Theorem 4.1.3 and $F(B'_{i,j}) = S_{i,j}$, then $N = (\Sigma', \Pi, F)$ is a nesting $N(K_4 - e, n; 5, 4)$.

Theorem 4.2.2: *There exists a nesting $N(K_4 - e, 9; 5, 4)$ of order 9.*

Proof: Consider the design, defined on Z_9 and having the following blocks:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{j-1; j, j+2, (j+1, j+3)\}, & \{j-2; j, j+4, (j+2, j+6)\}, \\ &\{j-2; j, j+6, (j+1, j+3)\}, & \{j+5; j, j-1, (j+3, j+4)\}, \\ & & \text{for every } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

It is possible to verify that this is a nesting $N(K_4 - e, 9; 5, 4)$.

Theorem 4.2.3: *There exists a nesting $N(K_4 - e, n; 5, 4)$ for every $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $n \geq 5$, with possible exceptions for $n = 15, 27, 33, 39, 75, 87, 93, 183, 195$.*

Proof: Observe that for every admissible $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ there exist PBD(n) having blocks of size 5, 7, 9 ([2], p. 208), with the following possible exceptions for $n = 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 23, 27, 29, 31, 33, 39, 43, 51, 59, 71, 75, 83, 87, 93, 95, 99, 107, 111, 113, 115, 119, 131, 135, 139, 143, 167, 173, 179, 183, 191, 195, 243, 283, 411, 563$.

From Theorem 2.2, Theorem 4.2.1 and Theorem 4.2.2, there exists a nesting $N(K_4 - e, n; 5, 4)$ for the same values of n , deleting all prime numbers.

So, the possible exceptions are:

$$n = 15, 27, 33, 39, 51, 75, 87, 93, 95, 99, 111, 115, 119, 143, 183, 195, 243, 411.$$

From Theorem 2.4, since there exist pairs of $N(K_4 - e, n; 5, 4)$ of order n_1, n_2 such that $(n_1, n_2) = (5, 19), (9, 11), (5, 23), (7, 17), (11, 13)$, existence follows for $n = n_1 \cdot n_2 = 95, 99, 115, 119, 143$; further, since there exist pairs of $N(K_4 - e, n; 5, 4)$ of order n_1, n_2 such that $(n_1, n_2) = (5, 11), (5, 23), (11, 23), (41, 11)$, existence follows for $n = n_1 \cdot (n_2 - 1) + 1 = 51, 111, 243, 411$.

REMARK: Note that, in this case, the sufficiency for the existence of a nesting design $N(K_4 - e, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is proved (apart from a few cases) only for odd orders n . For even order n , we are able to solve the problem of the existence only for $n = 6$ in the next Theorem 4.2.4.

We remark that the problem is open for any even n , $n \geq 8$.

Theorem 4.2.4: *Nesting designs $N(K_4 - e, 6; 5, 4)$ of order 6 do not exist.*

Proof: Suppose that there exists a nesting $N(K_4 - e, 6; 5, 4)$ of order 6. If, for a point x :

- M indicates the number of blocks of the $(K_4 - e)$ -design in which x is adjacent to all the other vertices of the block;
- T indicates the number of blocks in which x is adjacent to two vertices of the block;
- C indicates the number of the blocks of the S_4 -design in which x is the centre;

then necessarily

$$\begin{aligned} 3M + 2T &= 25 \\ 4C + M + T &= 20 \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{M + 15}{8} \\ T &= \frac{25 - 3M}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and this implies $M = 1$ and $C = 2, T = 11$.

But this is not possible for a nesting-design with 15 blocks.

4.3 $G \cong K_3 + e$

From Theorem 2.1, it follows that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

The spectrum of $N(K_3 + e, n; 1, 1)$ was studied by S. Milici and G. Quattrocchi in [11].

4.4 $G \cong C_4$

From Theorem 2.1, it follows that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

The spectrum of $N(C_4, n; 1, 1)$ was studied by C.C. Lindner and D.R. Stinson [6] and by S. Milici and G. Quattrocchi [11] and the results can be extended to designs $N(C_4, n; h, h)$, where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = h \in N$, by a repetition of blocks.

4.5 $G \cong P_4$

From Theorem 2.1, necessary conditions for the existence of a nesting design $N(P_4, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ are: $n \geq 5$, $4\lambda_1 = 3\lambda_2$, i.e. $\lambda_1 = 3h$, $\lambda_2 = 4h$, $h \in N$.

At first, we prove the existence in some particular cases.

Theorem 4.5.1: *There exist nesting designs $N(P_4, 5; 3, 4)$, $N(P_4, 6; 3, 4)$, $N(P_4, 8; 3, 4)$, $N(P_4, 9; 3, 4)$.*

Proof: Consider the following design, defined on Z_5 and having the blocks:

$$[j; j+1, j+2, j+3, j+4], [j; j+2, j+4, j+1, j+3] \text{ for every } j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

We can verify that this is a nesting $N(P_4, 5; 3, 4)$.

The following design is defined on Z_6 and its blocks are:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} [6; 1, 3, 2, 4], & [4; 2, 1, 3, 5], & [5; 6, 1, 2, 3], & [3; 1, 4, 5, 2], & [6; 1, 5, 4, 3], \\ [1; 2, 4, 5, 3], & [5; 1, 4, 6, 2], & [2; 1, 6, 4, 3], & [1; 2, 4, 6, 3], & [3; 1, 5, 6, 2], \\ [2; 1, 6, 5, 3], & [4; 2, 5, 6, 3], & [5; 1, 4, 3, 2], & [4; 1, 2, 6, 3], & [6; 2, 5, 1, 3]. \end{array}$$

We can verify that it is a nesting $N(P_4, 6; 3, 4)$.

The following design is defined on Z_8 and its blocks are:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} [4; 0, 2, 3, 1], & [3; 1, 2, 0, 6], & [3; 0, 2, 1, 6], & [5; 4, 0, 1, 3], & [2; 6, 0, 1, 5], \\ [6; 0, 3, 4, 1], & [7; 0, 3, 4, 1], & [4; 0, 3, 2, 7], & [2; 1, 0, 7, 5], & [5; 0, 4, 6, 1], \\ [1; 4, 0, 7, 3], & [6; 0, 7, 4, 3], & [7; 0, 5, 3, 2], & [3; 0, 5, 4, 2], & [6; 0, 5, 4, 2], \\ [1; 0, 6, 4, 7], & [5; 3, 1, 2, 7], & [0; 1, 5, 4, 2], & [6; 1, 7, 5, 2], & [4; 1, 6, 5, 2], \\ [2; 1, 7, 6, 3], & [7; 1, 4, 6, 3], & [0; 4, 7, 1, 5], & [1; 2, 6, 5, 3], & [7; 2, 6, 5, 3], \\ [0; 2, 6, 7, 3], & [5; 2, 7, 6, 3], & [4; 2, 5, 7, 3]. \end{array}$$

We can verify that it is a nesting $N(P_4, 8; 3, 4)$.

Consider the following design, defined on Z_8 and having the blocks:

$$\begin{array}{cc} [j+4; j, j+1, j+2, j+3], & [j+7; j, j+2, j+4, j+6], \\ [j+4; j, j+3, j+6, j+1], & [j+1; j, j+4, j+8, j+5] \end{array}$$

for every $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$.

We can verify that this is a nesting $N(P_4, 9; 3, 4)$.

Theorem 4.5.2: *There exists a nesting $N(P_4, n; 3, 4)$, for every $n \in N$, n prime, $n \geq 5$.*

Proof: For $n = 5$, the existence is proved in Theorem 4.5.1. Let $n \geq 7$, n prime.

Let $\Sigma^* = (Z_n, B^*)$ be the P_4 -design obtained from $\Sigma = (Z_n, B)$, the K_4 -design of index $\lambda_1 = 6$ defined in Theorem 4.1.3, by deleting in every block $B_{i,j} \in B$ the edges:

$$e_{i,j,13} = \{x_{i,j,1}, x_{i,j,3}\}, e_{i,j,24} = \{x_{i,j,2}, x_{i,j,4}\}, e_{i,j,14} = \{x_{i,j,1}, x_{i,j,4}\}.$$

So, Σ^* has the following blocks:

$$B_{i,j}^* = B_{i,j} - (e_{i,j,13} + e_{i,j,24} + e_{i,j,14}), \quad B_{i,j} \in B.$$

The differences between the endpoints of the deleted edges $e_{i,j,13}, e_{i,j,24}, e_{i,j,14}$ are: $2i, 2i, 3i$, respectively, while the differences between the endpoints of the remaining edges are: i, i, i . Further, since n is prime, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$ the values $2i, 2i, 3i, i, i, i$ assume all the possible values of the differences between two vertices of Z_n (see Theorem 4.1.3). Therefore Σ^* has index $\lambda^* = 3$.

If $\Pi = (Z_n, S)$ is the same S_4 -design defined in Theorem 4.1.3 and $F(B_{i,j}^*) = S_{i,j}$, then $N^* = (\Sigma^*, \Pi, F)$ is a nested-design $N(P_4, n; 3, 4)$.

Theorem 4.5.3: *There exist nestings $N(P_4, K_{2,2,2}; 3, 4)$, $N(P_4, K_{2,2,2,2}; 3, 4)$.*

Proof: Let $K_{2,2,2}$ be the 3-partite complete graph defined on $V = X \cup Y \cup Z$, where $X = \{1, 4\}$, $Y = \{2, 5\}$, $Z = \{3, 6\}$ partition V in stable sets. The following blocks:

$$\begin{array}{llll} [3; 2, 1, 5, 4], & [1; 2, 3, 5, 6], & [2; 3, 1, 6, 4], & [3; 5, 1, 2, 4], \\ [1; 5, 3, 2, 6], & [2; 6, 1, 3, 4], & [6; 1, 5, 4, 2], & [4; 3, 5, 6, 2], \\ [5; 1, 6, 4, 3], & [6; 1, 2, 4, 5], & [4; 3, 2, 6, 5], & [5; 1, 3, 4, 6], \end{array}$$

define a $N(P_4, K_{2,2,2}; 3, 4)$.

Now, let $K_{2,2,2,2}$ be the 4-partite complete graph defined on

$$V' = L \cup M \cup N \cup P,$$

where $L = \{0, 4\}$, $M = \{1, 5\}$, $N = \{2, 6\}$, $P = \{3, 7\}$ partition V' in stable sets. The following blocks:

$$\begin{array}{ll} [j+7; j, j+1, j+2, j+4], & [j+3; j, j+2, j+4, j+1], \\ [j+5; j, j+3, j+6, j+7] & \text{for every } j \in Z_8, \end{array}$$

define a $N(P_4, K_{2,2,2,2}; 3, 4)$.

Theorem 4.5.4: *There exists a nesting $N(P_4, n; 3, 4)$, for every $n \in N$, $n \geq 5$, with the following possible exceptions: $n = 10, 12, 14, 16, 20, 22, 28, 34$.*

Proof: For every admissible n , there exists a PBD(n) having blocks of size 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 ([2], p. 209), with possible exceptions for $n = 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, 34$. From Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.7, Theorem 4.5.1 and Theorem 4.5.2, it follows that there exists a nesting $N(P_4, n; 3, 4)$ for the same values of n . From Theorem 4.5.2 and Theorem 2.7, the previous list can be reduced by deleting all n odd. Since there exist 3-GDD of type 3^3 , 4-GDD of type $3^4, 4^4$ ([2], p. 189–190), from Theorem 2.3, Theorem 4.5.1 and Theorem 4.5.3, the existence of nesting $N(P_4, n; 3, 4)$ follows, also for $n = 18, 32, 24$ and this completes the proof.

Collecting together the results obtained, we can formulate the following.

Corollary 4.5 *The necessary conditions for the existence of a nesting design $N(P_4, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ are $4\lambda_1 = 3\lambda_2$, $n \geq 5$. These conditions are also sufficient for every $n \geq 5$, with the possible exceptions of $n = 10, 12, 14, 16, 20, 22, 28, 34$.*

4.6 $G \cong S_3$

In what follows, given an $S_3 = \langle y; a, b, c \rangle$ and an $S_4 = \langle x; y, a, b, c \rangle$, we denote $S_3 \cup S_4 = \langle x; \langle y; a, b, c \rangle \rangle$.

For the necessary conditions we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6.1: *If there exists a nesting design $N(S_3, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, then the parameters n, λ_1, λ_2 must satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- 1) $\lambda_1 = 3h, \lambda_2 = 4h, n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, n \geq 5$, for any positive odd integer h ;
- 2) $\lambda_1 = 3h, \lambda_2 = 2h, n \geq 5$, for any positive integer $h \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Proof: From Theorem 2.1, it follows that: $4\lambda_1 = 3\lambda_2, n \geq 5$.

Let $N = (\Sigma, \Pi, F)$ be a nesting $N(S_3, n; 3h, 4h)$. Consider a point x of N . If C_x, Ω_x, T_x are respectively the number of blocks containing x as a *centre* in a star of Π , the number of blocks containing x as a *centre* in a star of Σ and the number of blocks containing x as a *terminal* vertex always in a star of Σ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} 3\Omega_x + T_x &= 3h(n-1) \\ 4C_x + \Omega_x + T_x &= 4h(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that:

$$4C_x - 2\Omega_x = h(n-1);$$

hence $h.(n-1)$ is an even number and if h is odd, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

Theorem 4.6.2: *There exists a nesting $N(S_3, n; 3, 4)$, for every $n \in N, n$ prime, $n \geq 5$.*

Proof: Consider the S_3 -design $\Sigma'' = (Z_n, B'')$, having for blocks the following 3-stars:

$$B''_{i,j} = \langle j+i; j, j+2i, j+3i \rangle, \text{ for every } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2,$$

where the values of i represent all the possible differences between two distinct vertices $x, y \in Z_n$. We can verify that Σ'' has index $\lambda''_1 = 3$. Consider that for every pair $x, y \in Z_n, x < y$, the difference $y-x$ can be: $1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$, and that in the edges of a block $B''_{i,j}$ these differences are: $i, i, 2i$.

It follows that any difference $\delta = y-x = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$ appears in the following blocks of B'' : $B''_{\delta,j}, B''_{\delta,j}, B''_{(n-\delta)/2j}$; so, the pair $\{x, y\}$ is contained in exactly 3 blocks of Σ . Observe that every block $B''_{i,j}$ of Σ'' is contained in the block $B_{i,j}$ of the K_4 -design Σ , defined in Theorem 4.1.3 and having index $\lambda_1 = 6$.

If $\Pi = (Z_n, S)$ is the S_4 -design defined in Theorem 4.1.3 and $F(B''_{j,i}) = S_{i,j}$, then $N'' = N(\Sigma'', \Pi, F)$ is a nested-design $N(S_3, n; 3, 4)$.

Theorem 4.6.3: *There exist nesting $N(S_3, 9; 3, 4), N(S_3, 15; 3, 4)$.*

Proof: The following design is defined on Z_9 and has the blocks:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle j; \langle j+1; j+2, j+3, j+4 \rangle \rangle, & \langle j; \langle j+1; j+5, j+6, j+7 \rangle \rangle, \\ &\langle j; \langle j+6; j+4, j+7, j+8 \rangle \rangle, & \langle j; \langle j+1; j+2, j+4, j+6 \rangle \rangle, \\ & & \text{for every } j = 0, 1, \dots, 8. \end{aligned}$$

We can verify that this is a nesting $N(S_3, 9; 3, 4)$.

The following design is defined on Z_{15} and has the blocks:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle j; \langle j+2; j+1, j+3, j+4 \rangle \rangle & \langle j; \langle j+1; j+5, j+8, j-3 \rangle \rangle \\ &\langle j; \langle j-4; j+9, j-5, j-2 \rangle \rangle & \langle j; \langle j-3; j+2, j-6, j+7 \rangle \rangle \\ &\langle j; \langle j-2; j+5, j+4, j+6 \rangle \rangle & \langle j; \langle j+4; j+1, j+7, j+9 \rangle \rangle \\ &\langle j; \langle j-1; j+8, j+3, j+5 \rangle \rangle & \text{for every } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 14. \end{aligned}$$

We can verify that this is a nesting $N(S_3, 15; 3, 4)$.

Theorem 4.6.4: *There exists a nesting $N(S_3, n; 3, 4)$ if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, $n \geq 5$, except possibly for $n = 15, 27, 39, 75, 87, 135, 183, 195$.*

Proof: \Rightarrow Necessarily, $n \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. It follows from Theorem 4.6.1. 1).

\Leftarrow For every admissible n , $n \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, there exist PBD(n) having blocks of size 5, 7, 9 ([2], p. 208), with the possible exceptions of $n = 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 23, 27, 29, 31, 33, 39, 43, 51, 59, 71, 75, 83, 87, 93, 95, 99, 107, 111, 113, 115, 119, 131, 135, 139, 143, 167, 173, 179, 183, 191, 195, 243, 283, 411, 563$.

From Theorem 2.2, Theorem 4.6.2 and Theorem 4.6.3, the existence of a nesting design $N(S_3, n; 3, 4)$ follows for the same values of n . From Theorem 4.6.2 and Theorem 4.6.3, the previous list can be reduced by deleting all n prime and also $n = 15$.

From Theorem 2.4, since there exist pairs of $N(S_3, n; 3, 4)$ of order n_1, n_2 such that $(n_1, n_2) = (5, 15), (5, 19), (9, 11), (5, 23), (7, 17), (9, 15), (11, 13), (13, 15)$, the existence for $n = n_1 \cdot n_2 = 75, 95, 99, 115, 119, 135, 143, 195$ follows.

From Theorem 2.4, since there exist pairs of $N(S_3, n; 3, 4)$ of order n_1, n_2 such that $(n_1, n_2) = (5, 11), (23, 5), (11, 11), (13, 15), (11, 23), (41, 11)$ it follows the existence also for $n = n_1 \cdot (n_2 - 1) + 1 = 51, 93, 111, 183, 243, 411$.

This part of the statement is so proved.

Theorem 4.6.5: *i) Nesting designs $N(S_3, 6; 6, 8)$ of order 6 do not exist.*

ii) There exists a nesting $N(S_3, 8; 6, 8)$ of order 8.

Proof: *i)* Suppose that there exists a nesting $N(S_3, 6; 6, 8)$ of order 6. If, for a point x :

- C indicates the number of blocks of the S_3 -design in which x is the centre of the star;
- T indicates the number of blocks of the S_3 -design in which x is a terminal of the star;
- Ω indicates the number of the blocks of the S_4 -design in which x is the centre of the star;

then necessarily

$$\begin{aligned} 3C + T &= 30 \\ 4\Omega + C + T &= 40 \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\Omega = \frac{C + 5}{2}, T = 30 - 3C$$

and this is not possible, because the number of blocks is equal to 20.

ii) Consider the following design, defined on $Z_7 \cup \{\infty\}$ and having the blocks:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j + 3; \langle j; j + 1, j + 2, j + 6 \rangle \rangle, & \quad \langle j + 1; \langle j; j + 2, j + 3, j + 5 \rangle \rangle, \\ \langle j + 6; \langle j; j + 1, j + 3, j + 4 \rangle \rangle, & \quad \langle \infty; \langle j; j + 1, j + 2, j + 3 \rangle \rangle, \\ \langle j; \langle j + 1; \infty, j + 2, j + 4 \rangle \rangle, & \quad \langle j + 5; \langle j + 1; \infty, j + 3, j + 4 \rangle \rangle, \\ \langle j; \langle j + 1; \infty, j + 2, j + 3 \rangle \rangle, & \quad \langle j; \langle \infty; j + 1, j + 2, j + 3 \rangle \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for every $j \in Z_7$.

It is possible to verify that this is a nesting $N(S_3, 8; 6, 8)$.

Theorem 4.6.6: *There exists a nesting $N(S_3, n; 6, 8)$ for every $n \geq 5$, $n \neq 6$, except possibly for $n = 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 27, 28, 30, 32, 33, 34, 38, 39, 42, 44, 46, 52, 60, 94, 96, 98, 100, 102, 104, 106, 108, 110, 116, 138, 140, 142, 146, 150, 154, 156, 158, 162, 166, 170, 172, 174, 206, 228$.*

Proof: Observe that for every admissible $n \in N$ there exists a PBD(n) having blocks of size 5, 7, 8, 9 ([2], p. 208), with a set of possible exceptions. The statement follows from Theorem 4.6.5, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 4.6.4.

Collecting together the results obtained, we can formulate the following.

Corollary 4.6 *The necessary conditions for the existence of a nesting design $N(S_3, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ [Theorem 4.6.1] are also sufficient except possibly for:*

- i) $n = 15, 27, 39, 75, 87, 135, 183, 195$, when $n \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, $\lambda_1 \equiv 3 \pmod 6$, $\lambda_2 \equiv 4 \pmod 8$;
- ii) $n = 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 27, 28, 30, 32, 33, 34, 38, 39, 42, 44, 46, 52, 60, 94, 96, 98, 100, 102, 104, 106, 108, 110, 116, 138, 140, 142, 146, 150, 154, 156, 158, 162, 166, 170, 172, 174, 206, 228$, when $\lambda_1 \equiv 0 \pmod 6$, $\lambda_2 \equiv 0 \pmod 8$.

4.7 $G \cong 2P_2$

In what follows, if $2P_2$ is a graph with edges $\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}$ and S_4 is a 4-star having terminal vertices a, b, c, d and centre x , then the graph $2P_2 + S_4$ will be indicated by $\langle x; (a, b), (c, d) \rangle$.

For the necessary conditions we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7.1: *If there exists a nesting design $N(2P_2, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, then the parameters n, λ_1, λ_2 must satisfy one of the following conditions:*

We can verify that N is a nesting $N(2P_2, n; 2, 4)$.

Theorem 4.7.4: *There exist nestings $N(2P_2, 6; 4, 8)$, $N(2P_2, 8; 4, 8)$.*

Proof: Consider the following design, defined on $Z_5 \cup \{\infty\}$ and having the blocks:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle \infty; (j+1, j+4), (j+2, j+3) \rangle, & \langle j; (\infty, j+3), (j+1, j+4) \rangle, \\ \langle j; (\infty, j+1), (j+2, j+3) \rangle, & \langle j; (\infty, j+2), (j+1, j+4) \rangle, \\ \langle j; (\infty, j+4), (j+2, j+3) \rangle, & \langle j; (j+1, j+4), (j+2, j+3) \rangle \\ & \text{for every } j \in Z_5. \end{array}$$

We can verify that this is a nesting $N(2P_2, 6; 4, 8)$.

Consider the following design, defined on $Z_7 \cup \{\infty\}$ and having the blocks:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle \infty; (j+1, j+2), (j+3, j+5) \rangle, & \langle j; (\infty, j+1), (j+2, j+4) \rangle, \\ \langle j; (\infty, j+3), (j+2, j+4) \rangle, & \langle j; (\infty, j+5), (j+2, j+4) \rangle, \\ \langle j; (\infty, j+2), (j+1, j+4) \rangle, & \langle j; (j+1, j+2), (j+3, j+6) \rangle, \\ \langle j; (j+1, j+2), (j+3, j+6) \rangle, & \langle j; (j+1, j+2), (j+3, j+6) \rangle, \\ & \text{for every } j \in Z_7. \end{array}$$

We can verify that this is a nesting $N(2P_2, 8; 4, 8)$.

Theorem 4.7.5: *There exists a nesting $N(2P_2, n; 4, 8)$ for every $n \in N$, $n \geq 5$.*

Proof: For n odd and $n = 6, n = 8$, the statement follows from Theorem 4.7.3, by a repetition of blocks, and from Theorem 4.7.4.

Let $n \geq 10$, n even. Further, let N be the nesting $N(P_2, n-1; 1, 2)$, defined on Z_{n-1} by the blocks $[j; j+i, j+2i]$, where $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, (n-1)/2$, and $[x; y_1, y_2]$ indicates $\langle x; y_1, y_2 \rangle \cup \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$. Starting from N , it is possible to define a nested-design $N(2P_2, n; 4, 8)$ on $Z_{n-1} \cup \{\infty\}$, as follows.

1) Suppose $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then, for every $j \in Z_{n-1}$:

– repeat every block $[j; j+i, j+2i]$ of N four times:

$$\begin{array}{l} [j; j+i, j+2i]^{(1)}, [j; j+i, j+2i]^{(2)}, \\ [j; j+i, j+2i]^{(3)}, [j; j+i, j+2i]^{(4)}; \end{array}$$

– define, for $u = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $i = 5, 7, \dots, (n-2)/4$ (i odd) :

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle j; (j+i, j+2i), (j+i+1, j+2i+2) \rangle^{(u)} = \\ [j; j+i, j+2i]^{(u)} \cup [j; j+i+1, j+2i+2]^{(u)} \end{array}$$

– define, for $u = 1, 2$:

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle j; (j+1, j+2), (j+4), (j+8) \rangle^{(u)} = [j; j+1, j+2]^{(u)} \cup [j; j+4, j+8]^{(u)}, \\ \langle j; (j+2, j+4), (j+3), (j+6) \rangle^{(u)} = [j; j+2, j+4]^{(u)} \cup [j; j+3, j+6]^{(u)}. \end{array}$$

– define:

$$\langle j; (j+4, j+8), (j+3, j+6) \rangle^{(34)} = [j; j+4, j+8]^{(3)} \cup [j; j+3, j+6]^{(4)}$$

- delete all the remaining blocks of N and define the following:
 $\langle \infty; (j+1, j+2), (j+4, j+8) \rangle$,
 $\langle j; (\infty, j+1), (j+2, j+4) \rangle$, $\langle j; (\infty, j+2), (j+3, j+6) \rangle$,
 $\langle j; (\infty, j+8), (j+2, j+4) \rangle$, $\langle j; (\infty, j+4), (j+1, j+2) \rangle$.

It is possible to verify that this collection of blocks defines a nested-design $N(2P_2, n; 4, 8)$.

2) Suppose $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

- repeat every block of N *four* times, using the symbolism of 1);
- define:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j; (j+1, j+2), (j+3, j+6) \rangle^{(12)} &= [j; j+1, j+2]^{(1)} \cup [j; j+3, j+6]^{(2)} \\ \langle j; (j+1, j+2), (j+4, j+8) \rangle^{(2)} &= [j; j+1, j+2]^{(2)} \cup [j; j+4, j+8]^{(2)} \\ \langle j; (j+2, j+4), (j+5, j+10) \rangle^{(2)} &= [j; j+2, j+4]^{(2)} \cup [j; j+5, j+10]^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

- define:

$$\langle j; (j+i, j+2i), (j+i+1, j+2i+2) \rangle^{(u)} = [j; j+i, j+2i]^{(u)} \cup [j; j+i+1, j+2i+2]^{(u)}$$

for every i even and

$$i = 2, 4, \dots, (n-2)/2 \text{ if } u = 1$$

$$i = 6, 8, \dots, (n-2)/2 \text{ if } u = 2$$

$$i = 4, 6, \dots, (n-2)/2 \text{ if } u = 3, u = 4$$

- delete all the remaining blocks of N and define the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \infty; (j+1, j+2), (j+3, j+6) \rangle \\ &\langle j; (\infty, j+1), (j+2, j+4) \rangle, \langle j; (\infty, j+6), (j+1, j+2) \rangle \\ &\langle j; (\infty, j+2), (j+3, j+6) \rangle, \langle j; (\infty, j+3), (j+2, j+4) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

It is possible to verify that this collection of blocks defines a nesting $N(2P_2, n; 4, 8)$.

Collecting together the results obtained we can formulate the following.

Corollary 4.7 *The necessary conditions for the existence of a nesting design $N(2P_2, n; \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ [Theorem 4.7.1] are always sufficient.*

REFERENCES

- [1] C.J. Colbourn and M.J. Colbourn, *Nested triple systems*, *Ars Combinatoria* **16** (1983), 27–34.
- [2] C.J. Colbourn and J.H. Dinitz, *The CRC-handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, CRC Press, (1996).
- [3] L. Gionfriddo, *New nesting for G-designs, case of order a prime*, *Congressus Numerantium* **145** (2000), 167–176.

- [4] C.C. Lindner and C.A. Rodger, *Decomposition into cycles II: Cycle systems*, Contemporary Design Theory, eds. J.H. Dinitz and D.R. Stinson, Wiley (1992).
- [5] C.C. Lindner, C.A. Rodger and D.R. Stinson, Nesting of cycle systems of odd length, *Discrete Mathematics* **77** (1989), 191–203.
- [6] C.C. Lindner and D.R. Stinson, *Nesting cycle systems of even length*, *J. Comb. Math. Comb. Comp.* **8** (1990), 147–157.
- [7] S. Kageyama and Y. Miao, *The spectrum of nested designs with block size three or four*, *Congressus Numerantium*, **114** (1996), 73–80.
- [8] S. Kageyama and Y. Miao, *Nested designs with block size five and subblock size two*, *J. Statist. Plann. Infer.*, **64** (1997), 125–139.
- [9] S. Kageyama and Y. Miao, *Nested designs with superblock size four*, *J. Statist. Plann. Infer.*, **73** (1998), 229–250.
- [10] S. Milici and G. Quattrocchi, *On nesting of path-designs*, *J. Comb. Math. Comb. Comp.*, to appear.
- [11] S. Milici and G. Quattrocchi, *On nesting of G -decomposition of λK_v where G has four non-isolated vertices or less*, to appear.
- [12] D.A. Preece, *Nested balanced incomplete block designs*, *Biometrika*, **54** (1967), 479–486.
- [13] D.R. Stinson, *The spectrum of nested Steiner triple systems*, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **1** (1985), 189–191.
- [14] D.R. Stinson, *On the spectrum of nested 4-cycle-systems*, *Utilitas Math.*, **33** (1988), 47–50.

(Received 24/3/2000; revised 15/1/2001)