

# The existence of bimatcing designs

Beiliang Du

Department of Mathematics  
Suzhou University, Suzhou 215006  
P. R. China  
dubl@pub.sz.jsinfo.net

## Abstract

A collection of  $k$ -matchings of the bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$  with the property that every pair of independent edges lies in exactly  $\lambda$  of the  $k$ -matchings is called a  $\text{BIMATCH}(n, k, \lambda)$ -design. In this paper we give a new construction of bimatcing designs, and show that the necessary conditions for the existence of a  $\text{BIMATCH}(n, k, \lambda)$ -design are also sufficient whenever  $k = 3$  and 4.

## 1 Introduction

A hyperfactorization of index  $\lambda$  of the complete graph  $K_{2n}$  consists of a family of perfect matchings of  $K_{2n}$ , so that every pair of independent edges of  $K_{2n}$  lies in exactly  $\lambda$  of the perfect matchings. This has been studied by Jungnickel and Vanstone [5]. Alspach and Heinrich [1] have given a generalization of the notion of a hyperfactorization, and called it a matching design. A matching design, denoted by  $\text{MATCH}(n, k, \lambda)$ -design, is a family of  $k$ -matchings (i.e.,  $k$  independent edges) of  $K_n$  so that every pair of independent edges of  $K_n$  lies in exactly  $\lambda$  members of the  $k$ -matchings.

An analogous definition is given for the bipartite graph  $K_{n,n}$ , and this is called a bimatcing design.

**Definition 1.1** [1] A bimatcing design, denoted by  $\text{BIMATCH}(n, k, \lambda)$ -design, is a collection of  $k$ -matchings (i.e.,  $k$  independent edges) of  $K_{n,n}$  so that every pair of independent edges of  $K_{n,n}$  lies in exactly  $\lambda$  members of the  $k$ -matchings.

We have the following necessary conditions for the existence of  $\text{BIMATCH}(n, k, \lambda)$ -designs.

**Theorem 1.2** [1] Necessary conditions for the existence of  $\text{BIMATCH}(n, k, \lambda)$ -designs are that

- (1)  $n \geq k$ ,
- (2)  $\lambda(n-1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k-1}$ , and
- (3)  $\lambda n^2(n-1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k(k-1)}$ .

Now the problem arises whether these necessary conditions are also sufficient, especially for small  $k = 3$  and  $4$ . From Alspach and Heinrich [1] and Lin and Heinrich [6] we only have the following known results.

**Theorem 1.3** [1,6]

- (1) If  $k$  is a prime power,  $n \geq k$  and  $n \equiv 1$  or  $k \pmod{k(k-1)}$ , there exists a  $\text{BIMATCH}(n, k, \lambda)$ -design.
- (2) If  $n$  is a prime power and  $n \geq 3$ , there exists a  $\text{BIMATCH}(n, 3, \lambda)$ -design with  $\lambda$  taking on the following values
  - (i)  $\lambda = 1$ , when  $n \equiv 1$  or  $3 \pmod{6}$ ,
  - (ii)  $\lambda = 2$ , when  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ ,
  - (iii)  $\lambda = 3$ , when  $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , and
  - (iv)  $\lambda = 6$ , when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ .

In this paper we give a new construction of bimatcing designs, and show that the necessary conditions for the existence of a  $\text{BIMATCH}(n, k, \lambda)$ -design are also sufficient whenever  $k = 3$  and  $4$ .

## 2 A Construction

For our construction, we need the concept of a modified group divisible design, which is a generalization of a group divisible design.

**Definition 2.1** [2] A modified group divisible design, denoted by  $\text{MGD}(k, \lambda, m, n)$ , is a pair  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , where

$$X = \{(x_i, y_j) : 0 \leq i \leq m-1, 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$$

is a set order  $mn$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of  $k$ -subsets (called blocks) of  $X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) every pair of points  $(x_i, y_j)$  and  $(x_s, y_t)$  of  $X$  is contained in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks when  $i \neq s$  and  $j \neq t$ ,
- (2) the pair of points  $(x_i, y_j)$  and  $(x_s, y_t)$  with  $i = s$  or  $j = t$  is not contained in any block.

The subset  $\{(x_i, y_j) : 0 \leq i \leq m-1\}$  where  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$  are called groups and the subset  $\{(x_i, y_j) : 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$  where  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$  are called rows.

Now we can state our construction.

**Theorem 2.2** A BIMATCH( $n, k, \lambda$ )-design exists if and only if a MGD( $k, \lambda, n, n$ ) exists.

**Proof** Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be the two partite sets of a  $K_{n,n}$ , in which

$$V_1 = \{x_i : 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\},$$

$$V_2 = \{y_j : 0 \leq j \leq n - 1\}.$$

Let  $(x_i, y_j)$  be the edge of  $K_{n,n}$ , in which the end vertices are  $x_i \in V_1$  and  $y_j \in V_2$ , and let  $E(K_{n,n})$  be the edgeset of  $K_{n,n}$ . We then have

$$E(K_{n,n}) = \{(x_i, y_j) : 0 \leq i \leq n - 1, 0 \leq j \leq n - 1\}.$$

Then  $B$  is a  $k$ -matching of the BIMATCH( $n, k, \lambda$ )-design if and only if  $B$  is a block of MGD( $k, \lambda, n, n$ ).

This complete the proof.

### 3 Main Result

From Assaf [2], we have the following necessary conditions for the existence of an MGD( $k, \lambda, m, n$ ).

**Lemma 3.1** [2] Necessary conditions for the existence of MGD( $k, \lambda, m, n$ ) are that

- (1)  $m, n \geq k$ ,
- (2)  $\lambda(mn + 1 - m - n) \equiv 0 \pmod{k - 1}$ , and
- (3)  $\lambda mn(mn + 1 - m - n) \equiv 0 \pmod{k(k - 1)}$ .

In particular, necessary conditions for the existence of a MGD( $k, \lambda, n, n$ ) are that

- (1)  $n \geq k$ ,
- (2)  $\lambda(n - 1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k - 1}$ , and
- (3)  $\lambda n^2(n - 1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k(k - 1)}$ .

From Assaf [2] and [3], Wei [7] and Assaf and Wei [4], we have the following known results for the existence of MGD( $k, \lambda, n, n$ ).

**Lemma 3.2** [2,3,4,7]

- (1) There exists a MGD( $3, \lambda, n, n$ ) if and only if  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\lambda(n - 1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $\lambda n^2(n - 1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

(2) There exists a  $\text{MGD}(4, \lambda, n, n)$  if and only if  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\lambda(n-1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $\lambda n^2(n-1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ .

This establishes our main result.

### Theorem 3.3

(1) There exists a  $\text{BIMATCH}(n, 3, \lambda)$ -design if and only if  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\lambda(n-1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $\lambda n^2(n-1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

(2) There exists a  $\text{BIMATCH}(n, 4, \lambda)$ -design if and only if  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\lambda(n-1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $\lambda n^2(n-1)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ .

## References

- [1] B. Alspach and K. Heinrich, *Matching designs*, *Austral. J. Combin.*, **2** (1990), 39-55.
- [2] A.M. Assaf, *Modified group divisible designs*, *Ars Combin.*, **29** (1990), 13-20.
- [3] A.M. Assaf, *Modified group divisible designs with block 4 and  $\lambda > 1$* , *Austral. J. Combin.*, **16** (1997), 229-238.
- [4] A.M. Assaf and R. Wei, *Modified group divisible designs with block 4 and  $\lambda = 1$* , *Discrete Math.*, **195** (1999), 15-25.
- [5] D. Jungnickel and S.A. Vanstone, *Hyperfactorization of graphs and 5-designs*, *J. Univ. Kuwait Sci.*, **14** (1987), 213-223.
- [6] C. Lin and K. Heinrich, *Bimatching designs*, *Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. B*, **9** (1994), 77-84.
- [7] R. Wei, *The existence of group divisible design with equal size holes*, *Ars Combin.*, **35** (1993), 315-323.

(Received 18/1/2000)