# A note on the blocking sets in the large Mathieu design S(5, 8, 24)

Xiaomin Bao

Department of Mathematics & Astronomy University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada R3T 2N2 email: bao@cc.umanitoba.ca

#### Abstract

We simplify the classification of blocking sets in the Steiner system S(5, 8, 24) obtained by Beradi and Eugeni. We show that every blocking set in S(5, 8, 24) is contained in precisely two blocks.

## 1 Introduction

First of all, we introduce some definitions and terminologies.

Definition 1.1. A Steiner system S(t, k, v) is a pair (S, B), where S is a v-set of elements called points, B is a family of k-sets called blocks, such that any fixed t-set is contained in exactly one element of B.

Definition 1.2. A set of points of a Steiner system is called a *blocking set* if it contains no block, but intersects every block.

Definition 1.3. A blocking set C is said to be of index t if C is contained in t blocks. The index of C is denoted by i(C).

Definition 1.4. A blocking set C is said to be *irreducible* if for any  $x \in C$ , the set  $C - \{x\}$  is not a blocking set. Otherwise, C is said to be *reducible*.

Let B, B' be two blocks in S(5, 8, 24) with  $|B \cap B'| = 2$ . We define

$$M := B \triangle B'; M_0 := B \triangle B' - \{a\}; I := B \cup B' - \{u, v\}; R := B \cup B' - \{z, a\}$$

where  $u \in B - B'$ ,  $v \in B' - B$ ,  $a \in B \triangle B'$ ,  $z \in B \cap B' = \{x, y\}$ .

In [2] L. Berardi and F. Eugeni have proved the following theorem which gives the complete classification of the blocking sets in S(5, 8, 24).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let C be a blocking set in S(5, 8, 24). Then  $11 \le |C| \le 13$ . Moreover,

1. |C| = 11 implies that  $C = M_0$  and  $i(M_0) = 2$ .

Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 20(1999), pp.13-18

- 2. |C| = 12 and C irreducible imply that C = I and i(I) = 2.
- 3. |C| = 12 and C reducible imply that  $C = M_0 \cup \{x\}, x \notin M_0$ . Moreover, if i(C) = 2, then either C = M or C = R.
- 4. |C| = 13 implies that C is reducible and C is the complement of  $M_0$ . Moreover, if i(C) = 2, then  $C = B \cup B' \{a\}$ , where B, B' are two blocks with  $|B \cap B'| = 2$  and  $a \in B \cap B'$ .

In this paper, we prove the following theorem, which improves the results in theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let C be a blocking set in S(5, 8, 24). Then  $11 \le |C| \le 13$  and i(C) = 2. Moreover,

- 1. If |C| = 11, then  $C = M_0$ .
- 2. If |C| = 12 and C is irreducible, then C = I
- 3. If |C| = 12 and C is reducible, then C = M or R
- 4. If |C| = 13, then  $C = S M_0 = B \cup B' \{z\}$  is reducible, where B, B' are two blocks with  $|B \cap B'| = 2$  and  $z \in B \cap B'$ .

### 2 Some results

Let  $r_s(s = 0, 1, \dots, t)$  be the number of blocks containing a fixed s-set, then

$$r_s = \frac{\binom{v-s}{t-s}}{\binom{k-s}{t-s}}$$

Let *E* be a *c*-set in S(t, k, v). Denote by  $x_i$  the number of blocks *i*-secant to *E*. Let  $T = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_h\}$  be a set of integers with  $0 \le m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_h$ .

A set E is said to be of type  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_h)$ , if  $x_i \neq 0$  if and only if  $i \in T$ . We have the following identities:

(2.1) 
$$\sum_{i=s}^{k} {i \choose s} x_i = r_s {c \choose s}, s = 0, 1, \cdots, t.$$

In the case of S(5, 8, 24), if E is a blocking set, then (2.1) implies:

(2.2)  

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6 + x_7 &= 759 \\
x_2 + 2x_3 + 3x_4 + 4x_5 + 5x_6 + 6x_7 &= g_1 \\
x_3 + 3x_4 + 6x_5 + 10x_6 + 15x_7 &= g_2 \\
x_4 + 4x_5 + 10x_6 + 20x_7 &= g_3 \\
x_5 + 5x_6 + 15x_7 &= g_4 \\
x_6 + 6x_7 &= g_5
\end{aligned}$$

where

The following lemmas are quoted from [1, 2].

Lemma 2.1. [2] Let B, B' be two blocks in S(5, 8, 24). Then

1. The type of B is (0, 2, 4, 8) with

$$x_0 = 30, x_2 = 448, x_4 = 280, x_8 = 1.$$

- 2. If  $|B \cap B'| = 4$ , then  $B \triangle B'$  is a block.
- 3. If  $|B \cap B'| = 2$ , then  $M = B \triangle B'$  is a set of type (2, 4, 6) with

$$x_2 = x_6 = 132, x_4 = 495.$$

- 4. If  $|B \cap B'| = 0$ , then  $B \triangle B' = B \cup B'$  is a set of type (0, 4, 6, 8) with  $x_0 = 1, x_4 = 280, x_6 = 448, x_8 = 30.$
- 5. Let E be a set. Then S E is a block if and only if  $E = B \cup B'$ ,  $B \cap B' = \emptyset$ .
- 6. Let F be a 4-set,  $F \cap B = \emptyset$ , then there exists a block B' such that  $F \subseteq B'$  and  $B \cap B' = \emptyset$ .

By 1, 3 and 4 of lemma 2.1 we get the following corollaries respectively.

**Corollary 2.1.** No blocking set can be contained in one block.

Corollary 2.2. The sets M,  $M_0$  are blocking sets in S(5, 8, 24).

**Corollary 2.3.** Let C be a blocking set. If  $C \subseteq B \cup B'$ , then  $|B \cap B'| \neq 0$ .

Fix a point x in S(t, k, v) and set

$$\mathcal{B}_x = \{ B - \{ x \} | x \in B, B \in \mathcal{B} \}.$$

The pair  $(S - \{x\}, B_x)$  is a Steiner system S(t - 1, k - 1, v - 1), which is said to be the contraction of S(t, k, v) at x. For S(4, 7, 23) we have

**Lemma 2.2.** [1] Let B, B' be two blocks in S(4,7,23) with  $B \cap B' = \{x\}$ , then for any  $u \in B - B'$  and  $v \in B' - B$ , there exists a block B" in S(4,7,23) such that  $B'' \cap (B \cup B') = \{u,v\}$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** Let B, B' be two blocks in S(5, 8, 24) with  $B \cap B' = \{x, y\}$  and let  $u \in B - B', v \in B' - B$ . Then  $(B \cup B') - \{y, u, v\}$  is not a blocking set.

# 3 Proof of the theorem 1.2

From now on, C will be used to denote a blocking set in S(5, 8, 24).

Lemma 3.1, proposition 3.1, proposition 3.2 and proposition 3.3 are proved in [2]; we quote them here for our convenience.

Lemma 3.1.  $11 \le |C| \le 13$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** If |C| = 11, then  $C = M_0$  has no 7-secant block.

**Proposition 3.2.** I is an irreducible blocking set.

**Proposition 3.3.** R is a reducible blocking set.

By (2.2), if |C| = 12, then

 $(3.1) x_1 = x_7, x_2 = x_6 = 132 - 6x_7, x_3 = x_5 = 15x_7, x_4 = 495 - 20x_7.$ 

The following proposition plays a crucial role in our proof.

Proposition 3.4. Let |C| = 12.

1. If C has a 7-secant block, then C = R or I.

2. If C has no 7-secant block, then C = M.

*Proof.* Let B be a block 7-secant to C, B' be a block containing the five points in C - B, then  $|B \cap B'| = 2$ . Let  $B \cap B' = \{x, y\}$ . Since  $|B \cap C| = 7$ ,  $\{x, y\} \cap C \neq \emptyset$ . If  $x \in C$ ,  $y \notin C$ , then C = R. If  $x, y \in C$ , then C = I.

If C has no 7-secant block, then by 3.1 C is of type (2, 4, 6). Let B be a block 6-secant to C, let five of the six points in C - B be contained in block B', then B' contains another point in C. We claim that this point must be the remaining point in C - B. Suppose this point is in B, then by lemma 2.1.  $1 |B \cap B'| = 2$ . Let  $B \cap B' = \{x, y\}, x \in C, y \notin C, u \in B - B', v \in B' - B, w \in C - (B \cup B')$ . Since C is of type (2, 4, 6), the set  $C - \{w\} = (B \cup B') - \{y, u, v\}$  is a blocking set, contradiction. So B, B' are blocks 6-secant to C,  $C = B \triangle B' = M$ .

Proposition 3.5 and proposition 3.7 had been proved in [2], but using proposition 3.4, we can simplify the proof.

**Proposition 3.5.** If |C| = 12, C is irreducible, then C = I.

*Proof.* Since C is irreducible,  $x_7 = x_1 \ge 12$ . By proposition 3.4 C = I.

**Proposition 3.6.** If |C| = 12 and C is reducible, then C = M or R.

*Proof.* If C has a 7-secant block, then C = R; if C has no 7-secant block, then C = M.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let A be one of the 12-sets I, M and R. Then S-A is isomorphic to A.

*Proof.* Let A = M. Since M is of type (2, 4, 6), so is S - M. By proposition 3.4 S - M = M.

Let  $A = R = B \cup B' - \{z, a\}$ , where  $|B \cap B'| = 2$ ,  $a \in B \triangle B'$ ,  $z \in B \cap B'$ . Since R has a 7-secant block and  $R \cup \{a\}$  is a blocking set, S - R is reducible and also has a 7-secant block. By proposition 3.6 and proposition 3.4, S - R = R.

Let A = I. Suppose S - I is reducible, then S - I = R, but S - (S - I) = I, so I = S - R = R, contradiction. Therefore S - I is irreducible and S - I = I.

**Proposition 3.8.** If |C| = 13, then  $C = S - M_0 = B \cup B' - \{z\}$  is reducible, where B, B' are blocks with  $|B \cap B'| = 2$  and  $z \in B \cap B'$ .

*Proof.* Since |S - C| = 11, we have  $S - C = M_0$ . But  $M_0$  has no 7-secant block, so C has no 1-secant block. This means that C is reducible.

The fact that  $M_0$  has a 1-secant block means that C has 7-secant blocks. Let B be a 7-secant block to C and let five of the six points in C-B be contained in block B'.

We claim that the remaining one point  $w \in C - B$  is still in B'.

Suppose  $w \notin B'$ , we may assume that  $B \cap B' \neq \emptyset$ . (If  $B \cap B' = \emptyset$ , then B' contains three points in  $S - (C \cup B)$ . Since there are six blocks that contain five points in C - B, any two of these only intersect at four points in C - B, and there are only ten points in  $S - (C \cup B)$ , so at least one of these blocks will intersect B. We can label this block as B'.). Then  $|B \cap B'| = 2$ . Let  $B \cap B' = \{x, y\}$ , since B is 7-secant to  $C, \{x, y\} \cap C \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $x, y \in C$ , then  $C - \{w\} = I$ . But on the other hand,  $M_0 \cup \{w\}$  is reducible, so  $S - I = M_0 \cup \{w\} = R$ , contradiction.

If  $x \in C$ ,  $y \notin C$ , let  $v \in B' - (C \cup B)$ ,  $B = \{x, y, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6\}$ . By lemma 2.2 we know that there is a block  $B_i$  that contains  $a_i, v, y$  such that

 $B_i \cap (C - \{w, a_i\}) = \emptyset, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.$  Since C has no 1-secant block,  $w \in B_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. Let  $D_i = B_i - \{v, y, w, a_i\}$ , then  $|D_i| = 4, \ D_i \subseteq S - (C \cup \{x, y\}), |D_i \cap D_j| = 1, \ i \neq j.$  Since  $|S - (C \cup \{v, y\})| = 9$ , we have  $D_1 \cap D_i \neq D_1 \cap D_j$ , if  $i \neq j$ . Hence  $|D_1| = 5$ , contradiction.

Now we have proved that  $w \in B'$ . From  $C \subseteq (B \cup B')$  we know that  $|B \cap B'| = 2$ . Let  $B \cap B' = \{x, y\}$ , since  $|B \cap C| = 7$ , this means that  $|(B \cap B') \cap C| = 1$ , so  $C = B \cup B' - \{z\}, z \in B \cap B'$ .

# 4 Acknowledgment

I would like to take this opportunity to thank my advisor Professor L. M. Batten who provided me with the copies of [1] and [2], and has helped me to improve this paper.

# References

 L.Berardi, Blocking sets in the large Mathieu designs, II: the case S(4,7,23), J.of Inf. & Opti. Sci. 2 (1988), 263-278.  [2] L.Berardi and F.Eugeni, Blocking sets in the large Mathieu designs, III: the case S(5,8,24), Ars.comb.29 (1990), 33-41.

(Received 23/1/98)