

Blocking sets in balanced path designs*

Gaetano Quattrocchi

Department of Mathematics, University of Catania
viale A. Doria, 6, 95125 Catania, Italy

Abstract

Let $k \geq 3$. For each admissible v , we determine the set $\mathcal{BSH}(v, k, 1)$ of integers x such that there exists a balanced path design $H(v, k, 1)$ with a blocking set of cardinality x .

1 Introduction

Let G be a subgraph of K_v , the complete undirected graph on v vertices. A G -design of K_v is a pair (V, \mathcal{B}) , where V is the vertex set of K_v and \mathcal{B} is an edge-disjoint decomposition of K_v into copies of the graph G . Usually we say that b is a block of the G -design if $b \in \mathcal{B}$, and \mathcal{B} is called the block-set. A G -design of K_v is also called a G -design of order v .

A *balanced G -design* [5, 4] is a G -design such that each vertex belongs to the same number of copies of G . Obviously not every G -design is balanced.

A *balanced path design* $H(v, k, 1)$ [4] is a balanced P_k -design of K_v , where P_k is the simple path with $k - 1$ edges (k vertices) $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = \{\{a_1, a_2\}, \{a_2, a_3\}, \dots, \{a_{k-1}, a_k\}\}$.

S. H. Y. Hung and N. S. Mendelsohn [5] proved that a $H(v, 2h + 1, 1)$ ($h \geq 1$) exists if and only if $v \equiv 1 \pmod{4h}$, and a $H(v, 2h, 1)$ ($h \geq 2$) exists if and only if $v \equiv 1 \pmod{2h - 1}$.

Given a $H(v, k, 1)$ (V, \mathcal{B}) , a subset X of V is called a *blocking set* of \mathcal{B} if for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$, $b \cap X \neq \emptyset$, and $b \cap (V - X) \neq \emptyset$. A $H(v, k, 1)$ with blocking set is said to be *2-colorable*, and the partition $(X, V - X)$ is called a *2-coloring*.

Numerous articles have been written on the existence of blocking sets in projective spaces, in t -designs and in G -designs [1, 2, 7, 8, 9].

For each admissible v , let $\mathcal{BSH}(v, k, 1)$ be the set of integers x such that there exists a $H(v, k, 1)$ with a blocking set of cardinality x . S. Milici [8] determined $\mathcal{BSH}(v, k, 1)$ for $k = 3, 4$. The aim of this note is to determine $\mathcal{BSH}(v, k, 1)$ for every $k \geq 3$.

*Research supported by MURST and GNSAGA of CNR Italy.

Theorem 1 (Necessary condition). *Let $x \in \mathcal{BSH}(v, k, 1)$, then*

$$\frac{v-1}{k-1} \leq x \leq \frac{(k-2)v+1}{k-1}.$$

Proof. Let X be a blocking set in a $H(v, k, 1)$ (V, \mathcal{B}) , $|X| = x$. Since each $b \in \mathcal{B}$ meets X , we have $x \frac{k(v-1)}{2(k-1)} - \frac{x(x-1)}{2} \geq \frac{v(v-1)}{2(k-1)}$. This inequality and the fact that $V - X$ is a blocking set imply the proof. \square

2 $\mathcal{BSH}(v, k, 1)$ for even $k \geq 4$.

In this section we determine the set $\mathcal{BSH}(v, k, 1)$ for each even $k \geq 4$. To prove this result we will use the $v \rightarrow v + k - 1$ construction for $H(v, k, 1)$ [5].

Lemma 1 *Let $k \geq 4$ be an even integer and let $x \in \mathcal{BSH}(v, k)$. Then $x + t \in \mathcal{BSH}(v + k - 1, k, 1)$ for each $t = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$.*

Proof. Let $W = \{a_\mu \mid \mu = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$. For each $i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2} - 1$ and $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2} - 1$ let $y_{2\rho}^i = a_{\rho+i}$ and $y_{2\rho+1}^i = a_{k-1-\rho+i}$, where the indices $\rho + i$ and $k - 1 - \rho + i$ are reduced $(\text{mod } k)$ to the range $\{0, \dots, k - 1\}$. Define the $H(k, k, 1)$ (W, \mathcal{D}) by putting in \mathcal{D} the blocks $(y_0^i, y_1^i, \dots, y_{k-1}^i)$.

Put $v = 1 + (k - 1)\alpha$, $\alpha \geq 1$. Let (V, \mathcal{B}) , $V \cap W = \emptyset$, be a $H(v, k, 1)$ with a blocking set X . Let $V = (\cup_{t=1}^{\alpha} X^t) \cup \{a_0\}$, $X^t = \{x_j^t \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha\}$. Suppose $X^1 \subseteq X$ and $X^{k-1} \subseteq V - X$.

For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2$ and $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2} - 1$ put $z_{2\rho}^{j,i} = x_j^{k-\rho+i}$ and $z_{2\rho+1}^{j,i} = a_{1+\rho+i}$ where the indices $k - \rho + i$ and $1 + \rho + i$ are reduced $(\text{mod } k - 1)$ to the range $\{1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$. Let \mathcal{C} contain the blocks $(z_0^{j,i}, z_1^{j,i}, \dots, z_{k-1}^{j,i})$.

Put $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}$. It is easy to verify that (see [5]) $(V \cup W, \mathcal{E})$ is a $H(v + k - 1, k, 1)$.

Now we prove that $\overline{X} = X \cup \{a_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, t\}$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, k - 2$, is a blocking set of \mathcal{E} . Let $b \in \mathcal{E}$. If $b \in \mathcal{D}$ then $b \cap \overline{X} = \{a_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, t\}$ and $b \cap (V - \overline{X}) = \{a_0\} \cup \{a_i \mid i = t + 1, t + 2, \dots, k - 1\}$. If $b \in \mathcal{B}$ then $b \cap X \neq \emptyset$ and $b \cap (V - X) \neq \emptyset$. If $b \in \mathcal{C}$ then $b \cap (X^1 \cup \{a_1\}) \neq \emptyset$ and $b \cap (X^{k-1} \cup \{a_{k-1}\}) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Theorem 2 *For each even $k \geq 4$ and for each $v \equiv 1 \pmod{k - 1}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{BSH}(v, k, 1) = \left\{ x \mid \frac{v-1}{k-1} \leq x \leq \frac{(k-2)v+1}{k-1} \right\}.$$

Proof. For $v = k$ the proof follows from the fact that each block has cardinality k . Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 complete the proof. \square

3 $\mathcal{BSH}(v, k, 1)$ for odd $k \geq 3$.

In this section we determine the set $\mathcal{BSH}(v, k, 1)$ for each odd $k \geq 3$. We will use the difference method to construct $H(v, k, 1)$ [3, 6].

Lemma 2 *If $2 \in \mathcal{BSH}(4h + 1, 2h + 1, 1)$, $h \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{BSH}(4h + 1, 2h + 1, 1) = \{2, 3, \dots, 4h - 1\}$.*

Proof. For $h = 1$ the proof is straight forward. Suppose $h \geq 2$. By Theorem 1 it is sufficient to prove that $\{2, 3, \dots, 2h\} \subseteq \mathcal{BSH}(4h + 1, 2h + 1, 1)$.

Let X be a blocking set in a $\mathcal{BSH}(4h + 1, 2h + 1, 1)$ (V, \mathcal{B}) , $|X| = 2$. For each x with $3 \leq x \leq 2h$, let Y be a subset of V such that $|Y| = x - 2$ and $|Y \cap X| = 0$. Then $X \cup Y$ is a blocking set of \mathcal{B} . \square

Theorem 3 *For each odd $k \geq 3$ and for each $v \equiv 1 \pmod{k - 1}$, we have $\mathcal{BSH}(v, k, 1) = \left\{ x \mid \frac{v - 1}{k - 1} \leq x \leq \frac{(k - 2)v + 1}{k - 1} \right\}$.*

Proof. Put $k = 2h + 1$ and $v = 1 + 4hm$, $h \geq 1$ and $m \geq 1$. For each $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ and $t = 0, 1, \dots, h - 1$ define $a_0 = 0$, $a_{2t+1} = t + 1 + 2hj$ and $a_{2t+2} = 4hm - t$. Using the difference method construct a $H(1 + 4hm, 1 + 2h, 1)$ (V, \mathcal{B}) having the following base blocks [6]:

$$b_j = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2h-1}, a_{2h}).$$

The *difference* of the pair $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}\}$, named so that $a_{i_1} < a_{i_2}$, is defined to be $D(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}) = \min\{a_{i_2} - a_{i_1}, v - (a_{i_2} - a_{i_1})\}$.

Let S be the set of the differences of the pairs $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}\}$ where a_{i_1} and a_{i_2} are vertices of b_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$, such that $\{a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}\}$ is not an edge in b_j . The elements of S are the following:

$D(a_{2t+2}, a_{2\rho}) = t - \rho + 1$ for each $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, h - 1$ and $t = \rho + 1, \rho + 2, \dots, h - 1$; and, if $h \geq 2$,

$$D(a_{2\rho+1}, a_{2t+1}) = t - \rho,$$

$$D(a_{2\rho+1}, a_{2t+2}) = t + 2 + \rho + 2hj,$$

$$D(a_{2t+1}, a_{2\rho}) = t + 1 + \rho + 2hj,$$

for each $\rho = 0, 1, \dots, h - 2$ and $t = \rho + 1, \rho + 2, \dots, h - 1$.

It is easy to see that $S \cap \{2h\sigma \mid \sigma = 1, 2, \dots, 2m - 1\} = \emptyset$. So there is exactly one $b \in \mathcal{B}$ meeting both the elements $2h\sigma_1$ and $2h\sigma_2$, for every $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2m - 1\}$, $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$. Therefore the pair $\{2h\sigma_1, 2h\sigma_2\}$ is an edge in b .

Since every point of V meets $m(2h + 1)$ paths of \mathcal{B} , the following inequalities hold:

$$1 \leq |b \cap \{2h\sigma \mid \sigma = 0, 1, \dots, 2m - 1\}| \leq 2 \quad \forall b \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (1)$$

From (1) it follows that $\{2h\sigma \mid \sigma = 0, 1, \dots, 2m - 1\}$ is a blocking set of minimum cardinality.

Put $X_i = \{i + 2h\sigma \mid \sigma = 0, 1, \dots, 2m - 1\}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, h - 1$. It is easy to see that $X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset$ for each $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, h - 1\}$, $i \neq j$. Then from (1) and $|b| = 2h + 1$

it follows that $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\mu} X_i$, $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$, is a blocking set of \mathcal{B} having cardinality $2m(\mu+1)$.

To complete the proof it is sufficient to prove that if x is an integer such that $2m < x < 2hm$ and $x \neq 2\mu m$ for each $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$, then $x \in \mathcal{BSH}(1+4hm, 1+2h)$. For $m=1$ this result follows from Lemma 2. For $m \geq 2$, let $x = 2\mu m + \sigma$, $\sigma = 1, 2, \dots, 2m-1$. Then $(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\mu-1} X_i) \cup \{\mu + 2hj \mid j = 0, 1, \dots, \sigma-1\}$ is a blocking set having cardinality $2\mu m + \sigma$. \square

References

- [1] L.M. Batten, Blocking sets in designs, *Congressus Numerantium*, **99** (1994), 139-154.
- [2] H. Gropp, Blocking sets in configurations n_3 , *Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen* **201** (1991), 59-72.
- [3] M. Hall Jr, Combinatorial Theory, *Cambridge University Press*, Cambridge (1986).
- [4] P. Hell and A. Rosa, Graph decompositions, handcuffed prisoners, and balanced P -designs, *Discrete Math.*, **2** (1972), 229-252.
- [5] S. H. Y. Hung and N. S. Mendelsohn, (1974) Handcuffed designs, *Aequationes Math.*, **18**, 256-266.
- [6] J.F. Lawless, On the construction of handcuffed designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **16** (1974), 76-86.
- [7] C.C. Lindner and C.A. Rodger, Blocking set preserving embedding of partial $K_4 - e$ designs, *Australasian J. Combin.*, **12** (1995), 121-126.
- [8] S. Milici, Blocking sets in handcuffed designs, *Australasian J. Combin.*, **7** (1993), 229-236.
- [9] S. Milici and Z. Tuza, Disjoint blocking sets in cycle systems, *Discrete Math*, to appear.

(Received 10/12/98)