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#### Abstract

A counterexample is presented to the following conjecture of Jackson and Wormald: If $j \geq 1, k \geq 2$ and a graph is connected, locally $j$ connected and $K_{1,(j+1)(k-1)+2}$-free then it has a $k$-tree.


## Preliminaries

All graphs considered here are finite and without loops or multiple edges. As usual, we let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote respectively the vertex set and the edge set of the graph $G$. The cardinality of the set $S$ is denoted by $|S|$. A $K_{1, k}$-free graph is a graph containing no copy of $K_{1, k}$ as an induced subgraph. Also, a graph is locally $j$-connected if every subgraph induced by the set of neighbours of a vertex $v$ is $j$-connected. A $k$-tree of a graph is a spanning tree with maximum degree at most $k$.

The join of two disjoint graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, denoted by $G_{1}+G_{2}$, is obtained by joining each vertex of $G_{1}$ to each vertex of $G_{2}$. The union of $m$ disjoint copies of the same graph $G$ is denoted by $m G$.

In [1], Bill Jackson and Nicholas C. Wormald made the following conjecture: If $j \geq 1, k \geq 2$ and a graph is connected, locally $j$-connected and $K_{1,(j+1)(k-1)+2}$-free then it has a $k$-tree.

## A counterexample

For any integers $\delta \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, first we construct the graph $G_{1}+G_{2}$, where $G_{1}=K_{\delta}$ and $G_{2}=\{\delta(k-1)+1\} K_{\delta}$. Then join a $\bar{K}_{\delta(k-1)}$ to each copy of $K_{\delta}$ in $G_{2}$; the graph is depicted in Figure 1.


Figure 1
It is easily seen that the graph $G_{1}$ shown in Figure 1 is connected, locally $(\delta-1)$-connected and $K_{1, \delta(k-1)+2}$-free.

Next, we show it does not have a $k$-tree. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $G$ does have a $k$-tree, $T$. Denote $A=E(T) \cap E\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $B=E(T) \cap E\left(G_{2}\right)$. Since every edge in $E(T)-A$ is incident with at least one vertex of $G_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
|E(T)|-|A| \leq k \delta\{\delta(k-1)+1\}-|B| \\
|V(T)|-1-|A| \leq k \delta\{\delta(k-1)+1\}-|B| \\
\delta+k \delta\{\delta(k-1)+1\}-1-|A| \leq k \delta\{\delta(k-1)+1\}-|B| \\
\delta-1 \leq|A|-|B| .
\end{gathered}
$$

But $|A| \leq \delta-1$, so $|A|=\delta-1$ and $|B|=0$. So the degree-sum of the vertices of $G_{1}$ in $T$ is at least $\delta(k-1)+1+2|A|=k \delta+\delta-1$ which contradicts the fact that the degree-sum of the vertices of $G_{1}$ in $|T|$ is at most $k \delta$.

But I feel that Jackson and Wormald's conjecture can be changed as follows:
Conjecture: If $j \geq 1, k \geq 2$ and a graph is connected, locally $j$-connected and $K_{1,(j+1)(k-1)+1}$-free then it has a $k$-tree.

If true, this conjecture is sharp, in view of the graph shown in Figure 1.
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