

Bicyclic Antiautomorphisms of Directed Triple Systems with 0 or 1 Fixed Points

Neil P. Carnes, Anne Dye and James F. Reed

Department of Mathematics, Computer Science & Statistics
McNeese State University
P.O. Box 92340, Lake Charles, LA 70609-2340, USA

Abstract

A transitive triple, (a, b, c) , is defined to be the set $\{(a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}$ of ordered pairs. A directed triple system of order v , $DTS(v)$, is a pair (D, β) , where D is a set of v points and β is a collection of transitive triples of pairwise distinct points of D such that any ordered pair of distinct points of D is contained in precisely one transitive triple of β . An antiautomorphism of a directed triple system, (D, β) , is a permutation of D which maps β to β^{-1} , where $\beta^{-1} = \{(c, b, a) | (a, b, c) \in \beta\}$. In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a directed triple system of order v admitting an antiautomorphism consisting of two cycles of equal length and having 0 or 1 fixed points.

1 PRELIMINARIES

A Steiner triple system of order v , $STS(v)$, is a pair (S, β) , where S is a set of v points and β is a collection of 3-element subsets of S , called *blocks*, such that any pair of distinct points of S is contained in precisely one block of β . Kirkman [4] showed that there is an $STS(v)$ if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$ or $v = 0$.

A transitive triple, (a, b, c) , is defined to be the set $\{(a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}$ of ordered pairs. A directed triple system of order v , $DTS(v)$, is a pair (D, β) , where D is a set of v points and β is a collection of transitive triples of pairwise distinct points of D , called *triples*, such that any ordered pair of distinct points of D is contained in precisely one element of β . Hung and Mendelsohn [2] have shown that necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a $DTS(v)$ are that $v \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$.

For a $DTS(v)$, (D, β) , we define β^{-1} by $\beta^{-1} = \{(c, b, a) | (a, b, c) \in \beta\}$. Then (D, β^{-1}) is a $DTS(v)$ and is called the *converse* of (D, β) . A $DTS(v)$ which is isomorphic to its converse is said to be *self-converse*. Kang, Chang, and Yang [3] have shown that a self-converse $DTS(v)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$ and $v \neq 6$. An *automorphism* of (D, β) is a permutation of D which maps β to itself. An *antiautomorphism* of (D, β) is a permutation of D which maps β to β^{-1} . Clearly, a

$DTS(v)$ is self-converse if and only if it admits an antiautomorphism. Let (S, β') be an $STS(v)$. Let $\beta = \{(a, b, c), (c, b, a) \mid \{a, b, c\} \in \beta'\}$. Then (S, β) is called the *corresponding* $DTS(v)$, and the identity map on the point set is an antiautomorphism. This yields a self-converse $DTS(v)$ for $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.

An antiautomorphism, α , on a $DTS(v)$, (D, β) , is called *cyclic* if the permutation defined by α consists of a single cycle of length d and $v-d$ fixed points. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a $DTS(v)$ admitting a cyclic antiautomorphism have been given by Carnes, Dye, and Reed [1]. We call an antiautomorphism α on a $DTS(v)$, (D, β) , *bicyclic* if the permutation defined by α consists of two cycles each of length $N = (v - f)/2$ and f fixed points. In this paper we consider bicyclic antiautomorphisms of directed triple systems with 0 or 1 fixed points.

If N is the length of a cycle, we let the cycles be $(0_i, 1_i, 2_i, \dots, (N-1)_i)$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$, and let ∞ be the fixed point for the 1 fixed point case. Let $\Delta = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, (N-1)\}$. We shall use all additions modulo N in the triples. For $a_i, b_j, c_k \in D - \{\infty\}$, $i, j, k \in \{0, 1\}$, $(a_i, b_j, c_k) \in \beta$, let *orbit* $(a_i, b_j, c_k) = \{((a+t)_i, (b+t)_j, (c+t)_k) \mid t \in \Delta, t \text{ even}\} \cup \{((c+t)_k, (b+t)_j, (a+t)_i) \mid t \in \Delta, t \text{ odd}\}$. If ∞ is a fixed point and $a_i, b_j \in \Delta - \{\infty\}$, $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$, $(a_i, \infty, b_j) \in \beta$, let *orbit* $(a_i, \infty, b_j) = \{((a+t)_i, \infty, (b+t)_j) \mid t \in \Delta, t \text{ even}\} \cup \{((b+t)_j, \infty, (a+t)_i) \mid t \in \Delta, t \text{ odd}\}$. Clearly the orbits partition β .

LEMMA 1: *A $DTS(v)$ admitting a bicyclic antiautomorphism with 0 or 1 fixed points, where $v = 2N$ or $v = 2N + 1$, N being the length of each of the cycles, has no orbits of length less than N , except possibly orbits of length $N/2$. If an orbit of length $N/2$ occurs it is only in the 1 fixed point case with $N \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof: Suppose that a $DTS(v)$ exists with a bicyclic antiautomorphism α having an orbit of length $l < N$. Let (a, b, c) be a triple of the short orbit with b not a fixed point. Then $\alpha^l(a, b, c) = (a, b, c)$, thus $\alpha^l(b) = b$, a contradiction to $l < N$. If ∞ is a fixed point, clearly the only orbit of length $l < N$ is orbit $(0_i, \infty, (N/2)_i)$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$, for $N \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. □

We say that a collection of triples, $\bar{\beta}$, is a collection of *base triples* of a $DTS(v)$ under α if the orbits of the triples of $\bar{\beta}$ produce β and exactly one triple of each orbit occurs in $\bar{\beta}$. Also, we say that the *reverse* of the transitive triple (a, b, c) is the transitive triple (c, b, a) .

2 BICYCLIC ANTIAUTOMORPHISMS WITH 0 FIXED POINTS

LEMMA 2: *Let (D, β) be a $DTS(v)$ admitting a bicyclic antiautomorphism with 0 fixed points, where $v = 2N$, N being the length of each of the cycles. Then $v \equiv 16 \pmod{24}$.*

Proof: Suppose N is odd. Let $a, b, c \in D$. Then $\alpha^N(a, b, c) = (c, b, a)$ and we have an $STS(v)$ so that $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$, which implies that v is odd. Hence N is even.

So $v \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Let $(0_i, 1_i, 2_i, \dots, (N-1)_i)$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$, be one of the cycles.

$(0_i, (N/2)_i)$ occurs in a triple, say $(0_i, (N/2)_i, a_j)$, $(0_i, a_j, (N/2)_i)$, or $(a_j, 0_i, (N/2)_i)$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$. If $N/2$ is odd then $\alpha^{N/2}(0_i, (N/2)_i, a_j) = ((a + N/2)_j, 0_i, (N/2)_i)$, $\alpha^{N/2}(0_i, a_j, (N/2)_i) = (0_i, (a + N/2)_j, (N/2)_i)$, or $\alpha^{N/2}(a_j, 0_i, (N/2)_i) = (0_i, (N/2)_i, (a + N/2)_j)$ which leads to the contradiction that the ordered pair $(0_i, (N/2)_i)$ is contained in two distinct triples. Therefore $N/2$ is even so that $N \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, which implies that $v \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. The facts that $v \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$ and that $v \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ together imply that $v \equiv 0$ or $16 \pmod{24}$.

If $v \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$, the number of triples will be $[24k(24k - 1)]/3 = 8k(24k - 1)$, and the number of orbits will be $[8k(24k - 1)]/12k = 16k - 2/3$. Because this quantity cannot be an integer, we must have a short orbit, a contradiction to Lemma 1.

Therefore, we must have $v \equiv 16 \pmod{24}$. □

LEMMA 3: *If $v \equiv 16 \pmod{24}$ there exists a DTS(v) which admits a bicyclic anti-automorphism with 0 fixed points.*

Proof: Let $v = 24k + 16$, $N = 12k + 8$.

For $k = 0$ the base triples are $(0_0, 0_1, 4_1)$ and $(0_1, 0_0, 4_0)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, 1_1, 2_1), (0_0, 3_1, 6_1), (0_0, 5_1, 7_1), (0_0, 1_0, 3_0)$.

For $k = 1$ the base triples are $(0_0, 0_1, 10_1)$ and $(0_1, 0_0, 10_0)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, 2_1, 8_1), (0_0, 3_1, 7_1), (0_0, 4_1, 6_1), (0_0, 12_1, 19_1), (0_0, 13_1, 18_1), (0_0, 14_1, 17_1),$
 $(0_1, 4_0, 5_0), (0_1, 9_0, 15_0), (0_1, 11_0, 19_0), (0_0, 2_0, 5_0), (0_0, 4_0, 11_0), (0_1, 1_1, 9_1)$.

For $k = 2$ the base triples are $(0_0, 0_1, 16_1)$ and $(0_1, 0_0, 16_0)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, 2_1, 14_1), (0_0, 3_1, 13_1), (0_0, 4_1, 12_1), (0_0, 5_1, 11_1), (0_0, 6_1, 10_1), (0_0, 7_1, 9_1),$
 $(0_0, 18_1, 31_1), (0_0, 19_1, 30_1), (0_0, 20_1, 29_1), (0_0, 21_1, 28_1), (0_0, 22_1, 27_1),$
 $(0_0, 23_1, 26_1), (0_1, 7_0, 8_0), (0_1, 15_0, 24_0), (0_1, 17_0, 31_0),$
 $(0_0, 2_0, 15_0), (0_0, 3_0, 11_0), (0_0, 4_0, 10_0), (0_0, 5_0, 12_0), (0_1, 1_1, 15_1)$.

For $k \geq 3$ the base triples are $(0_0, 0_1, (6k + 4)_1)$ and $(0_1, 0_0, (6k + 4)_0)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, 2_1, (6k + 2)_1), (0_0, 3_1, (6k + 1)_1), \dots, (0_0, (3k + 1)_1, (3k + 3)_1),$
 $(0_0, (6k + 6)_1, (12k + 7)_1), (0_0, (6k + 7)_1, (12k + 6)_1), \dots, (0_0, (9k + 5)_1, (9k + 8)_1),$
 $(0_1, (3k + 1)_0, (3k + 2)_0), (0_1, (6k + 3)_0, (9k + 6)_0), (0_1, (6k + 5)_0, (12k + 7)_0),$
 $(0_0, (2k + 3)_0, (4k + 1)_0), (0_0, (2k + 4)_0, (4k)_0), \dots, (0_0, (3k)_0, (3k + 4)_0),$
 $(0_0, (4k + 4)_0, (6k - 1)_0), (0_0, (4k + 5)_0, (6k - 2)_0), \dots, (0_0, (5k)_0, (5k + 3)_0),$
 $(0_0, 2_0, (6k + 3)_0), (0_0, (2k - 3)_0, (6k)_0), (0_0, (2k - 1)_0, (5k + 1)_0),$
 $(0_0, (2k)_0, (4k + 2)_0), (0_0, (2k + 1)_0, (5k + 2)_0), (0_1, 1_1, (6k + 3)_1)$. □

By the previous two lemmas we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1: *There exists a DTS(v) admitting a bicyclic antiautomorphism with 0 fixed points if and only if $v \equiv 16 \pmod{24}$.*

3 BICYCLIC ANTIAUTOMORPHISMS WITH 1 FIXED POINT

LEMMA 4: *Let (D, β) be a DTS(v) admitting a bicyclic antiautomorphism with 1 fixed point, where $v = 2N + 1$, N being the length of each of the cycles. Then $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, with $v \neq 3$.*

Proof: Clearly, since $v = 2N + 1$, v must be odd. Also, we must have $v \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{3}$. Thus $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$.

If $v \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, the number of triples will be $[(6k + 1)6k]/3 = 2k(6k + 1)$, and the number of orbits will be $[2k(6k + 1)]/3k = 4k + 2/3$. Because this quantity cannot be an integer, we must have a short orbit of length $l \neq N/2$, a contradiction to Lemma 1.

Clearly, if $v = 3$, we have three fixed points; therefore, we must have $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, with $v \neq 3$. □

LEMMA 5: *If $v \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$, $v \neq 3$, there exists a DTS(v) which admits a bicyclic antiautomorphism with 1 fixed point.*

Proof: Let $v = 12k + 3$, $N = 6k + 1$, $k \geq 1$.

Peltesohn [5] proved that a cyclic STS(v) exists if and only if $v \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$ with $v \neq 9$. Let (S, β) be a cyclic STS(N) with its cyclic automorphism $(0_0, 1_0, 2_0, \dots, (6k)_0)$. Let β' be a set of base blocks of (S, β) .

The base triples are the following: $(0_0, \infty, 0_1)$, $(0_0, 1_1, (6k)_1)$, $(0_0, 2_1, (6k - 1)_1), \dots, (0_0, (3k)_1, (3k + 1)_1)$, and all triples (a, b, c) where $\{a, b, c\} \in \beta'$. □

LEMMA 6: *If $v \equiv 9 \pmod{24}$ there exists a DTS(v) which admits a bicyclic antiautomorphism with 1 fixed point.*

Proof: Let $v = 24k + 9$, $N = 12k + 4$.

For $k = 0$ the base triples are the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, \infty, 2_0)$, $(0_1, \infty, 2_1)$, $(0_0, 0_1, 1_1)$, $(0_1, 1_0, 2_0)$.

For $k \geq 1$ the base triples are the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, \infty, (6k + 2)_0)$, $(0_1, \infty, (6k + 2)_1)$,
 $(0_0, 0_1, (6k + 1)_1)$, $(0_0, 1_1, (6k)_1), \dots, (0_0, (3k)_1, (3k + 1)_1)$,
 $(0_0, (6k + 2)_1, (12k + 2)_1)$, $(0_0, (6k + 3)_1, (12k + 1)_1), \dots, (0_0, (9k + 1)_1, (9k + 3)_1)$,
 $(0_1, (3k + 2)_0, 1_0)$,
 $(0_0, (2k + 1)_0, (4k + 1)_0)$, $(0_0, (2k + 2)_0, (4k)_0), \dots, (0_0, (3k)_0, (3k + 2)_0)$,
 $(0_0, (4k + 2)_0, (6k + 1)_0)$, $(0_0, (4k + 3)_0, (6k)_0), \dots, (0_0, (5k + 1)_0, (5k + 2)_0)$. □

LEMMA 7: *If $v \equiv 21 \pmod{24}$ there exists a DTS(v) which admits a bicyclic antiautomorphism with 1 fixed point.*

Proof: Let $v = 24k + 21$, $N = 12k + 10$.

For $k = 0$ the base triples are $(0_0, 0_1, 4_0)$, $(0_0, 5_1, 6_0)$, $(0_1, 0_0, 4_1)$, $(0_1, 1_0, 8_1)$,

$(0_1, 2_0, 3_1)$, $(0_1, 3_0, 6_1)$, $(0_1, 6_0, 2_1)$, $(0_1, 8_0, 1_1)$, $(1_1, 0_0, 2_1)$ and $(1_1, 6_0, 4_1)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, \infty, 5_0)$, $(0_1, \infty, 5_1)$, $(0_0, 1_0, 3_0)$.

For $k = 1$ the base triples are $(0_0, 4_0, 12_0)$, $(0_0, 5_0, 10_0)$, $(0_0, 7_0, 14_0)$ and $(0_0, 9_0, 18_0)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, \infty, 11_0)$, $(0_1, \infty, 11_1)$,

$(0_0, 0_1, 10_1)$, $(0_0, 1_1, 9_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 4_1, 6_1)$,

$(0_0, 12_1, 21_1)$, $(0_0, 13_1, 20_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 16_1, 17_1)$,

$(0_1, 11_0, 17_0)$, $(0_0, 1_0, 3_0)$.

For $k = 2$ the base triples are $(0_0, 4_0, 12_0)$, $(0_0, 11_0, 22_0)$, $(0_0, 13_0, 26_0)$ and $(0_0, 15_0, 30_0)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, \infty, 17_0)$, $(0_1, \infty, 17_1)$,

$(0_0, 0_1, 16_1)$, $(0_0, 1_1, 15_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 7_1, 9_1)$,

$(0_0, 18_1, 33_1)$, $(0_0, 19_1, 32_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 25_1, 26_1)$,

$(0_1, 17_0, 26_0)$, $(0_0, 1_0, 6_0)$, $(0_0, 2_0, 16_0)$, $(0_0, 3_0, 10_0)$.

For $k = 3$ the base triples are $(0_0, 4_0, 20_0)$, $(0_0, 13_0, 26_0)$, $(0_0, 15_0, 30_0)$ and $(0_0, 21_0, 42_0)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, \infty, 23_0)$, $(0_1, \infty, 23_1)$,

$(0_0, 0_1, 22_1)$, $(0_0, 1_1, 21_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 10_1, 12_1)$,

$(0_0, 24_1, 45_1)$, $(0_0, 25_1, 44_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 34_1, 35_1)$,

$(0_1, 23_0, 35_0)$, $(0_0, 1_0, 19_0)$, $(0_0, 2_0, 11_0)$, $(0_0, 3_0, 10_0)$, $(0_0, 5_0, 22_0)$, $(0_0, 6_0, 14_0)$.

For $k = 4$ the base triples are $(0_0, 4_0, 12_0)$, $(0_0, 23_0, 46_0)$, $(0_0, 25_0, 50_0)$ and $(0_0, 27_0, 54_0)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, \infty, 29_0)$, $(0_1, \infty, 29_1)$,

$(0_0, 0_1, 28_1)$, $(0_0, 1_1, 27_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 13_1, 15_1)$,

$(0_0, 30_1, 57_1)$, $(0_0, 31_1, 56_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 43_1, 44_1)$,

$(0_1, 29_0, 44_0)$, $(0_0, 1_0, 14_0)$, $(0_0, 2_0, 24_0)$, $(0_0, 3_0, 21_0)$,

$(0_0, 5_0, 16_0)$, $(0_0, 6_0, 26_0)$, $(0_0, 7_0, 17_0)$, $(0_0, 9_0, 28_0)$.

For $k = 5$ the base triples are $(0_0, 4_0, 12_0)$, $(0_0, 29_0, 58_0)$, $(0_0, 31_0, 62_0)$ and $(0_0, 33_0, 66_0)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, \infty, 35_0)$, $(0_1, \infty, 35_1)$,

$(0_0, 0_1, 34_1)$, $(0_0, 1_1, 33_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 16_1, 18_1)$,

$(0_0, 36_1, 69_1)$, $(0_0, 37_1, 68_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 52_1, 53_1)$,

$(0_1, 35_0, 53_0)$, $(0_0, 1_0, 23_0)$, $(0_0, 2_0, 30_0)$, $(0_0, 3_0, 19_0)$, $(0_0, 5_0, 20_0)$,

$(0_0, 6_0, 32_0)$, $(0_0, 7_0, 21_0)$, $(0_0, 9_0, 34_0)$, $(0_0, 10_0, 27_0)$, $(0_0, 11_0, 24_0)$.

For $k = 6$ the base triples are $(0_0, 1_0, 2_0)$, $(0_0, 6_0, 4_0)$, $(0_0, 39_0, 78_0)$ and $(0_0, 79_0, 76_0)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, \infty, 41_0)$, $(0_1, \infty, 41_1)$,

$(0_0, 0_1, 40_1)$, $(0_0, 1_1, 39_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 19_1, 21_1)$,

$(0_0, 42_1, 81_1)$, $(0_0, 43_1, 80_1)$, \dots , $(0_0, 61_1, 62_1)$,

$(0_1, 41_0, 62_0)$, $(0_0, 5_0, 34_0)$, $(0_0, 7_0, 30_0)$, $(0_0, 8_0, 36_0)$, $(0_0, 9_0, 26_0)$,

$(0_0, 10_0, 32_0)$, $(0_0, 11_0, 31_0)$, $(0_0, 12_0, 37_0)$, $(0_0, 13_0, 40_0)$, $(0_0, 14_0, 38_0)$,

$(0_0, 15_0, 33_0)$, $(0_0, 16_0, 35_0)$.

For $k \geq 7$ the base triples are $(0_0, 1_0, 2_0)$, $(0_0, 3_0, 6_0)$, $(0_0, 4_0, (12k + 8)_0)$ and $(0_0, (6k + 3)_0, (12k + 6)_0)$, along with the following and their reverses:

$(0_0, \infty, (6k + 5)_0), (0_1, \infty, (6k + 5)_1),$
 $(0_0, 0_1, (6k + 4)_1), (0_0, 1_1, (6k + 3)_1), \dots, (0_0, (3k + 1)_1, (3k + 3)_1),$
 $(0_0, (6k + 6)_1, (12k + 9)_1), (0_0, (6k + 7)_1, (12k + 8)_1), \dots, (0_0, (9k + 7)_1, (9k + 8)_1),$
 $(0_1, (6k + 5)_0, (9k + 8)_0),$
 $(0_0, (2k + 6)_0, (4k - 1)_0), (0_0, (2k + 7)_0, (4k - 2)_0), \dots, (0_0, (3k - 1)_0, (3k + 6)_0),$
 $(0_0, (4k + 4)_0, (6k)_0), (0_0, (4k + 5)_0, (6k - 1)_0), \dots, (0_0, (5k - 2)_0, (5k + 6)_0),$
 $(0_0, 5_0, (5k + 4)_0), (0_0, (2k - 5)_0, (5k)_0), (0_0, (2k - 3)_0, (4k + 2)_0),$
 $(0_0, (2k - 2)_0, (5k + 2)_0), (0_0, (2k - 1)_0, (5k + 1)_0), (0_0, (2k)_0, (6k + 1)_0),$
 $(0_0, (2k + 1)_0, (6k + 4)_0), (0_0, (2k + 2)_0, (6k + 2)_0),$
 $(0_0, (2k + 3)_0, (5k + 3)_0), (0_0, (2k + 4)_0, (5k + 5)_0). \quad \square$

By the previous four lemmas we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2: *There exists a DTS(v) admitting a bicyclic antiautomorphism with 1 fixed point if and only if $v \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, with $v \neq 3$.*

References

- [1] N.P. Carnes, A. Dye, and J.F. Reed, *Cyclic antiautomorphisms of directed triple systems*, J. Combin. Designs 4 (1996), 105-115.
- [2] S.H.Y. Hung and N.S. Mendelsohn, *Directed triple systems*, J. Combin. Theory A 14 (1973), 310-318.
- [3] Q. Kang, Y. Chang, and G. Yang, *The spectrum of self-converse DTS*, J. Combin. Designs 2 (1994), 415-425.
- [4] T.P. Kirkman, *On a problem in combinations*, Cambridge and Dublin Math. J. 2 (1847), 191-204.
- [5] R. Peltesohn, *Eine Lösung der beiden Heffterschen Differenzenprobleme*, Compositio Math. 6 (1939), 251-257.

(Received 17/3/98)