Circumferences of 3-connected Tough Graphs with Large Degree Sums

He Dongqi Department of Biomathematics & Biostatistics Beijing Medical University Beijing 100083, P.R.China

Abstract

Let G be a 3-connected tough graph of order n with circumference c(G), independence number $\alpha(G)$ and vertex connectivity $\kappa(G)$, such that $d(x)+d(y)+d(z)+d(w)\ge s$ for any independent set { x, y, z, w} of vertices. In [7] we have proved : when $s\ge n+c(G)/2$, every longest cycle of G is a dominating cycle and $c(G) \ge \min\{n, n+s/4-\alpha(G)\}$. This paper improves the results by showing that under the same conditions $c(G)\ge \min\{n, n+s/4-\alpha(G)+1\}$. Furthermore when $s\ge (3n-1)/2+\kappa(G)$, G is hamiltonian.

1 Terminology

All graphs are finite simple graphs. The reader is referred to [3] for undefined terminology. Let G=(V, E) be a graph of order n. For A \subseteq V, we use G[A] to denote the subgraph induced by A, while G-A will be used to denote the graph G[V(G)-A]. For a subgraph H of G, G-H=G-V(H). κ (G), α (G), δ (G) and c(G) will denote the vertex connectivity, independence number, minimum degree and circumference of G respectively. The number of components of G will be denoted by ω (G). We call G a t-tough graph if $|S| \ge t \omega$ (G-S) for any S \subseteq V such that ω (G-S)>1. The toughness of G, denoted by τ (G), is the maximum value of t for which G is t-tough (τ (Kn)= ∞ for all n ≥ 1). If τ (G) ≥ 1 we call G a tough graph . For $u \in V$, we denote the neighborhood of u by N(u), and d(u)= [N(u)]. A cycle C of G is called a dominating cycle if every edge of G has at least one of its vertices on C. G is called almost hamiltonian if every longest cycle of G is a dominating cycle. For a cycle C, we denote by

 \overline{C} the cycle with a fixed cyclic orientation. If $u, v \in V(C)$, then $u \overline{C} v$ denotes consecutive vertices on C from u to v in the orientation specified by \overline{C} . The same vertices, in reverse orientation, are given by $v\overline{C} u$. We use u⁺ to denote the successor of vertex u on \overline{C} and u⁻ the predecessor of u on \overline{C} , and u⁺⁺=(u⁺)⁺, u⁻⁼(u⁻)⁻. If $A \subseteq V(C)$, then $A^+=\{v^-| v \in A\}$, $A^-=\{v^-| v \in A\}$, and $A^{++}=(A^+)^+$. For an integer r, $1 \le r \le \alpha(G)$, define $\sigma_r(G)=\min\{\sum_{u \in S} d(u)| S \subseteq V(G)$ is an independent set of vertices of size r} and $\mu(G)=\max\{d(v)| v \in V-V(C'), C' \text{ is a longest cycle of } G\}$.

2 Main Results

In [7], we have obtained the following results.

Theorem 1. Let G be a 3-connected tough graph of order n such that $\sigma_4(G) \ge n+c(G)/2$. Then G is almost hamiltonian .

Theorem 2. Let G be a graph of order n such that $\delta(G) \ge 3$ and $\sigma_4(G) \ge n+c(G)/2$. Let G contain a longest cycle C which is a dominating cycle . If $v_0 \in V \cdot V(C)$, $A=N(v_0)$, then both $(V \cdot V(C)) \cup A^+$ and $(V \cdot V(C)) \cup A^-$ are independent sets of vertices .

Theorem 3. Let G be a 3-connected tough graph of order n such that $\sigma_4(G) \ge s \ge n+c(G)/2$. Then $c(G) \ge \min\{n, n+s/4-\alpha(G)\}$; furthermore when $c(G) \le n$, there exists a longest cycle C of G and $v_0 \in V$ -V(C) such that $\mu(G) = d(v_0) \ge \sigma_4(G)/4$.

This paper improves the above results by showing that :

Theorem 4. Let G be a 3-connected tough graph of order n such that $\sigma_4(G) \ge s \ge n+c(G)/2$. Then G is hamiltonian or there exists a longest cycle C such that $\alpha(G) \ge |V-V(C)|+s/4+1$. **Corollary 5.** Let G be a 3-connected tough graph of order n such that $\sigma_4(G) \ge s \ge n+c(G)/2$. Then $c(G) \ge \min\{n, n+s/4-\alpha(G)+1\}$.

Since $\alpha(G) \le n/(\tau(G)+1)$, $\sigma_4(G)$ and c(G) are all integers, Theorem 4 also implies the following corollary.

Corollary 6. Let G be a 3-connected τ -tough graph of order n such that $\tau(G) \ge 1$ and $\sigma_4(G) \ge s \ge n + c(G)/2$. Then $c(G) \ge min\{n, n\tau/(\tau+1)+s/4+1\}$; furthermore when $\sigma_4(G) \ge n+(n-1)/2$, if $\tau(G) \ge 5/3$ or $\delta(G) \ge \alpha(G)-1$, then G is hamiltonian.

Using the above results, we obtain another sufficient condition for hamiltonian cycles. **Theorem 7.** Let G be a 3-connected tough graph of order n with vertex connectivity $\kappa(G)$ such that $\sigma_4(G) \ge (3n-1)/2 + \kappa(G)$. Then G is hamiltonian.

Our proof of Theorem 7 also requires a number of well-known results as follows: **Lemma 8**[1]. Let G be a graph of order n and S a vertex cut of G. Suppose some component of G-S is complete and has vertex set B. If u and v are nonadjacent vertices in V-(S \cup B) such that d(u)+d(v) \geq n-|B|+1, then G is hamiltonian if and only if G+uv is hamiltonian. **Lemma 9**[6]. Let G be a graph of order n such that $\sigma_1(G) = \delta(G) \geq n/2 > 1$. Then G is hamiltonian.

Lemma 10[4]. Let G be a graph of order n with nonadjacent vertices u and v. If $d(u) + d(v) \ge n$, then G is hamiltonian if and only if G+uv is hamiltonian.

Lemma 11[5]. Let G be such a graph that $\alpha(G) \le \kappa(G)$. Then G is hamiltonian. Lemma 12[9]. For any graph G, $\kappa(G) \le \delta(G)$.

3 The proofs

Preliminaries

Let G be a non-hamiltonian 3-connected tough graph of order n such that $\sigma_4(G) \ge n + c(G)/2$,

and C be a longest cycle of G with a fixed cyclic orientation \overline{C} . Let $v_0 \in V-V(C)$ such that $d(v_0) = \mu(G)$. By theorems 1 and 3, C is a dominating cycle and $d(v_0) \ge \sigma_4(G)/4$. Set A = 0

 $N(v_0) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} (k \ge \kappa(G) \ge 3) \text{ such that } v_i \in v_{i+1} \overline{C} \ v_{i+1} \text{ Set } u_i = v_i^+, w_i = v_{i+1}, L_i = u_i \overline{C} \ w_i \text{ for } 1 \le i \le k \text{ (indices mod } k). \text{ For } 1 \le r < s \le k \text{ , define}$

 $R_1(u_r) = \{ v \in u_r \, \overline{C} \, v_s | \, u_r v^+ \in E \}$

 $S_1(u_s) = \{ v \in u_r \overline{C} v_s | u_s v \in E \}$

 $\mathbf{R}_{2}(\mathbf{u}_{r}) = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{u}_{s} \, \overline{C} \, \mathbf{v}_{r} | \, \mathbf{u}_{r} \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{E} \}$

 $S_2(u_s) = \{v \in u_s \overline{C} v_r | u_s v^+ \in E\}$

 $B(u_r, u_s) = R_1(u_r) \cup S_1(u_s) \cup R_2(u_r) \cup S_2(u_s)$.

The following propositions facilitate the proof of Theorem 4.

Proposition 1. $A \cap A^+ = A \cap A^- = \phi$.

Proof. Since C is a longest cycle, Proposition 1 is obviously true.

Proposition 2. If $v \in u_i \overline{C} u_j$ with $i \le j$ and $u_i v \in E$ then $u_i v^* \notin E$.

Proof. Suppose otherwise, then there exists a cycle $u_i \bar{C} v u_j \bar{C} v_i v_0 v_j \bar{C} v^* u_i$ longer than C, which is a contradiction. Hence Proposition 2 is true.

Proposition 3. For $1 \le r \le s \le k$, $d(u_r) + d(u_s) \le |B(u_r, u_s)| \le |V(C)|$.

Proof . Since C is a longest cycle as well as a dominating cycle , by Proposition 2 we have $N(u_r)=R_1^+(u_r)\cup R_2(u_r)$, $N(u_s)=S_1(u_s)\cup S_2^+(u_s)$, and $R_1(u_r)\cap S_1(u_s)=R_2(u_r)\cap S_2(u_s)=\phi$. Thus $d(u_r)+d(u_s)=|N(u_r)|+|N(u_s)|\leq |R_1^+(u_r)|+|R_2(u_r)|+|S_1(u_s)|+|S_2^+(u_s)|=|B(u_r, u_s)|\leq |V(C)|$ for $1\leq r<s\leq k$. Hence Proposition 3 is true .

Proposition 4. $A^+ \cap A^- \neq \phi$ and if $u \in A^+ \cap A^-$ then $d(u) \leq d(v_0)$.

Proof. Suppose $A^+ \cap A^- = \phi$. Then $c(G) \ge 3d(v_0) \ge 3\sigma_4(G)/4 \ge 3(n+c(G)/2)/4$, i.e.

 $c(G) \ge 6n/5 > n$. This contradiction shows that $A^+ \cap A^- \neq \phi$. If $u \in A^+ \cap A^-$, then there exists a

longest cycle C', $v_0 u^+ \overline{C} u v_0$, such that $u \in V-V(C')$. By the choice of C and v_0 , we have $d(v_0) \ge d(u)$. Thus Proposition 4 is true.

Proposition 5. If $u \in A^+ \cap A^-$, $uv \in E$ and $v \in V(C)$, then $\{v^+\} \cup (V - V(C)) \cup A^+$ is an independent set of vertices.

Proof . By Proposition 2, $\{v^*\} \cup A^*$ is an independent set of vertices . Suppose there exists $v^i \in V \cdot V(C)$ such that $v^i v^i \in E$. Clearly $v_0 \neq v^i$, otherwise there exists the cycle $v^i u^* \vec{C} v u \vec{C} v^* v^i$ longer than cycle C. And $v^i u_i \notin E$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$, otherwise when $u_i \in u^* \vec{C} v$, there is a cycle $v^i v^* \vec{C} u^i v_0 v_i \vec{C} u v \vec{C} u_i v^i$ longer than cycle C ; when $u_i \in v^* \vec{C} u$, there is a cycle $v^i v^* \vec{C} u^* v_0 v_i \vec{C} u v \vec{C} u_i v^i$ longer than cycle C. Similarly $v^i u_i^* \notin E$ for any $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. This is to say ,no edge of G joins v^i to the vertex in $A^+ \cup A^{++}$. Since C is a dominating cycle, $N(v^i) \subseteq V(C)$, and by Proposition 1, $A^+ \cap A^{++} = \phi$. Thus $d(v^i) \leq |V(C)| - 2d(v_0)$. Furthermore, since $k \ge 3$, there exists $u_m \in A^+$ such that $\{v_0, v^i, u, u_m\}$ is an independent set of vertices , and $d(u_m) = \min\{d(u_j)| j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}, u_j \neq u\}$. By Proposition 3, $d(u_m) \leq |V(C)|/2$. By Proposition 4, $d(u) \leq d(v_0)$. Hence we have $n + c(G)/2 \le \sigma_4(G) \le d(v_0) + d(v^i) + d(u) + d(u_m) \le |V(C)| + c(G)/2 \le n - 2 + c(G)/2$, a contradiction, which shows that $v^i v^i \notin E$ for any $v^i \in V \cdot V(C)$, i.e. $\{v^*\} \cup (V - V(C))$ is an independent set of vertices. Thus Proposition 5 is true .

Proposition 6. If $y \in u_i^+ \overline{C} w_i^-$, $z \in v_{i+1} \overline{C} v_i$ and $u_i^+ = w_i^+ w_i^-$ such that $yz \in E$, $u_i^- \overline{C} w_i^- w_i^- w_i^$ and $u_i^+ \overline{C} w_i^- w_i$

Proof. When $z \in A$, Proposition 6 is true by Theorem 2. When $z \notin A$, suppose $u_j z^* \in E$ for some $j \in \{1,2,...,k\}$. If $u_j \in z^+ \overline{C} w_i$, then there exists a cycle $u_j z^+ \overline{C} v_j v_0 v_{i+1} \overline{C} zy \overline{C} wy^* \overline{C} u_j$ longer than C. If $u_j \in v_{i+1} \overline{C} z$, then the cycle $u_j z^+ \overline{C} v_i v_0 v_j \overline{C} y^* u_i \overline{C} yz \overline{C} u_j$ is longer than C. In either case we reach a contradiction. Hence $u_j z^+ \notin E$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$, i.e. $\{z^+\} \cup A^+$ is an independent set of vertices. As in the proof of Proposition 5, we have $\{z^+\} \cup (V-V(C))$ is an independent set of vertices. And by Theorem 2, $\{z^+\} \cup (V-V(C)) \cup A^+$ is an independent set of vertices. Thus Proposition 6 is true.

Proposition 7. If $v \in u_i \overline{C} w_i$ and $u_i v \in E \cdot E(C)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$, then $\{v^i\} \cup (V - V(C)) \cup (A^* - \{u_i\})$ is an independent set of vertices.

Proof. By Proposition 2, $\{v^{*}\}\cup(A^{*}-\{u_{i}\})$ is an independent set of vertices . As in the proof of Proposition 5, we have $\{v^{*}\}\cup(V-V(C))$ is an independent set of vertices . By Theorem 2, $(V-V(C))\cup(A^{*}-\{u_{i}\})$ is an independent set of vertices. Hence $\{v^{*}\}\cup(V-V(C))\cup(A^{*}-\{u_{i}\})$ is an independent set of vertices . Thus Proposition 7 is true .

Proof of Theorem 4

When $n \le 11$, it is easy to verify Theorem 4. Hence we may assume that $n \ge 12$.

If G is hamiltonian, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, choose C, v_0 and A as above. By theorems 1,2 and 3, $\alpha(G) \ge |V-V(C)| + |A^+| = |V-V(C)| + d(v_0)$ and $d(v_0) \ge \sigma_4(G)/4$. If $d(v_0) \ge \sigma_4(G)/4 + 1$ then $\alpha(G) \ge |V-V(C)| + \sigma_4(G)/4 + 1$ so that Theorem 4 holds. Thus we may assume that $\sigma_4(G)/4 \le d(v_0) \le (\sigma_4(G)+3)/4$. Suppose $\alpha(G) \le |V-V(C)| + |A^+|$.

Claim 1. If $u \in A^+ \cap A^-$ then $N(u) \subseteq N(v_0)$.

Otherwise, suppose there exists $v \in V(C)$ such that $uv \in E$ and $v \notin N(v_0)$. Then by Proposition 5, $\{v^+\} \cup (V \cdot V(C)) \cup A^+$ is an independent set of vertices ,so that $|V \cdot (C)| + |A^+| < \alpha(G)$, a contradiction.

Claim 2. There exist vertices $u_i \in A^+$ and $w_i \in A^-$ with $i \neq j$ such that $u_i w_i \in E$.

Since G is a tough graph, G-A has at most k components , one of which has vertex set $\{v_0\}$. Hence there exist integers i, $j \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ with $i \neq j$ such that some vertex in L_i is joined to some vertex in L_j by either an edge e or a path of length 2 with its internal vertex in V-V(C)- $\{v_0\}$. Since the arguments for these two cases are completely analogous , we will assume that the first case applies . If e joins a vertex in A⁺ to a vertex in A⁻, the claim is established. Otherwise, let e=yz, where $y \in L_j$ and $y \neq u_j$, w_j . Suppose $u_j w_j \in E$. Then by Proposition 7, $\{w_j^-\} \cup (V-V(C)) \cup (A^+-\{u_j\})$ is an independent set of vertices. Thus $u_j w_j^{-+} \in E$. By repeating the above argument we conclude that each vertex in $L_j^-\{u_j, w_j\}$ is adjacent to u_j . Similarly, each vertex in $L_j^-\{u_j, w_j\}$ is adjacent to w_j . Now by Proposition 6, $\{z^+\} \cup (V-V(C)) \cup A^+$ is an independent set of vertices so that $\alpha(G) > |V-V(C)| + |A^+|$. This contradiction shows that $u_j w_j \notin E$. By Theorem 2, $\{w_i\} \cup (V-V(C))$ is an independent set of

vertices. Since $\alpha(G) \le |V - V(C)| + |A^+|$, w_j must be adjacent to some vertex in $A^+ - \{u_j\}$. Thus claim 2 holds.

Claim 3. There exist vertices x_1 , x_2 , $x_3 \in A^+ \cap A^-$ such that $N(x_1)=N(x_2)=N(v_0)$. Since $n-1\ge |V(C)|\ge 3(d(v_0)-|A^+\cap A^-|)+2|A^+\cap A^-|$, $|A^+\cap A^-|\ge 3d(v_0)-|V(C)|$. Note that $n\ge 12$. When $d(v_0)=\sigma_4(G)/4$, we have $|A^+\cap A^-|\ge 3(n+c(G)/2)/4-c(G)\ge (n+5)/8$, so that $|A^+\cap A^-|\ge 3$. Let $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}\subseteq A^+\cap A^-$. Then $\{v_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ is an independent set, and max $\{d(x_1), d(x_2), d(x_3)\}\le d(v_0)$ by Claim 1. Suppose $d(x_i)< d(v_0)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then we have $\sigma_4(G)\le d(v_0)+d(x_1)+d(x_2)+d(x_3)< 4d(v_0)=\sigma_4(G)$, a contradiction. Hence $d(x_1)=d(x_2)=d(x_3)=d(v_0)$. By Claim 1, $N(x_1)=N(x_2)=N(v_0)$. When $d(v_0)=(\sigma_4(G)+1)/4$, we have $|A^+\cap A^-|\ge 3$ too. Let $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}\subseteq A^+\cap A^-$ such that $d(x_3)\le d(x_2)\le d(x_1)\le d(v_0)$. Suppose $d(x_2)\le d(v_0)-1$. Then we have $\sigma_4(G)\le d(v_0)+d(x_1)+d(x_2)+d(x_3)\le 2(\sigma_4(G)+1)/4+2((\sigma_4(G)+1)/4-1)=\sigma_4(G)-1$, a contradiction, which shows that $d(x_1)=d(x_2)=d(v_0)$. Then by Claim 1, $N(x_1)=N(x_2)=N(v_0)$. Similarly when $d(v_0)=(\sigma_4(G)+2)/4$ or $d(v_0)=(\sigma_4(G)+3)/4$, there exist $x_1, x_2, x_3\in A^+\cap A^-$ such that $N(x_1)=N(x_2)=N(v_0)$. Thus Claim 3 holds.

By Claim 3, without loss of generality, let u_1 , $u_r \in A^* \cap A^*$ with $r \neq 1$ such that $N(u_1) = N(u_r) = N(v_0)$. Let j be the maximum index such that $u_i w_j \in E$ for some i with $i \neq j$. By Theorem 2, $j \neq 1, r$. Since $u_1 v_{j+1}$, $u_r v_{j+1} \in E$, by Proposition 2 we have 1 < r < i < j. Now we consider u_j .

Claim 4. The vertex u_j is not adjacent to any vertex in V(C)-(L_i \cup A).

Suppose $u_i y \in E$, where $y \in L_t$ and $t \ge j$. By theorems 1 and 2, $\{w_i\} \cup (V - V(C))$ is an independent set of vertices , and y, $w_t \notin A^+$. Thus w_t must be adjacent to some vertex in A^+ . By the choice of the index j we conclude that $u_i w_i \in E$ and $y \neq u_i$, w_i . But then by Proposition 7, $\{w_t^*\} \cup (A^*-\{u_t\}) \cup (V-V(C))$ is an independent set of vertices . Thus $u_t w_t^* \in E$. By repeating the above argument we have $N(u_t) \supseteq L_t - \{u_t\}$. Similarly $N(w_t) \supseteq L_t - \{w_t\}$. But then by Proposition 6 , $\{u_i^+\} \cup (V-V(C)) \cup A^+$ is an independent set of vertices ,a contradiction . Hence we suppose $u_i y \in E$, where $y \in L_i$ and $j \ge t$. Since $N(u_i) = A$, $u_i v_{i+1} \in E$. By Proposition 2, $y \neq w_t$. Furthermore $\{y^+\} \cup (V - V(C))$ is an independent set of vertices. To see this, suppose $y^+v_0' \in E$, where $v_0' \in V$ -V(C). Since $L_t \cap A = \phi$, $v_0' \neq v_0$. If $v_0'u_p \in E$, where either p > j or $p \le t$, then there exists a cycle $v_0'y^+\bar{C}v_iv_0v_p\bar{C}u_iy\bar{C}u_pv_0'$ longer than cycle C. If $v_0'u_n \in E$ where t<p<j, then there exists another cycle $v_0'y^+ \overline{C} v_p u_1 \overline{C} y u_i \overline{C} v_1 v_0 v_i \overline{C} u_p v_0'$ longer than cycle C too. Thus v_0' is not adjacent to any vertex in $A^+ - \{u_i\}$. Similarly, v_0' is not adjacent to any vertex in $A^{++} - \{u_j^+\}$. By Proposition 1, $A^+ \cap A^{++} = \phi$. By theorems 1,2 and 3, $v_0'u_i$, $v_0'w_i \notin E$, and v_0' is also not adjacent to any vertex in V-V(C), so that $d(v_0') \le |V(C)| - 2(d(v_0) - 1) - 2 = 2$ $|V(C)|-2d(v_0)$. Let $d(u_m)=\min\{d(u_i)|2\leq i\leq k\}$. Then $\{v_0, v_0', u_1, u_m\}$ is an independent set of vertices .Thus $n+c(G)/2 \le \sigma_4(G) \le d(v_0)+d(v_0')+d(u_1)+d(u_m) \le |V(C)|+|V(C)|/2 \le n-1+c(G)/2$, a contradiction . Hence $y^+v_0' \notin E$ for all $v_0' \in V-V(C)$, i.e. $\{y^+\} \cup (V-V(C))$ is an independent set of vertices .But since $\alpha(G) \leq |V-V(C)| + |A^+|$, y⁺ must be adjacent to some vertex $u_s \in A^+$. Since $u_i y \in E$, by Proposition 2, it must be the case that $t \le j$. Now we apply the above argument to y^+ , y^{++} , etc. It follows that there exists an integer b such that $t \le j$ and

 $u_b w_t {\in} E$. But since $u_1 v_{t+1} {\in} E$, we reach a contradiction with Proposition 3 . Thus Claim 4 holds.

Claim 5. There exists a vertex $u_a \in A^+ \cap A^-$ with $a \neq i, j$, such that $u_i v_{a+1} \in E$.

Suppose otherwise. Consider any vertex $v_{m+1} \in A$ with $m \neq j$. If $u_m \notin A^+ \cap A^-$, by Claim 4, $u_j v_{m+1}^-$, $u_j v_m^- \notin E$. Similarly, if $u_m \in A^+ \cap A^-$, then $u_j v_{m+1}^-$, $u_j v_{m+1}^- \notin E$. Furthermore since $u_i w_j \in E$, by Proposition 2 we have $u_j v_{j+1} \notin E$. Thus $d(u_j) \leq |V(C)| - 2d(v_0)$. Let $d(u_m) = \min$ { $d(u_i)|u_i \in A^+ \cap A^-$, $i \neq 1, j$ }. By propositions 2 and 3, $d(u_m) \leq |V(C)|/2$. Now { v_0 , u_1 , u_j , u_m } is an independent set of vertices, we have $n + c(G)/2 \leq \sigma_4(G) \leq d(v_0) + d(u_1) + d(u_m) \leq n-1 + c(G)/2$, a contradiction. Thus there exists a vertex $u_a \in A^+ \cap A^-$ with $a \neq j$, such that $v_a \in E$. Since $u_w \in E$ by Theorem 2, $u_i \notin A^+ \cap A^-$ and hence if a. Thus, Claim 5 holds

 $u_j v_{a+1} \in E$. Since $u_i w_j \in E$, by Theorem 2, $u_i \notin A^+ \cap A^-$ and hence $i \neq a$. Thus Claim 5 holds. Claim 6. $u_a v_i \notin E$.

Suppose otherwise . Then when $i \le a \le j$, we have $u_a v_i$, $u_i w_i \in E$, contradicting

Proposition 2. When a <i or a>j then the cycle $u_j v_{a+1} \overline{C} v_i u_a \overline{C} v_{j+1} v_0 v_j \overline{C} u_i w_j \overline{C} u_j$ is longer than cycle C, which is a contradiction. Thus Claim 6 holds.

Claim 7. If $i \le a \le j$ then $u_a v_{i+1} \notin E$. If $i \ge a$ or $a \ge j$ then $u_a v_i \notin E$.

Suppose otherwise . Then when $i \le a \le j$, we have $u_a v_{j+1}$, $u_j w_j \in E$, a contradiction with

Proposition 2. When i>a or a>j, $u_a v_j \in E$, then the cycle $u_j v_{a+1} \overline{C} v_i v_0 v_{j+1} \overline{C} u_a v_j \overline{C} u_i w_j \overline{C} u_j$ is longer than cycle C, a contradiction. Thus Claim 7 holds.

Note that $\{v_0, u_1, u_r, u_a\}$ is an independent set of vertices . By claims 1 and 7, we have $d(u_a) < d(u_1) = d(u_0) \le (\sigma_4(G) + 3)/4$, so that $\sigma_4(G) \le d(v_0) + d(u_1) + d(u_r) + d(u_a) \le d(u_a) + 3$ $(\sigma_4(G) + 3)/4$. Hence $d(u_a) \ge (\sigma_4(G) + 3)/4 - 3 \ge d(v_0) - 3$, so that $|N(v_0) - N(u_a)| \le 3$. By claims 6 and 7, we have $2 \le |N(v_0) - N(u_a)| \le 3$. In the following arguments let C(s) denote the cycle

 $u_j v_{a+1} \bar{C} v_s u_a \bar{C} v_{j+1} v_0 v_i \bar{C} u_s v_j \bar{C} u_i w_j \bar{C} u_j$ with length longer than cycle C, where s=1 or r. We distinguish different cases below.

Case 1. 1<a<i or a>j .

In this case, $N(v_0)-N(u_a)=\{v_i, v_j\}\cup\{x\}$, where $x \in \{\phi, v_1, v_r\}$. If $j \le a \le k$, then when $x \ne v_r$, G contains cycle C(r); when $x \ne v_1$, G contains cycle C(1). Similarly, if $i \ge a \ge 1$, G also contains cycle either C(r) or C(1).

Case 2. i<a<j.

In this case $N(v_0)-N(u_a)=\{v_i, v_{j+1}\}\cup\{x\}$, where $x \in \{\phi, v_1, v_r\}$. But then when $x \neq v_r$, G contains cycle $u_a v_r \tilde{C} u_1 v_i \tilde{C} u_r v_1 \tilde{C} v_{j+1} v_0 v_{a+1} \tilde{C} w_j u_i \tilde{C} u_a$ with length longer than cycle C, and when $x \neq v_1$. G contains cycle $u_a v_1 \tilde{C} v_{j+1} v_0 v_r \tilde{C} u_1 v_i \tilde{C} u_r v_a \tilde{C} u_i w_j \tilde{C} u_a$ longer than cycle C too.

This final contradiction shows that the hypothesis $\alpha(G) \leq |V \cdot V(C)| + |A^+| = 1 > |V \cdot V(C)| + |A^+| = 1 > |V \cdot V(C)| + |A^+| = |V \cdot V(C)| = |V \cdot V(C)| + |A^+| = |V \cdot V(C)| + |A^+| = |V \cdot V(C)| = |V \cdot V(C)|$

Proof of Theorem 7

Suppose there exists a non-hamiltonian 3-connected tough graph H of order n such that $\sigma_4(H) \ge (3n-1)/2 + \kappa(H)$. Let G be such a graph with a maximum number of edges .Note that

 $\label{eq:started_st$

If $\alpha(G) \le \sigma_4(G)/4+1$, then $\sigma_4(G)/4+1-\alpha(G) \ge 0$, so that $n+\sigma_4(G)/4+1-\alpha(G) \ge n$. Hence, $c(G)\ge n$, so that G is hamiltonian, which is a contradiction. We assume ,therefore, that $\alpha(G) > \sigma_4(G)/4+1$. But $\sigma_4(G)/4+1 \ge ((3n-1)/2+\kappa(G))/4+1=(3n+2\kappa(G)+7)/8$. By Lemma 11, $\alpha(G)\ge \kappa(G)+1$.

Case 1. $\alpha(G)=\kappa(G)+1$. Since $\kappa(G)+1>(3n+2\kappa(G)+7)/8$, we have $\kappa(G)>n/2-1/6$.But n/2-1/6>(n-1)/2 and by Lemma 12, $\delta(G)=\sigma_1(G)\geq\kappa(G)>(n-1)/2$.Hence $\kappa(G)\geq n/2$.This implies that $\delta(G)\geq n/2$.By Lemma 9, G is hamiltonian, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $\alpha(G)=\kappa(G)+2$. Since $\kappa(G)+2>(3n+2\kappa(G)+7)/8$, we have $8\kappa(G)+16>3n+2\kappa(G)+7$, i.e. $6\kappa(G)>3n-9$, i.e. $\kappa(G)>(n-3)/2$. Since G is non-hamiltonian, Lemma 9 implies that $n/2>\delta(G)=\sigma_1(G)\geq\kappa(G)>(n-3)/2$. But then $n+\sigma_4(G)/4+1-\alpha(G)\geq n+(3n+2\kappa(G)+7)/8-\kappa(G)-2=n+(3n-6\kappa(G)+7)/8-2$. We have two cases to consider.

Case 2.1. $n\equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then $n/2>\delta(G) = \sigma_1(G) \ge \kappa(G) > (n-3)/2$, which implies that $\delta(G) = \kappa(G)=(n-1)/2$. But then $n+(3n-6\kappa(G)+7)/8$ -2=n-3/4, so that $c(G) \ge \min\{n,n+\sigma_4(G)/4+1-\alpha(G)\} \ge n-3/4$. It follows that $c(G) \ge n$, implying that G is hamiltonian, which is a contradiction.

Case 2.2. $n=0 \pmod{2}$. Then $n/2 \ge \delta(G) = \sigma_1(G) \ge \kappa(G) \ge (n-3)/2$, i.e. $n/2 - 1 \ge \delta(G) = \sigma_1(G) \ge \kappa(G) \ge n/2 - 1$, i.e. $\delta(G) = \kappa(G) = n/2 - 1$. But then $n + (3n - 6\kappa(G) + 7)/8 - 2 = n - 3/8$, so that $c(G) \ge \min\{n, n+\sigma_4(G)/4 + 1 - \alpha(G)\} \ge n - 3/8$. It follows that $c(G) \ge n$, implying that G is hamiltonian ,which is a contradiction.

Case 3. $\alpha(G) = \kappa(G) + 3$. Since $\kappa(G) + 3 > (3n+2\kappa(G)+7)/8$, we have $\kappa(G) > n/2-17/6$. Hence $\alpha(G) > (3n+7)/8 + (n/2-17/6)/4 = n/2+1/6 > n/2$. Let A be any independent set of G of size at least n/2+1 and let A' = V(G)-A. Then $\omega(G - A') = |A| > n/2 > |A'|$, which contradicts the fact that G is tough.

Case 4. $\alpha(G) \ge \kappa(G)+4$. Let T be an independent set of vertices such that $|T|=\alpha(G)$, S be a vertex cut such that $|S|=\kappa(G)$ and let G_1 , G_2 , ..., G_t be the components of G-S. Choose w_1 , $w_2 \in T$ such that $d(x) \ge \max\{d(w_1), d(w_2)\}$ for all $x \in T-\{w_1, w_2\}$. Consider any pair v_1 , v_2 of distinct vertices in $T-\{w_1, w_2\}$. Since $\{v_1, v_2, w_1, w_2\}$ is an independent set of vertices in G, we have $2(d(v_1)+d(v_2))\ge d(v_1)+d(v_2)+d(w_1)+d(w_2)\ge \sigma_4(G)\ge (3n-1)/2+\kappa(G)$. Hence $d(v_1)+d(v_2)\ge (3n-1)/4+\kappa(G)/2$. Since, by the inclusion-exclusion principle, $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)| = d(v_1)+d(v_2)-|N(v_1) \cup N(v_2)|$ and $|N(v_1) \cup N(v_2)| \le n-\alpha(G)$, it follows that $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)| \ge (3n-1)/4+\kappa(G)/2-n+\alpha(G)=\alpha(G)-n/4-1/4+\kappa(G)/2>(3n+2\kappa(G)+7)/8+\kappa(G)/2-(n+1)/4=(n+6\kappa(G)+5)/8>\kappa(G)$. (To see that $(n+6\kappa(G)+5)/8>\kappa(G)$, suppose, to the contrary that $(n+6\kappa(G)+5)/8>\kappa(G)$. Then $n+6\kappa(G)+5\le 8\kappa(G)$, so that $(n+5)/2\le \kappa(G)\le \alpha(G)-4$. Hence $\alpha(G) \ge (n+5)/2+4$ which, as before, contradicts the fact that G is tough.) It follows that any pair of distinct vertices in $T-\{w_1, w_2\}$ cannot be in different components of G-S. Assume, without loss of generality, that $T-\{w_1, w_2\} \subseteq S \cup V(G_1)$. Set $B=V-(S \cup V(G_1))$. We now prove that G[B] is complete. Suppose otherwise. Let $x_1, x_2 \in B$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $x_1x_2 \notin E$. Recall that $\alpha(G) \ge \kappa(G)+4$, so that $|T \cap V(G_1)|\ge 2$. Assume $\{y_1, y_2\} \subseteq T \cap V(G_1)$ with $y_1 \neq y_2$.

Then $\{y_1, y_2, x_1, x_2\}$ is an independent set of vertices and we have $(3n-1)/2 + \kappa(G) \le \sigma_4(G)$ $\leq d(y_1) + d(y_2) + d(x_1) + d(x_2) \leq 2(|V(G_1)| + \kappa(G) - \alpha(G) + 2) + 2(|B| + \kappa(G) - 2) = 2(n - \alpha(G) + \kappa(G)),$ since $n = |V(G_1)| + |B| + \kappa(G)$. But then $\alpha(G) \le (n + 2\kappa(G) + 1)/4 < (3n + 2\kappa(G) + 7)/8 < \alpha(G)$. which is a contradiction .(If $(n+2\kappa(G)+1)/4 \ge (3n+2\kappa(G)+7)/8$, then one can show that $\alpha(G) \ge (n+5)/4+4$, which, as before, would contradict the toughness of the graph.) This contradiction shows that G[B] is complete. Since T is an independent set of vertices, it follows that $|T \cap B| \le 1$ and $|T \cap V(G_1)| \ge 3$. Without loss of generality assume that $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ $y_3 \subseteq T \cap V(G_1)$. Let i, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $i \neq j$. If $d(y_i) + d(y_i) \ge n$, then by lemma 10, the graph $G+y_i y_i$ is hamiltonian if and only if G is hamiltonian. By definition, $G+y_i y_i$ is also a 3-connected tough graph of order n with $\sigma_4(G + y_i y_i) \ge \sigma_4(G)$. Recalling that $\omega(G + y_i y_j - S) =$ $\omega(G-S)>1$, we have $\kappa(G+y_i, y_i)=\kappa(G)=|S|$ so that $\sigma_4(G+y_i, y_i)\geq (3n-1)/2+\kappa(G+y_i, y_i)$. By our choice of G, $G+y_i y_i$ is hamiltonian. But then G is also hamiltonian, which is a contradiction. We conclude that $d(y_i)+d(y_i) \le n-1$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ with $i \ne j$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $d(y_3) = \min\{d(y_1), d(y_2), d(y_3)\}$. Then $d(y_3) \le (n-1)/2$. Let $v \in B$. Then $\{y_1, y_2, y_3, v\}$ is an independent set of vertices, so that $d(y_1)+d(y_2) \ge \sigma_4(G)$ $d(y_3)-d(v) \ge (3n-1)/2 + \kappa(G) - d(y_3) - (|B| + \kappa(G) - 1) = n - |B| + 1 + (n-1)/2 - d(y_3) \ge n - |B| + 1.$ By Lemma 8, G is hamiltonian if and only if $G+y_1y_2$ is hamiltonian. But now by the choice of G, $G+y_1y_2$ is hamiltonian .But then G is also hamiltonian. This final contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 7.

Acknowledgment

Many thanks to Prof. F. Tian and Prof. Z. Liu of Institute of Systems Science, Academia Sinica, for their guidance. The author also appreciates the invaluable help of the referee.

References

- D. Bauer, H.J. Broersma, H.J. Veldman and R. Li, A Generalization of a Result of Haggkvist and Nicoghossian, J. Combinatorial Theory, Series B 47 (1989) 237-243.
- [2] D.Bauer, E.F. Schmeichel and H.J. Veldman, A Generalization of a Theorem of Bigalke and Jung, *Ars Combinatoria* **26** (1988) 53-58.
- [3] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).
- [4] J.A. Bondy and V.Chvatal, A Method in Graph Theory, Discrete Math. 15 (1976) 111-135.
- [5] V. Chvatal and P. Erdos, A Note on Hamiltonian Circuits, Discrete Math. 2 (1972) 111-113.
- [6] G.A. Dirac, Some Theorems on Abstract Graphs, Proc. London Math. Soc. 2 (1952) 68-81.
- [7] He Dongqi, Ph. D. Thesis, Institute of Systems Science, Academia Sinica, Beijing (1995).
- [8] F. Tian, Circumferences of Tough Graphs with Large Degree Sums, J. Nanjing University 27 (1991) 1-6.
- [9] H. Whitney, Congruent graphs and the connectivity of graphs, Amer. J. Math. 54 (1932) 150-168.

(Received 3/10/96; revised 6/6/97)

202