

COUNTING PERMUTATIONS AND POLYNOMIALS WITH A RESTRICTED FACTORIZATION PATTERN

*Arnold Knopfmacher - University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg
2050, South Africa*

and

*Richard Warlimont, Universität Regensburg,
93040 Regensburg, Germany*

ABSTRACT

We determine the asymptotic probability that a polynomial of degree n over a finite field with q elements has no more than k irreducible factors of any degree, for each natural number k . In particular we show that for $q = 2$, almost 67% of such polynomials have no more than 2 irreducible factors of any given degree and almost 81% have no more than 3 irreducible factors of any given degree, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similar results are also shown to hold in the case of the analogous problem for permutations. Here we wish to estimate the asymptotic proportion of permutations of n elements that have no more than k cycles of any given length in their cycle decomposition.

1. Polynomials over a finite field with a restricted factorization pattern

Given a monic polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ with $\partial f = n$, let $\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be the number of irreducible factors of degree i (counting multiplicity) that divide f . We call the n -tuple $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ the *factorization pattern* of f , and denote this by (f) .

Our aim is to determine the asymptotic probability that a polynomial of degree n has a factorization pattern $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ which satisfies $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq k$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. That is, the asymptotic probability that a polynomial has no more than k irreducible factors of any degree. We shall use the notation $|(f)| \leq k$ as a shorthand for these conditions on (f) . The case $k = 1$, that is, polynomials having only distinct degree factors has previously been considered in [3]. In addition the case of general k has

been treated in the more abstract case of certain additive arithmetical semigroups [4]. The present results survey and add to these previous works in the concrete case of polynomials over a finite field.

Many deterministic and probabilistic factorization algorithms for polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ require that a distinct degree factorization of the polynomial be performed as an initial step (see e.g. Shparlinski [6, Chapter 1]. The remainder of the algorithms consist of methods to determine the α_i factors of degree i in f , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Our results below are therefore of relevance to the problem of finding the computational cost of these algorithms. For example, it follows from Theorem 2 for $q = 2$ that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, less than 0.27% of squarefree polynomials of degree n have more than two irreducible factors of any given degree.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the following three subsets of the set of monic polynomials of degree n in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$:

$$G_{k,1} = \{f : |(f)| \leq k\}$$

$$G_{k,2} = \{f : |(f)| \leq k \text{ and } f \text{ is squarefree}\}$$

$$G_{k,3} = \{f : |((f))| \leq k\}$$

where $((f)) = ((\hat{\alpha}_1, \hat{\alpha}_2, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_n))$ and $\hat{\alpha}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ is the number of *distinct* irreducible factors of degree i that divide f .

Put

$$\gamma_{k,j}(n) = \#\{f \in G_{k,j} | \partial f = n\}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Our estimates for $\gamma_{k,j}(n)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ are obtained by considering the respective ordinary generating functions for these sequences.

Let $\pi(n) \equiv \pi(n, q)$ denote the number of monic irreducible polynomials of degree n in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$:

Theorem 1 We have

$$(a) \quad (1.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k,1}(n) w^n = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{\pi(m) - 1 + l}{l} w^{ml}$$

$$(b) \quad (1.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k,2}(n) w^n = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{\pi(m)}{l} w^{ml}$$

$$(c) \quad (1.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k,3}(n) w^n = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{\pi(m)}{l} \left(\frac{w^m}{1 - w^m} \right)^l.$$

Proof

(a) The number of monic polynomials of degree n in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ that have factorization pattern of type $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is given by

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \binom{\pi(j) + \alpha_j - 1}{\alpha_j}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k,1}(n) w^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w^n \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots = n \\ 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq k, 0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq k, \dots}} \prod_{j=1}^n \binom{\pi(j) + \alpha_j - 1}{\alpha_j} \\ &= \left(\sum_{\alpha_1=0}^k \binom{\pi(1) + \alpha_1 - 1}{\alpha_1} w^{\alpha_1} \right) \left(\sum_{\alpha_2=0}^k \binom{\pi(2) + \alpha_2 - 1}{\alpha_2} w^{2\alpha_2} \right) \dots \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{\pi(m) + l - 1}{l} w^{ml}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) The number of squarefree monic polynomials of degree n in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ that have factorization pattern of type $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is given by

$$\prod_{j=1}^n \binom{\pi(j)}{\alpha_j}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k,2}(n) w^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w^n \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots = n \\ 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq k, 0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq k, \dots}} \prod_{j=1}^n \binom{\pi(j)}{\alpha_j} \\
&= \left(\sum_{\alpha_1=0}^k \binom{\pi(1)}{\alpha_1} w^{\alpha_1} \right) \left(\sum_{\alpha_2=0}^k \binom{\pi(2)}{\alpha_2} w^{2\alpha_2} \right) \dots \\
&= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{\pi(m)}{l} w^{ml}.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) This is similar to (b) except that we may replace single occurrence of an irreducible factor by a sequence of such factors. In generating function terms this entails the change of variable $w \rightarrow w + w^2 + w^3 + \dots = \frac{w}{1-w}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k,3}(n) w^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k,2} \left(\frac{w}{1-w} \right)^n \\
&= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{\pi(m)}{l} \left(\frac{w^m}{1-w^m} \right)^l.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 is now used to derive the asymptotic proportions of polynomials belonging to $G_{k,j}$, $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Theorem 2 For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(1.4) \quad L_1(q, k) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{k,1}(n)}{q^n} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^k \binom{\pi(m) - 1 + l}{l} q^{-ml} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{m} \right)$$

$$(1.5) \quad L_2(q, k) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{k,2}(n)}{q^n} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^k \binom{\pi(m)}{l} q^{-ml} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{m} \right)$$

$$(1.6) \quad L_3(q, k) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{k,3}(n)}{q^n} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^k \binom{\pi(m)}{l} (q^m - 1)^{-l} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{m} \right).$$

The proof requires the following technical lemma.

Lemma 1

Let $b_m (m \geq 1)$ and $b_{m,\nu} (m \geq 1, \nu \geq 2)$ be complex numbers such that

$$(A) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(|b_m - \frac{1}{m}| + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} |b_{m,\nu}| \right) < \infty.$$

Put $b_{m,1} := b_m$. Then the function

$$(B) \quad f(z) := \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{m,\nu} z^{m\nu} \right)$$

is well defined and holomorphic on $|z| < 1$. Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (|z| < 1).$$

Then

$$(C) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{m,\nu} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{m} \right).$$

Proof

Let $0 < r < 1$. Then using (A),

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |b_{m,\nu}| r^{m\nu} \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(|b_m - \frac{1}{m}| + \frac{1}{m} r^m + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} |b_{m,\nu}| \right) < \infty.$$

From this it follows that the infinite product in (B) converges uniformly on $|z| \leq r$ and therefore represents a function $f(z)$ which is holomorphic on $|z| < 1$.

Then we have

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{1-z}$$

where

$$g(z) := \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{m,\nu} z^{m\nu} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{m} z^m\right) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \left(b_m - \frac{1}{m}\right) z^m + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} c_{m,\nu} z^{m\nu} \right)$$

where because of (A) we have

$$S := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\left| b_m - \frac{1}{m} \right| + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} |c_{m,\nu}| \right) < \infty.$$

Therefore

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n z^n \quad (|z| \leq 1)$$

where

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |d_n| \leq \exp(S) < \infty.$$

Since

$$a_n = \sum_{h=0}^n d_h$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n &= \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} d_h = g(1) = \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} b_{m,\nu} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{m}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2

In Theorem 1 substitute $w = \frac{z}{q}$. Then we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{k,1}(n)}{q^n} z^n = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\pi(m)}{q^m} z^m + \sum_{l=2}^k \binom{\pi(m)-1+l}{l} q^{-ml} z^{ml} \right)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{k,2}(n)}{q^n} z^n = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\pi(m)}{q^m} z^m + \sum_{l=2}^k \binom{\pi(m)}{l} q^{-ml} z^{ml} \right)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{k,3}(n)}{q^n} = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\pi(m)}{q^m} z^m + \frac{\pi(m)}{q^m} \frac{z^{2m}}{q^m - z^m} + \sum_{l=2}^k \binom{\pi(m)}{l} \left(\frac{z^m}{q^m - z^m} \right)^l \right).$$

The three infinite products are such that we can apply lemma 1: $b_m = \frac{\pi(m)}{q^m}$, using the well known bounds

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{1}{m} - 2q^{-m/2} < \frac{\pi(m)}{q^m} < \frac{1}{m}.$$

These follow from the formula

$$\pi(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) q^{n/d}.$$

where $\mu(\cdot)$ denotes the Mobius function.

Here we also must note that

$$\binom{\pi(m) - 1 + l}{l} \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{\pi(m)}{l} = O\left(\frac{q^{ml}}{m^l}\right).$$

The limits (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) now follow from Lemma 1. ■

Since exact values of $\pi(m)$ can be determined we can obtain accurate approximations to the limits (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) for any fixed value of q .

We consider the two extreme cases namely $q = 2$ and $q \rightarrow \infty$.

As $q \rightarrow \infty$, the bounds (1.7) imply that

$$\frac{\pi(m)}{q^m} \rightarrow \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{uniformly for } m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Hence as $q \rightarrow \infty$, (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) tend to a common limit

$$(1.8) \quad L(k) := \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{l=0}^k \frac{1}{l!} \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^l \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{m}\right).$$

We show that this same limit also arises in another context related to permutations (see section 2). Numerical values for $L(k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, 10$ can be found in Table 2 in section 2.

For any fixed value of q , $L_1(q, k)$ and $L_3(q, k) \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, while $L_2(q, k) \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{q}$, the proportion of squarefree polynomials of degree n in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$.

k	$L_1(2, k)$	$L_2(2, k)$	$L_3(2, k)$
1	0.39673411	0.39673411	0.66559658
2	0.66784706	0.49869547	0.99727609
3	0.80812272	0.49997319	0.99994395
4	0.88958533	0.49999955	0.99999906
5	0.93724695	0.49999999	0.99999999
6	0.96478145	0.5	1.
7	0.98045331	0.5	1.
8	0.98925397	0.5	1.
9	0.99413967	0.5	1.
10	0.99682593	0.5	1.

A comparison of the proportions in a given row suggests that it is best to apply factorization methods for polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[X]$ to squarefree polynomials. For example we see from the table that about 19.2% of polynomials of degree n , n large, have more than 3 factors of the same degree, but only 0.0054% of squarefree polynomials have this property. Since the squarefree part of a polynomial is easily determined, it seems preferable to do this as a first step prior to applying a factorization algorithm.

As $q \rightarrow \infty$, the proportion of squarefree polynomials tends to 1 and the benefit of applying an algorithm to a squarefree polynomial rather than any polynomials of degree n , falls away.

2. Permutations with a restricted cycle type

It is well known that every permutation of n letters has a unique factorization into disjoint cycles (apart from order). We say that $\pi \in S_n$ has cycle type $\lambda = (1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n})$ if π has $\lambda_i \equiv \lambda_i(\pi)$ cycles of length $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, in its cycle factorization.

In this section we investigate the sizes of the subsets of permutations of n letters which belong to the sets

$$S_n^k := \{\pi \in S_n, \lambda_i(\pi) \leq k \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)\}.$$

Put

$$\sigma_k(n) = \#\{\pi \in S_n^k\}.$$

The special case $k = 1$, corresponds to permutations of n letters with distinct cycle lengths. This problem is discussed by Wilf [7,p.106]. In addition a more precise asymptotic estimate for the coefficients of this particular generating function is derived in Greene and Knuth [2].

Let

$$(2.1) \quad \exp_k(x) = \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{x^m}{m!}.$$

Then we have

Lemma 2 The exponential generating function for $\{\sigma_k(n)\}$ is

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k(n) x^n / n! = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \exp_k\left(\frac{x^m}{m}\right).$$

Note that if we let $k \rightarrow \infty$ then $\exp_k(x) \rightarrow e^x$ and $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \exp_k\left(\frac{x^m}{m}\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{1-x}$. It follows that $\sigma_{\infty}(n) = n!$, as expected.

Proof

The number of permutations in S_n having $\lambda = (1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots n^{\lambda_n})$ as their cycle type is

$$\frac{n!}{\prod_{j \geq 1} (\lambda_j! j^{\lambda_j})}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k(n) x^n / n! &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots = n \\ 0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq k, 0 \leq \lambda_2 \leq k, \dots}} \frac{1}{\prod_j (\lambda_j! j^{\lambda_j})} \\ &= \left(\sum_{\lambda_1=0}^k \frac{x^{\lambda_1}}{1^{\lambda_1} \lambda_1!} \right) \left(\sum_{\lambda_2=0}^k \frac{(x^2)^{\lambda_2}}{2^{\lambda_2} \lambda_2!} \right) \dots \\ &= \exp_k(x) \exp_k\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \exp_k\left(\frac{x^3}{3}\right) \dots \end{aligned}$$

We now apply Lemma 1 to (2.2) to deduce

Theorem 3 For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(2.3) \quad L(k) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_k(n) / n! = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \exp_k\left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{m}\right).$$

As shown for example by Wilf [7]

$$L(1) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) e^{-1/m} = e^{-\gamma} \approx 0.5614\dots$$

where γ is Euler's constant. For $k \geq 2$, clearly

$$L(1) \leq L(k) \leq L(\infty) = 1.$$

For large k we have the following accurate upper and lower bounds from (2.3),

$$(2.4) \quad L(k) \leq 1 - e^{-1} \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(k) &\geq 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{m}\right) \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^l \\
 &= 1 - \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{m}\right) / m^l \\
 &> 1 - \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^l} = 1 - \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \zeta(l)/l! \\
 (2.5) \quad &> 1 - \zeta(2) \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular we deduce that as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(2.6) \quad 1 - L(k) \sim \frac{1}{(k+1)!}.$$

The table below shows the rapid convergence of $L(k)$ to 1 even for small k . In particular we see that only 10.3% of permutations $\pi \in S_n$ have more than 2 cycles of any given length and less than 2.2% have more than 3 cycles of any length. Approximations obtained from the exact formula (2.3) for $L(k)$ are compared with the values from the upper and lower bounds (2.4) and (2.5).

k	Lower bound	$L(k)$	Upper bound
1	-0.18152625	0.56145948	0.73575888
2	0.64094078	0.89687126	0.9196986
3	0.91509646	0.97864866	0.98101184
4	0.98363538	0.99613197	0.99634015
5	0.99734317	0.99938983	0.99940582
6	0.9996278	0.99991568	0.99991676
7	0.99995417	0.99998969	0.99998975
8	0.99999497	0.99999887	0.99999887
9	0.9999995	0.99999989	0.99999989
10	0.99999996	0.99999999	0.99999999

Table 2

As noted at the end of section 1, the proportion of polynomials of degree n in $G_{k,1}$, $G_{k,2}$, and $G_{k,3}$ as $q \rightarrow \infty$ have the same limiting value in each case, namely $L(k)$. As pointed out by the referee one might already have predicted this coincidence of the limits from the results of Cohen [1]. For example Cohen notes for that for fixed n and large q , the proportion of polynomials of degree n with factorization pattern $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is asymptotically equal to the proportion of permutations in S_n with cycle type $(1^{\lambda_1}, 2^{\lambda_2}, \dots, n^{\lambda_n})$. Greene and Knuth [2] exploited this fact for the case $k = 1$, in their determination of $L_1(q, 1)$, for large q .

REFERENCES

1. Cohen S D (1970), The distribution of polynomials over finite fields, *Acta Arith.* 17 (1970), 255-271.
2. Greene D H and Knuth D E (1990), *Mathematics for the Analysis of Algorithms*, 3rd edn. Birkhauser, Boston.
3. Knopfmacher A and Warlimont R (in press). Distinct degree factorizations for polynomials over a finite field. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*
4. Knopfmacher A and Warlimont R, Distinct degree factorizations in additive arithmetical semigroups, manuscript.
5. Lidl R and H Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA 1983.
6. Shparlinski I E (1992), *Computational and Algorithmic Problems in Finite Fields*, Kluwer, Dordrecht.
7. Wilf H S, *generatingfunctionology*, 2nd edn., Academic Press, New York, 1994.

email: arnoldk@gauss.cam.wits.ac.za

(Received 2/3/95; revised 9/6/95)