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Abstract. In this article, we prove that every 3-connected planar graph has a closed walk visiting each vertex, none more than twice, such that any vertex visited twice is in a vertex cut of size 3 . This generalizes both Tutte's Theorem that 4-connected planar graphs are Hamiltonian and the result of Gao and Richter that 3 -connected planar graphs have a closed walk visiting each vertex at least once but at most twice.

## 1. Introduction

Tutte [Tu] proved that every 4 -connected planar graph is Hamiltonian. Recently, Gao and Richter $[\mathbf{G R}]$ settled a conjecture of Jackson and Wormald [JW] by showing that every 3-connected planar graph has a closed 2-walk - a closed walk that visits every vertex at least once but at most twice. In this paper we prove a common refinement of these results, which was conjectured by Thomas [T]. A $k$-cut in $G$ is a set $A$ of vertices such that $G-A$ is not connected and $|A|=k$.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a 3-connected planar graph and let $x, y$ be two vertices both incident with the same face of $G$. Then there is a closed 2 -walk $W$ in $G$ visiting $x$ and $y$ only once each, such that every vertex visited twice by $W$ is in a 3-cut in $G$.

That Theorem 1 generalizes Tutte's Theorem is obvious: if $G$ is 4 -connected and $W$ is the closed 2 -walk guaranteed by Theorem 1 , then $W$ must be a Hamilton cycle, since $G$ has no 3 -cuts and, therefore, $W$ can have no repeated vertices.

The same ideas improve Thomassen's Theorem [Th] that 4-connected planar graphs are Hamilton-connected. A 2-walk is a walk visiting each vertex at least once but at most twice.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a 3 -connected planar graph and let $x$ and $y$ be any vertices of $G$. Then there is a 2 -walk $W$ in $G$ from $x$ to $y$ such that any vertex visited twice by $W$ is in a 3 -cut of $G$.

We remark that the proofs given in this paper are substantially simpler than those of $[\mathbf{G R}]$. However, their proofs form the core for the results by Brunet et al [BEGMR], where it is proved that every 3 -connected graph that embeds in either the torus or the Klein bottle has a 2 -walk. It would be of substantial interest to know if Theorems 1 and 2 generalize to these graphs.

## 2. Circuit Graphs

We shall in fact prove our results for circuit graphs, a class of planar graphs that includes the 3 -connected planar graphs.

A circuit graph is an ordered pair ( $G, C$ ) consisting of a 2-connected planar graph $G$ and a cycle $C$ of $G$ such that, in some embedding of $G$ in the plane, $C$ bounds a face and, for every 2 -cut $A$ in $G$, every component of $G-A$ contains a vertex of $C$.

Obviously, if $C$ is a face boundary of a 3 -connected planar graph $G$, then $(G, C)$ is a circuit graph. Circuit graphs have some very nice inductive properties. The ones relevant for this work are stated in the following result. Proofs can be found in [GR]. A plane chain of blocks is a graph, embedded in the plane, with blocks $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{k}$, such that, for each $i=2,3, \ldots, k, B_{i-1}$ and $B_{i}$ have a vertex in common, no two of which are the same, and, for each $j=1,2, \ldots, k, \bigcup_{i \neq j} B_{i}$ is in the infinite face of $B_{j}$.

Lemma 3. Let $(G, C)$ be a circuit graph.
(1) Let $G$ be embedded in the plane with $C$ bounding the infinite face and let $C^{\prime}$ be any cycle of $G$. Let $H$ be the subgraph of $G$ contained in the closed disc bounded by $C^{\prime}$. Then ( $H, C^{\prime}$ ) is a circuit graph.
(2) If $v \in V(C)$, then $G-v$ is a plane chain of blocks $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$. Moreover, one of the neighbours of $v$ in $C$ is in $B_{1}$ and the other is in $B_{k}$.

## 3. Tutte Paths and Tutte Cycles

In order to prove Theorem 1, we shall first prove the existence of a "Tutte path" and a "Tutte cycle" in a circuit graph. For a subgraph $J$ of a graph $G$, a $J$-bridge in $G$ is a component $K$ of $G-V(J)$, together with the edges of $G$ joining a vertex of $K$ to a vertex of $J$ and the ends of such edges. If $L$ is a $J$-bridge, then the vertices in $V(L) \cap V(J)$ are the vertices of attachment of $L$.

We remark that the usual definition of $J$-bridge allows the possibility of an edge, not in $J$, together with its ends, which are in $J$. Such bridges are of no concern to us, and, to simplify the later discussion, we have chosen not to include them in the definition used in this article.

A Tutte path (Tutte cycle) in a circuit graph $(G, C)$ is a path (cycle) $P$ such that every $P$-bridge has at most 3 vertices of attachment and any $P$-bridge containing an edge of $C$ has at most 2 vertices of attachment.

We abbreviate system of distinct representatives to SDR . If $J$ is a subgraph of a graph $G$, then a $S D R$ of the $J$-bridges is a $\operatorname{SDR}$ of the sets $\{V(L) \cap V(J) \mid$ $L$ is a $J$-bridge $\}$.

Theorem 4. Let $(G, C)$ be a circuit graph and let $x, u \in V(C)$, let $y \in V(G)$ with $x \neq y$ and let $a \in\{x, u\}$. Then there is a Tutte path $P$ in $G$ from $x$ to $y$ through $u$ and a $S D R S$ of the $P$-bridges such that $a \notin S$.

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on $|E(G)|$. The unique smallest circuit graph is $K_{3}$, for which the result is trivial. For the inductive step, we may suppose $G$ is embedded in the plane so that $C$ is the boundary of the infinite face.

If $u=x$, then pick any other vertex of $V(C-x)$ and let it be $u$. (Of course we do not change $a=x$.) Thus, we can assume that $u \notin\{x, y\}$. The case $u=y$ and $a \neq u$ can be similarly dismissed, while if $a=u=y$, then interchange the roles of $x$ and $y$ and proceed as above.

For any two distinct vertices $r, s$ of $C$, let $r C s$ denote the clockwise path in $C$ from $r$ to $s$. Thus, the two paths in $C$ between $x$ and $u$ are $x C u$ and $u C x$. We can assume that the drawing is such that $y$ is not in $x C u$ and that $u C x$ has length at least 2. Let $u_{1}$ be the neighbour of $u$ in the path $u C x$. It is possible that $u_{1}=y$, in which case we let $K=\left\{u_{1}\right\}, \hat{P}=\left\{u_{1}\right\}$ and $\hat{S}=\emptyset$.

If $u_{1} \neq y$, then let $K$ be the minimal connected union of blocks of $G-x C u$ containing both $u_{1}$ and $y$. (Throughout this work, if $H$ is a subgraph of a graph $G$, then $G-H$ denotes the subgraph $G-V(H)$ of $G$.) Clearly, $K$ is a plane chain of blocks $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{\ell}$, with $u_{1} \in V\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $y \in V\left(B_{\ell}\right)$. For $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell-1$, let $v_{i}$ be the vertex common to $B_{i}$ and $B_{i+1}$. Set $v_{0}=u_{1}$ and $v_{\ell}=y$.

If $B_{1} \cap C$ is not just $u_{1}$, then let $k$ be the largest index such that $B_{k}$ contains an edge of $C$. Otherwise, set $k=1$. Let $w$ be the vertex in $B_{k}$ nearest $x$ in $u C x$.

For $1 \leq i \leq \ell$, either $B_{i}$ is just $v_{i-1} v_{i}$ and its ends or $\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)$ is a circuit graph, where $C_{i}$ bounds the infinite face of $B_{i}$. In the first case, let $P_{i}=\left(v_{i-1}, v_{i-1} v_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ and $S_{i}=\emptyset$.

For $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, \ell\} \backslash\{k\}$, the inductive assumption yields a Tutte path $P_{i}$ in $B_{i}$ from $v_{i-1}$ to $v_{i}$ and a $\operatorname{SDR} S_{i}$ of the $P_{i}$-bridges in $B_{i}$ such that either $v_{i} \notin S_{i}$ (if $i<k$ ) or $v_{i-1} \notin S_{i}$ (if $i>k$ ).

Indutively there is a Tutte path $P_{k}$ in $B_{k}$ from $v_{k-1}$ to $v_{k}$ through $w$ and a SDR of the $P_{k}$-bridges in $B_{k}$ such that $w \notin S_{k}$.

Let $\hat{P}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} P_{i}$ and $\hat{S}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} S_{i}$. Set $\hat{K}=K \cup x C u_{1}$.
We now extend $\hat{P}$ back to $x$. For each $\hat{K}$-bridge $L$ in $G, L \cap K$ consists of at most one vertex, which we call $a(L)$. Let $\hat{L}$ be the bridge (if there is one) containing the path $w C x$. Because $(G, C)$ is a circuit graph, this is the only $\hat{K}$-bridge in $G$ that can have only two vertices of attachment. If $\hat{L}$ has only two vertices of attachment, then we shall do nothing with it; $w$ will be its representative.

Let $F^{\prime}$ denote the union of $x C u$, all $\hat{K}$-bridges in $G$ and all $\hat{P}$-bridges in $K$ that contain a vertex $a(L)$ that is not in $\hat{P}$. Let $F=F^{\prime}-\hat{P}$. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}$ be the cut vertices of $F$ that are in $x C u$, in the order they appear from $x$ to $u$. Note that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}$ do not include $x$ and $u$, i.e. they are internal to the path $x C u$. Let $a_{0}=x$ and $a_{s+1}=u$.

Either there is a path in $F$ from $a_{i-1}$ to $a_{i}$ that is disjoint from $a_{i-1} C a_{i}$ (except for their common ends) or there is not. If there is not, then $a_{i-1}$ and $a_{i}$ are consecutive vertices of $x C u$ and we set $Q_{i}$ to be the path $\left(a_{i-1}, a_{i-1} a_{i}, a_{i}\right)$ and $R_{i}=\emptyset$.

Otherwise, let $A_{i}^{\prime}$ be the block of $F$ containing $a_{i-1} C a_{i}$ and let $A_{i}$ be the union of $A_{i}^{\prime}$ and any $A_{i}^{\prime}$-bridge in $F$ that does not contain either $a_{i-1}$ or $a_{i}$. There is a $\hat{K}$ bridge $L_{i}$ that has an edge in $A_{i}^{\prime}$. If there is no vertex $a\left(L_{i}\right)$, then clearly $A_{i}=A_{i}^{\prime}$. If there is a vertex $a\left(L_{i}\right)$ and it is not in $\hat{P}$, then clearly $A_{i}=A_{i}^{\prime} \cup\left(M_{i}-\hat{P}\right)$, for some $\hat{P}$-bridge $M_{i}$ in $K$. Finally, if if $a\left(L_{i}\right)$ is in $\hat{P}$, then, because $(G, C)$ is a circuit graph, for each vertex $p$ of $L_{i}$, there are three disjoint paths from $p$ to the vertices $a_{i-1}, a_{i}, a\left(L_{i}\right)$. Therefore, $L_{i}-a\left(L_{i}\right)$ is 2 -connected. It follows that $A_{i}=A_{i}^{\prime}$.

Let $C_{i}^{\prime}$ be the cycle bounding the infinite face of $A_{i}^{\prime}$, so that $\left(A_{i}^{\prime}, C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is a circuit graph.

If $\hat{L}$ has at least 3 vertices of attachment, then $\hat{L}-w \subseteq A_{1}$. Let $z$ be the vertex of $A_{1}^{\prime} \cap C$ such that $z C x=A_{1}^{\prime} \cap C$. (It is possible that $z=x$, in which case $z C x$ is also just $x$.) Inductively, there is a Tutte path $Q_{1}$ in $A_{1}^{\prime}$ from $x$ to $a_{1}$ through $z$ and a SDR $R_{1}$ of the $Q_{1}$-bridges of $A_{1}^{\prime}$ such that either $x \notin R_{1}$ (if $a=x$ ) or $a_{1} \notin R_{1}$ (if $a=u$ ).

Now we treat the remaining $A_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,2, \ldots, s+1$; we need to deal with the case $i=1$ only if $\hat{L}$ has only two vertices of attachment. We remind the reader that we are assuming that $\left(A_{i}^{\prime}, C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is a circuit graph, as otherwise we have already obtained the path $Q_{i}$ and the SDR $R_{i}$.

If $A_{i} \cap K$ is not empty, then $A_{i}=A_{i}^{\prime} \cup\left(M_{i}-\hat{P}\right)$. Let $z$ be the vertex in $A_{i}^{\prime} \cap M_{i}$. If $A_{i} \cap K$ is empty, then let $z$ be any vertex in $C_{i}^{\prime}$. Inductively, there is a Tutte path $Q_{i}$ in $A_{i}^{\prime}$ from $a_{i-1}$ to $a_{i}$ through $z$ and a $\operatorname{SDR} R_{i}$ of the $Q_{i}$-bridges such that either $a_{i-1} \notin R_{i}$ (if $a=x$ ) or $a_{i} \notin R_{i}$ (if $a=u$ ).

The required Tutte path in $G$ is $P=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s+1} Q_{i}\right) \cup\left(u, u u_{1}, u_{1}\right) \cup \hat{P}$ with $S=$ $\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s+1} R_{i}\right) \cup \hat{S} \cup\{w\}$ as the required SDR of the $P$-bridges in $G . \square$

The following consequence of Theorem 4 is the heart of the proof of Theorem 1.
Corollary 5. Let $(G, C)$ be a circuit graph and let $x, y \in V(C)$. Then there is a Tutte cycle $T$ in $G$ and a $S D R S$ of the $T$-bridges in $G$ with $x, y \in V(T)$ and $x, y \notin S$.

Proof. Let $x$ have neighbours $u$ and $v$ in $C$. The graph $G-x$ is a plane chain of blocks $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{k}$, with $u \in V\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $v \in V\left(B_{k}\right)$. Let $j$ be least such that $y \in V\left(B_{j}\right)$. For $i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, let $v_{i}$ be the vertex common to $B_{i}$ and $B_{i+1}$, let $v_{0}=u$ and $v_{k}=v$.

For $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, if $B_{i}$ is just the edge $v_{i-1} v_{i}$ and its ends, then we set $P_{i}=$ $\left(v_{i-1}, v_{i-1} v_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ and $S_{i}=\emptyset$.

Otherwise, for $1 \leq i<j$, by Theorem 4 there is a Tutte path $P_{i}$ from $v_{i-1}$ to $v_{i}$ in $B_{i}$ having a $\operatorname{SDR} S_{i}$ of the $P_{i}$-bridges in $B_{i}$, such that $v_{i} \notin S_{i}$. Let $P_{j}$ be a Tutte path in $B_{j}$ from $v_{j-1}$ to $v_{j}$ through $y$ in $B_{j}$ having a $\operatorname{SDR} S_{j}$ of the $P_{j}$-bridges in $B_{j}$, such that $y \notin S_{j}$. For $j<i \leq k$, let $P_{i}$ be a Tutte path in $B_{i}$ from $v_{i-1}$ to $v_{i}$ having a SDR $S_{i}$ of the $P_{i}$-bridges in $B_{i}$, such that $v_{i-1} \notin S_{i}$.

The cycle obtained by adding $x, x u$ and $x v$ to the path $P_{1} \cup P_{2} \cup \cdots \cup P_{k}$ is the desired Tutte cycle and $S=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{i}$ is the required SDR. $\square$

## 4. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

In this section we use Theorem 4 to prove Theorems 1 and 2 for circuit graphs. If ( $G, C$ ) is a circuit graph, an internal $k$-cut of $G$ is a $k$-cut $A$ of $G$ such that $G$ - $A$ contains a component disjoint from $C$.
Theorem 6. Let $(G, C)$ be a circuit graph and let $x, y \in V(C)$. Then there is a closed 2 -walk $W$ in $G$ visiting $x$ and $y$ only once each such that any vertex visited twice by $W$ is in either a 2-cut or an internal 3-cut of $G$.
Proof. In fact, we shall prove something slightly stronger. We shall require that if $v$ is a vertex of $G$ visited twice by $W$, then either $v$ is in an internal 3-cut or there is a 2 -cut $\{v, w\}$ of $G$ with $v$ and $w$ both in the same path in $C$ from $x$ to $y$, i.e. either both are in $x C y$ or both are in $y C x$.

We proceed by induction on $|E(G)|$, with the case $|E(G)|=3$ being trivial. For the inductive step, we can suppose that $G$ is drawn in the plane so that $C$ bounds the infinite face.

By Corollary 5, $G$ has a Tutte cycle $T$ through $x$ and $y$ and a SDR $S$ for the $T$-bridges of $G$ with $x, y \notin S$. We use this to construct the desired closed 2 -walk.

Let $L$ be a $T$-bridge and let $s$ be the representative of $L$ in $S$. If $L$ has only two vertices of attachment, then $L$ contains an edge of $C$ (as otherwise $(G, C)$ is not a circuit graph). The only other possibility is that $L$ has exactly 3 vertices of attachment.

Suppose first that $L$ has exactly two vertices of attachment, say $s$ and $s^{\prime}$. Let $s C s^{\prime}$ denote the path $C \cap L$ and let $t$ be the neighbour of $s^{\prime}$ in $s C s^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3 (2), $L-s^{\prime}$ is a plane chain of blocks $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{m}$, with $s \in V\left(B_{1}\right), s \notin V\left(B_{2}\right)$, $t \in V\left(B_{m}\right)$ and $t \notin V\left(B_{m-1}\right)$. For $i=1,2, \ldots, m-1$, let $v_{i}$ be the vertex common to $B_{i}$ and $B_{i+1}$ and let $v_{0}=s, v_{m}=t$.

For $i=1,2, \ldots, m$, either $B_{i}$ is just the edge $v_{i-1} v_{i}$ and its ends or $C_{i}$ is a cycle bounding the infinite face of $B_{i}$ and $\left(B_{i}, C_{i}\right)$ is a circuit graph. Moreover, $C_{i} \cap C$ is a path.

In the first case, we let $W_{i}=\left(v_{i-1}, v_{i-1} v_{i}, v_{i}, v_{i} v_{i-1}, v_{i-1}\right)$. In the second case, inductively, there is a closed 2 -walk $W_{i}$ in $B_{i}$ visiting each of $v_{i-1}$ and $v_{i}$ only once such that any vertex visited twice by $W$ is in either a 2 -cut of $B_{i}$ or an internal 3 -cut of $B_{i}$.

Now suppose $L$ has three vertices of attachment, say $s, s^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime \prime}$. Then $L$ is disjoint from $C$ except possibly for vertices of attachment. We claim that $L-\left\{s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is a plane chain of blocks $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{m}$, with $s \in B_{1}, s \notin B_{2}$. We can add the edges $s s^{\prime}, s s^{\prime \prime}, s^{\prime} s^{\prime \prime}$ to $L$ to create a circuit graph $\left(L^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)$, where $C^{\prime}$ is the triangle through the new edges and $L^{\prime}$ is $L$ with the three new edges. Deleting $s^{\prime}$ yields, by Lemma 3 (2), a plane chain of blocks with $s$ in one leaf block and $s^{\prime \prime}$ in the other. Since they are adjacent, there is only one block. Therefore, $L^{\prime}-s^{\prime}$ is 2 -connected and now Lemma 3 (2) shows that $L-\left\{s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right\}=L^{\prime}-\left\{s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is a plane chain of blocks, as required.

There is a vertex $v_{m}$ in $B_{m}$ that is in a face boundary of $G$ with both $s^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime \prime}$. We proceed exactly as in the case $L$ has only two vertices of attachment.

It is important to observe that any internal 3-cut of $B_{i}$ is an internal 3-cut of $G$ and the 2 -cuts of $B_{i}$ that we need to consider (i.e. both vertices in either $v_{i-1} C_{i} v_{i}$ or both vertices in $v_{i} C_{i} v_{i-1}$ ) are either 2 -cuts of $G$ or are contained in internal 3-cuts of $G$. It is clear that we can get a closed 2 -walk in $G$ by traversing $T$ from one representative to the next and then detouring into the bridges using the walks $W_{i}$, being careful to go from $v_{i-1}$ to $v_{i}$ on $W_{i}$, and then going into $B_{i+1}$ before returning from $v_{i}$ to $v_{i-1}$ on the remainder of $W_{i}$. $\square$

The appropriate generalization of Theorem 2 to circuit graphs is the following. It follows from Theorem 4 in the same way that Theorem 6 follows from Corollary 5.

Theorem 7. Let $(G, C)$ be a circuit graph let $x \in V(C)$ and let $y \in V(G)$. Then there is a 2 -walk from $x$ to $y$ in $G$ such that any vertex visited twice by $W$ is in either a 2 -cut or an internal 3 -cut of $G$.
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